

1 Orin Snyder (*pro hac vice*)  
osnyder@gibsondunn.com  
2 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
200 Park Avenue  
3 New York, NY 10166-0193  
Telephone: 212.351.4000  
4 Facsimile: 212.351.4035

Joshua S. Lipshutz (SBN 242557)  
jlipshutz@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20036-5306  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

5 Brian M. Lutz (SBN 255976)  
blutz@gibsondunn.com  
6 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000  
7 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
8 Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Paul J. Collins (SBN 187709)  
pcollins@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1881 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1211  
Telephone: 650.849.5300  
Facsimile: 650.849.5333

9 *Attorneys for Defendants Mark Zuckerberg,*  
10 *Sheryl Sandberg, David Wehner, Jan Koum,*  
11 *Peter Thiel, Marc Andreessen, Kenneth Chenault,*  
*Jeffrey Zients, Peggy Alford, Susan Desmond-*  
*Hellman, Reed Hastings, and Erskine Bowles*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

14  
15 IN RE FACEBOOK, INC. SHAREHOLDER  
16 DERIVATIVE PRIVACY LITIGATION

LEAD CASE NO. 4:18-CV-01792-HSG

ASSOCIATED CASES: NOS. 4:18-CV-  
01834-HSG, 4:18-CV-01893-HSG, 4:18-CV-  
01929-HSG, 4:18-CV-02011-HSG

17  
18 This Document Relates To:  
19 ALL ACTIONS

**INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANTS' REPLY  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF THEIR  
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'  
FIRST AMENDED CONSOLIDATED  
SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE  
COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO FED. R.  
CIV. P. 12(b)(6)**

**Hearing:**

Date: June 25, 2020  
Time: 2:00 P.M.  
Location: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor  
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

Date First Action Filed: March 22, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT..... 1

II. ARGUMENT..... 2

    A. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Section 14(a) Claim. .... 2

        1. Plaintiffs Fail To Allege A Material Misstatement Or Omission. .... 3

        2. Plaintiffs Fail To Allege That The Proxy Statements Were An  
“Essential Link” To Any Loss-Generating Corporate Action. .... 4

    B. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Section 10(b) Claim. .... 6

        1. Plaintiffs Fail To Allege That The Individual Defendants “Made” The  
Challenged Statements. .... 6

        2. Plaintiffs Fail To Plead A Materially False Or Misleading Statement. .... 7

        3. Plaintiffs Fail To Plead Scierter For Any Defendant. .... 11

        4. Plaintiffs Fail To Plead Reliance. .... 12

        5. Plaintiffs Fail To Plead Loss Causation. .... 13

    C. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Separate Scheme Liability Claim..... 14

    D. Plaintiffs Fail To State Insider Trading Claims. .... 15

    E. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Claim For Control Person Liability. .... 15

III. CONCLUSION..... 15

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)**Cases**

1		
2		
3	<b>Cases</b>	
4	<i>Alfus v. Pyramid Tech. Corp.</i> ,	
5	745 F. Supp. 1511 (N.D. Cal. 1990) .....	15
6	<i>In re AST Research Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
7	887 F. Supp. 231 (C.D. Cal. 1995).....	15
8	<i>Baker v. SeaWorld Entm't, Inc.</i> ,	
9	2017 WL 5885542 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 29, 2017) .....	13
10	<i>Basic Inc. v. Levinson</i> ,	
11	485 U.S. 224 (1988).....	12
12	<i>Biotech. Value Fund, L.P. v. Celera Corp.</i> ,	
13	2014 WL 988913 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2014).....	7
14	<i>In re BofI Holding, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
15	302 F. Supp. 3d 1128 (S.D. Cal. 2018) .....	14
16	<i>In re Brocade Commc'ns Sys., Inc. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
17	615 F. Supp. 2d 1018 (N.D. Cal. 2009) .....	12
18	<i>In re Countrywide Fin. Corp. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
19	554 F. Supp. 2d 1044 (C.D. Cal. 2008) .....	5, 6, 11
20	<i>Desaigoudar v. Meyercord</i> ,	
21	223 F.3d 1020 (9th Cir. 2000).....	2, 3
22	<i>In re Diamond Foods, Inc. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
23	2012 WL 1945814 (N.D. Cal. May 29, 2012) .....	5
24	<i>In re Facebook, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
25	405 F. Supp. 3d 809 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11
26	<i>Fadia v. FireEye, Inc.</i> ,	
27	2016 WL 6679806 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2016).....	14
28	<i>Gaines v. Haughton</i> ,	
	645 F.2d 761 (9th Cir. 1981), <i>overruled in part on other grounds by In re McLinn</i> ,	
	739 F.2d 1395 (9th Cir. 1984).....	3, 4
	<i>Gen. Elec. Co. by Levit v. Cathcart</i> ,	
	980 F.2d 927 (3d Cir. 1992).....	5
	<i>Glazer Capital Mgmt., L.P. v. Magistri</i> ,	
	549 F.3d 736 (9th Cir. 2008).....	11

