

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Jeremy J. Taylor (SBN 249075)
jeremy.taylor@bakerbotts.com
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
101 California Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, CA 94111
Tel: (415) 291-6200
Fax: (415) 291-6300

Bryant C. Boren, Jr. (SBN 260602)
bryant.c.boren@bakerbotts.com
Elizabeth K. Boggs (SBN 280555)
betsy.boggs@bakerbotts.com
John F. Gaustad (SBN 279893)
john.gaustad@bakerbotts.com
Keith M. Jurek (SBN 310856)
keith.jurek@bakerbotts.com
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
1001 Page Mill Road
Building One, Suite 200
Palo Alto, California 94304
Phone: (650) 739-7500
Fax: (650) 739-7699

Jennifer C. Tempesta (*admitted pro hac vice*)
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112
Phone: (212) 408-2500
Fax: (212) 408-2501

Attorneys for Defendant LYFT, INC.

JEFFREY J. TONEY (*pro hac vice*)
RALPH E. GASKINS (*pro hac vice*)
JACKIE L. TONEY (*pro hac vice*)
PAUL G. WILLIAMS (*pro hac vice*)
HALA S. MOURAD (*pro hac vice*)
KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
1349 West Peachtree Street NW, Suite 1500
Atlanta, Ga 30309
Telephone: (404) 260-6080
Facsimile: (404) 260-6081
jtoney@kasowitz.com
rgaskins@kasowitz.com
jatoney@kasowitz.com
pwilliams@kasowitz.com
hmourad@kasowitz.com

LYN R. AGRE (SBN 178218)
MARGARET A. ZIEMIANEK (SBN 233418)
KASOWITZ BENSON TORRES LLP
101 California Street, Suite 2300
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 421-6140
Facsimile: (415) 398-5030
lagre@kasowitz.com
mziemianek@kasowitz.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff RIDEAPP, INC.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

RIDEAPP, INC.

Plaintiff,

v.

LYFT, INC.

Defendant.

Case No. 18-CV-07152-JST

**JOINT STIPULATED [PROPOSED] FINAL
JUDGMENT**

Pursuant to Rule 58 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Joint Stipulation for Entry of Final Judgment (the “Stipulation”) between Plaintiff RideApp, Inc. (“RideApp”) and Defendant Lyft, Inc. (“Lyft”), the Court hereby directs entry of final judgment in conformity with the Court’s October 16, 2019 Claim Construction Order (ECF No. 117) (the “Order”) as follows:

1. The Court hereby enters, in favor of Lyft, Final Judgment of invalidity of Claims 2, 3, and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,697,730 for the reasons stated in the Order (ECF No. 117).
2. The Court dismisses without prejudice any remaining affirmative defenses raised by Lyft. These defenses shall be reinstated in the event this Judgment is reversed, in whole or in part.
3. The Court dismisses as moot Lyft’s Motion to Dismiss RideApp, Inc.’s Second Amended Complaint (ECF No. 89). In the event this Judgment is reversed, in whole or in part, Lyft may again raise—in a motion to dismiss or otherwise—any argument that Claims 2, 3, and 6 are invalid and/or unenforceable under 35 U.S.C. § 101. The Court dismisses as moot any other pending motions.
4. This Judgment dismisses with prejudice all claims brought by RideApp, and it is ordered that RideApp recover nothing by its claims against Lyft.
5. This Judgment denies any other requested relief not granted in this judgment with the exception of any application or motion for costs and/or attorneys’ fees filed by Lyft. The Court retains jurisdiction to hear any application or motion for costs and/or attorneys’ fees filed by Lyft pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54, Local Rules 54-1 through 54-5, and any other applicable statute or rule.
6. This Judgment and the Stipulation are without any waiver of, or prejudice to, RideApp’s or Lyft’s rights to challenge the Order on appeal and to appeal this Judgment on any ground relating to the Order.

///

///

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.

Dated: October 31, 2019

DOCKET
ALARM