

1 Damien J. Marshall (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
dmarshall@bsflp.com
2 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
55 Hudson Yards, 20th Floor
3 New York, NY 10001
Telephone: (212) 446-2300
4 Facsimile: (212) 446-2350

5 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
mpritt@bsflp.com
6 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
7 San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 293-6800
8 Facsimile: (415) 293-6899

9 Menno Goedman (SBN 301271)
mgoedman@bsflp.com
10 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
11 Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 237-2727
12 Facsimile: (202) 237-6131

13 *Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,*
XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse
14

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17 OAKLAND DIVISION

18 In re RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION,

Case No. 18-cv-06753-PJH

19
20 This Document Relates To:
All Actions

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO
DISMISS COUNTS FOUR, SIX, AND SEVEN
OF THE CONSOLIDATED FIRST AMENDED
COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS
AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT**

Date: August 26, 2020
Time: 9:00 am
Place: Courtroom 3
Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

25 Consolidated First Amended Complaint filed:
26 March 25, 2020
27
28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

1
2 **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on August 26, 2020 at 9:00 am, or as soon thereafter as the matter
3 may be heard, in the courtroom of the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, United States District Judge,
4 Northern District of California, located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, Courtroom 3, Defendants
5 Ripple Labs Inc. (“Ripple”), XRP II, LLC (“XRP II”), and Bradley Garlinghouse will move the Court to
6 dismiss Counts Four, Six, and Seven of Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack’s Consolidated First Amended
7 Complaint for Violations of Federal and California Law, Dkt. 87. Defendants’ Motion is made pursuant
8 to Rules 9(b) and 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and seeks dismissal with prejudice.
9 The Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities,
10 reply briefing in further support of this Motion, any matters of which this Court may take judicial notice,
11 the arguments of counsel, and any such other matters as the Court may consider.

12
13 Dated: June 8, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

14 By: /s/ Damien J. Marshall
15 Damien J. Marshall (Admitted *pro hac vice*)
16 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
17 55 Hudson Yards, 20th Floor
18 New York, NY 10001
19 Telephone: (212) 446-2300
20 Email: dmarshall@bsflp.com

21 Maxwell V. Pritt (SBN 253155)
22 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
23 44 Montgomery Street, 41st Floor
24 San Francisco, CA 94104
25 Telephone: (415) 293-6800
26 Email: mpritt@bsflp.com

27 Menno Goedman (SBN 301271)
28 BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP
1401 New York Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 237-2727
Email: mgoedman@bsflp.com

*Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,
XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION i