1	<i>In re HP Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
2	2012 WL 4468423 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 25, 2012) .....	5
3	<i>Irving Firemen’s Relief &amp; Ret. Fund v. Uber Techs.</i> ,	
4	2018 WL 4181954 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2018).....	6
5	<i>Janus Capital Grp. Inc. v. First Deriv. Traders</i> ,	
6	564 U.S. 135 (2011).....	6
7	<i>In re JPMorgan Chase Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
8	2014 WL 5430487 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2014) .....	3
9	<i>In re Kalobios Pharm., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
10	258 F. Supp. 3d 999 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....	12
11	<i>Kelley v. Rambus, Inc.</i> ,	
12	2008 WL 5170598 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 9, 2008).....	4, 5
13	<i>Louis Vuitton Malletier, S.A. v. Akanoc Solutios, Inc.</i> ,	
14	2010 WL 5598337 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 19, 2010).....	12
15	<i>Markette v. XOMA Corp.</i> ,	
16	2017 WL 4310759 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2017) .....	14
17	<i>Matrixx Initiatives, Inc. v. Siracusano</i> ,	
18	563 U.S. 27 (2011).....	4
19	<i>Mills v. Elec. Auto-Lite Co.</i> ,	
20	396 U.S. 375 (1970).....	4
21	<i>Mineworkers’ Pension Scheme v. First Solar Inc.</i> ,	
22	881 F.3d 750 (9th Cir. 2018).....	13
23	<i>Nuveen Mun. High Income Opportunity Fund v. City of Alameda</i> ,	
24	730 F.3d 1111 (9th Cir. 2013).....	13
25	<i>Or. Pub. Emps. Ret. Fund v. Apollo Grp. Inc.</i> ,	
26	774 F.3d 598 (9th Cir. 2014).....	13
27	<i>In re Paypal Holdings, Inc. S’holder Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
28	2018 WL 466527 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2018) .....	5, 10
	<i>Police Ret. Sys. of St. Louis v. Intuitive Surgical, Inc.</i> ,	
	759 F.3d 1051 (9th Cir. 2014).....	4, 11
	<i>In re ProShares Tr. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
	728 F.3d 96 (2d Cir. 2013).....	4
	<i>Rabkin v. Lion Biotechs., Inc.</i> ,	
	2018 WL 905862 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 15, 2018) .....	14, 15

1	<i>Rihn v. Acadia Pharm. Inc.</i> ,	
2	2016 WL 5076147 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 19, 2016).....	12
3	<i>In re Rocket Fuel, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
4	2015 WL 9311921 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 23, 2015).....	7
5	<i>Rok v. Identiv, Inc.</i> ,	
6	2018 WL 807147 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2018) .....	13
7	<i>S. Ferry L.P., No. 2 v. Killinger</i> ,	
8	542 F.3d 776 (9th Cir. 2008).....	11
9	<i>In re ShengdaTech, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
10	2014 WL 3928606 (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 12, 2014).....	7
11	<i>Travelers Commercial Ins. Co. v. Hansen</i> ,	
12	2016 WL 1745818 (N.D. Cal. May 3, 2016) .....	2
13	<i>TSC Indus., Inc. v. Northway, Inc.</i> ,	
14	426 U.S. 438 (1976).....	4
15	<i>Veal v. LendingClub Corp.</i> ,	
16	423 F. Supp. 3d 785 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	3
17	<i>In re Verisign, Inc. Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
18	531 F. Supp. 2d 1173 (N.D. Cal. 2007) .....	12
19	<i>Vicari v. Voyager Fin. Grp., LLC</i> ,	
20	2013 WL 12136519 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 17, 2013).....	12
21	<i>Virginia Bankshares, Inc. v. Sandberg</i> ,	
22	501 U.S. 1083 (1991).....	6
23	<i>In re Wells Fargo &amp; Co. S'holder Deriv. Litig.</i> ,	
24	282 F. Supp. 3d 1074 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....	5, 11, 12
25	<i>Wenger v. Lumysis, Inc.</i> ,	
26	2 F. Supp. 2d 1231 (N.D. Cal. 1998) .....	6
27	<i>Williams v. Globus Med., Inc.</i> ,	
28	869 F.3d 235 (3d Cir. 2017).....	8
	<i>WPP Luxembourg Gamma Three Sarl v. Spot Runner, Inc.</i> ,	
	655 F.3d 1039 (9th Cir. 2011), <i>abrogated on other grounds by Lorenzo v. SEC</i> ,	
	139 S. Ct. 1094 (2019).....	14
	<b>Statutes</b>	
	15 U.S.C. § 78u-4(b)(1) .....	3, 7, 10

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.