

Marc M. Seltzer (54534)
 mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
 Steven G. Sklaver (237612)
 ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com
 Oleg Elkhunovich (269238)
 oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com
 Krysta Kauble Pachman (280951)
 kpachman@susmangodfrey.com
 Nicholas N. Spear (304281)
 nspear@susmangodfrey.com
 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
 Telephone: (310) 789-3100
 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

James Q. Taylor-Copeland (284743)
 james@taylorcopelandlaw.com
 TAYLOR-COPELAND LAW
 501 W. Broadway, Suite 800
 San Diego, CA 92101
 Telephone: (619) 400-4944
 Facsimile: (619) 566-4341

Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 OAKLAND DIVISION**

In re RIPPLE LABS, INC. LITIGATION

Case No. 4:18-cv-06753-PJH (RMI)
 Formerly Consolidated/Related
 Case No. 4:21-cv-06518 (Closed 9-27-21)

CLASS ACTION

This Document Relates to:

All Actions

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
 FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION;
 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
 AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT**

DATE: April 26, 2023
 TIME: 1:30 p.m.
 PLACE: 1301 Clay St., Oakland, CA 94612
 Courtroom 3
 JUDGE: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton

Consolidated First Amended Complaint
 Filed: March 25, 2020

**FILED UNDER SEAL
 REDACTED**

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 26, 2023 at 1:30 p.m., in the courtroom of the Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton, United States District Judge, Northern District of California, located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, Courtroom 3, Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack will move the Court for certification of two classes pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

- Federal Securities Claims Class: All persons or entities who purchased XRP from May 3, 2017 through the present and who have (a) retained the XRP, and/or (b) sold the XRP at a loss.
- California State Securities Claims Class: All persons or entities who purchased XRP from Defendants and/or from any person or entity selling XRP on Defendants' behalf from May 3, 2017 through the present and who have (a) retained the XRP, and/or (b) sold the XRP at a loss.

Excluded from both Classes are: Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse; corporate officers, members of the boards of directors, and senior executives of Defendants Ripple Labs, Inc. and XRP II, LLC; members of Defendants' immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns; and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

Lead Plaintiff also requests he be appointed by the Court as the class representative and that Susman Godfrey L.L.P. and Taylor-Copeland Law be appointed as Class Counsel. The grounds for this motion are that this case meets all the requirements for class treatment as required under Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

The Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and supporting Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Declaration of Nicholas N. Spear and the exhibits attached thereto, the Declaration of Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack and the exhibit attached thereto, the reply briefing in further

1 support of this Motion, the arguments of counsel, and any such other matters as the Court may
2 consider.

3 Dated: November 18, 2022

SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.

4 By /s/ Marc M. Seltzer

5 Marc M. Seltzer (54534)
6 Steven G. Sklaver (237612)
7 Oleg Elkhunovich (269238)
8 Krysta Kauble Pachman (280951)
9 Nicholas N. Spear (304281)
10 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
11 1900 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 1400
12 Los Angeles, CA 90067-6029
13 Telephone: (310) 789-3100
14 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
15 mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
16 ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com
17 oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com
18 kpachman@susmangodfrey.com
19 nspear@susmangodfrey.com

20 James Q. Taylor-Copeland (284743)
21 TAYLOR-COPELAND LAW
22 501 W. Broadway, Suite 800
23 San Diego, CA 92101
24 james@taylorcopelandlaw.com
25 Telephone: (619) 400-4944
26 Facsimile: (619) 566-4341

27 *Counsel for Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack*
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. | STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED | 4 |
| III. | BACKGROUND | 4 |
| A. | All Proposed Class Members Purchased the Fungible Digital Asset XRP | 4 |
| B. | Ripple's ██████████ Business Valuation are Tied to XRP | 6 |
| C. | Defendants Promoted XRP to the Public as an Investment | 7 |
| D. | Defendants Do Not Register XRP Despite Billions in Transaction Value | 8 |
| IV. | LEGAL STANDARD | 9 |
| V. | THE PROPOSED CLASSES SATISFY RULE 23(A) | 10 |
| A. | The Proposed Classes Are Sufficiently Numerous and Ascertainable | 10 |
| B. | Common Issues of Fact and Law Exist | 11 |
| C. | Lead Plaintiff's Claims Are Typical of the Class | 12 |
| D. | Lead Plaintiff and His Counsel Will Adequately Represent the Class | 13 |
| VI. | THE PROPOSED CLASSES SATISFY RULE 23(B)(3) | 14 |
| A. | Common Issues Predominate for the Federal Securities Class | 14 |
| 1. | Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate as to Whether XRP is an Unregistered Security | 15 |
| a. | <i>Investment of Money</i> | 16 |
| b. | <i>Common Enterprise</i> | 17 |
| c. | <i>Expectation of Profits Produced by the Efforts of Others</i> | 19 |
| 2. | Common Questions of Law and Fact Predominate as to Whether Defendants Offered XRP to the Proposed Class | 21 |
| 3. | Damages Can Be Proven with a Common Methodology. | 24 |
| 4. | Common Issues of Law and Fact Predominate as to Control Person Liability for Defendants Ripple and Garlinghouse. | 25 |
| B. | Common Issues of Law and Fact Predominate for State Securities Class | 26 |
| C. | A Class Action is the Superior Method of Adjudicating the Claims | 29 |
| VII. | DEFENDANTS DO NOT PROVIDE ANY BASIS TO DENY CERTIFICATION | 30 |
| VIII. | CONCLUSION | 33 |

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**Page(s)****Cases**

| | |
|---|---------------|
| <i>A. B. v. Hawaii State Dep't of Educ.,</i> 30 F.4th 828 (9th Cir. 2022)..... | 12 |
| <i>Abdullah v. U.S. Sec. Assocs., Inc.,</i> 731 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 2013)..... | 9 |
| <i>Addison v. Monarch & Assocs., Inc.,</i> 2017 WL 10651455 (C.D. Cal. June 23, 2017) | 10 |
| <i>Amchem Prod., Inc. v. Windsor,</i> 521 U.S. 591 (1997)..... | 14 |
| <i>Apollo Capital Fund, LLC v. Roth Capital Partners, LLC,</i> 158 Cal. App. 4th 226, 253 (2007) | 28 |
| <i>Balestra v. ATBCOIN LLC,</i> 380 F. Supp. 3d 340 (S.D.N.Y. 2019)..... | 20, 21, 22 |
| <i>Balestra v. Cloud With Me Ltd.,</i> 2020 WL 4370392 (W.D. Pa. July 2, 2020)..... | 2, 12, 29 |
| <i>Briseno v. ConAgra Foods, Inc.,</i> 844 F.3d 1121 (9th Cir. 2017)..... | 11 |
| <i>Davidson v. Apple, Inc.,</i> 2018 WL 2325426 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2018) | 32 |
| <i>Davy v. Paragon Coin, Inc.,</i> 2020 WL 4460446 (N.D. Cal. June 24, 2020) | <i>passim</i> |
| <i>Diamond Multimedia Sys., Inc. v. Superior Ct.,</i> 19 Cal. 4th 1036 (1999) | 27 |
| <i>In re China Intelligent Lighting & Elecs., Inc. Sec. Litig.,</i> 2013 WL 5789237 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 25, 2013)..... | 25 |
| <i>In re Hyundai & Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.,</i> 926 F.3d 539 (9th Cir. 2019)..... | 13 |
| <i>In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.,</i> 2017 WL 679367 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 21, 2017)..... | 11 |
| <i>In re Lyft Inc. Sec. Litig.,</i> 2021 WL 3711470 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2021)..... | 10, 11, 29 |

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.