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES 1

 I. INTRODUCTION 1

 II. STATEMENT OF ISSUE TO BE DECIDED..... 1

 III. FACTUAL BACKGROUND..... 1

 A. Defendants 1

 B. XRP and the XRP Ledger 2

 C. XRP Sales, Including Plaintiff’s Alleged Purchases 3

 IV. PROCEDURAL HISTORY 3

 V. STANDARD OF REVIEW 4

 VI. THE FRAUD CLAIMS STILL DO NOT SATISFY RULE 9(b)..... 4

 A. Statements Concerning XRP’s Utility 5

 B. Statement that XRP Is at an “All Time High” 7

 C. Statements Concerning Mr. Garlinghouse Being “Long” XRP..... 8

 D. Statement in CNBC Headline 9

 E. Statements Allegedly Conflating Ripple Enterprise Solutions and XRP 11

 VII. PLAINTIFF’S FRAUD CLAIMS SHOULD BE DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE 15

 VIII. CONCLUSION..... 15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Cases**

1		
2	Cases	
3	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> ,	
4	550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	1
5	<i>Beyer v. Symantec Corp.</i> ,	
6	333 F. Supp. 3d 966 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	8
7	<i>Bly-Magee v. California</i> ,	
8	236 F.3d 1014 (9th Cir. 2001).....	4
9	<i>Cansino v. Bank of Am.</i> ,	
10	224 Cal. App. 4th 1462 (2014).....	5
11	<i>CFTC v. McDonnell</i> ,	
12	287 F. Supp. 3d 213 (E.D.N.Y. 2018).....	2
13	<i>Coastal Abstract Serv., Inc. v. First Am. Title Ins. Co.</i> ,	
14	173 F.3d 725 (9th Cir. 1999).....	12
15	<i>Depot, Inc. v. Caring for Montanans, Inc.</i> ,	
16	915 F.3d 643 (9th Cir. 2019).....	4, 5, 8, 15
17	<i>In re Fusion-io, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
18	No. 13-CV-05368-LHK, 2015 WL 661869 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 12, 2015).....	8
19	<i>In re GlenFed Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
20	42 F.3d 1541 (9th Cir. 1994).....	4
21	<i>In re iPass, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. C05-00228 MHP,	
22	2006 WL 496046 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2006).....	7
23	<i>In re Phila. Newspapers, LLC</i> ,	
24	690 F.3d 161 (3d Cir. 2012).....	9
25	<i>Info. Control Corp. v. Genesis One Computer Corp.</i> ,	
26	611 F.2d 781 (9th Cir. 1980).....	5
27	<i>Kiehn v. Stein</i> ,	
28	No. C 12-6554 PJH, 2013 WL 1789718 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 26, 2013).....	9
	<i>Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Solutions, Inc.</i> ,	
	194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999).....	15
	<i>Lokhova v. Halper</i> ,	
	No. 1:19-cv-832 (LMB/JFA), 2020 WL 963032 (E.D. Va. Feb. 27, 2020).....	9
	<i>Marolda v. Symantec Corp.</i> ,	
	672 F. Supp. 2d 992 (N.D. Cal. 2009).....	10
	<i>Mirage Entm't, Inc. v. FEG Entretenimientos S.A.</i> ,	
	326 F. Supp. 3d 26 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).....	9, 13

1	<i>Mostowfi v. i2 Telecom Intern., Inc.</i> , 269 Fed. App'x 621 (9th Cir. 2008)	4
2	<i>Neu-Visions Sports, Inc. v. Soren / McAdam / Bartells</i> , 86 Cal. App. 4th 303 (2000)	7
3		
4	<i>Omnicare, Inc. v. Laborers Dist. Counsel Const. Indus. Pension Fund</i> , 575 U.S. 175 (2015).....	10
5	<i>Palantir Techs., Inc. v. Palantir.net, Inc.</i> , No. C 10-04283 CRB, 2011 WL 62411 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 7, 2011).....	1
6		
7	<i>Reddy v. Nuance Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , No. 11-CV-05632-PSG, 2012 WL 12818726 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2012).....	1
8	<i>Retail Wholesale & Dep't Store Union Local 338 Ret. Fund v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 845 F.3d 1268 (9th Cir. 2017)	7
9		
10	<i>Roe v. Doe</i> , No. C09-0682 PJH, 2009 WL 1883752 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2009)	9, 11, 12
11	<i>Rubke v. Capital Bancorp Ltd.</i> , 551 F.3d 1156 (9th Cir. 2009)	11, 14
12		
13	<i>Salameh v. Tarsadia Hotel</i> , 726 F.3d 1124 (9th Cir. 2013)	4
14	<i>Salstein v. Ha-Lo Indus., Inc.</i> , 82 F. Supp. 2d 1080 (N.D. Cal. 1999).....	5
15		
16	<i>Swartz v. KPMG LLP</i> , 476 F.3d 756 (9th Cir. 2007)	15
17	<i>Vess v. Ciba-Geigy Corp. USA</i> , 317 F.3d 1097 (9th Cir. 2003)	4, 5
18		
19	<i>ZL Tech., Inc. v. Gartner, Inc.</i> , 709 F. Supp. 2d 789 (N.D. Cal. 2010).....	5
20		
21	Statutes	
22	California Business and Professions Code Section 17200	1
23	California Business and Professions Code Section 17500	1
24	California Corporations Code Section 25110.....	3
25	California Corporations Code Section 25401	1
26	California Corporations Code Section 25503	3
27	California Corporations Code Section 25504.....	3
28		

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.