

1 COOLEY LLP
 JOHN C. DWYER (136533) (dwyerjc@cooley.com)
 2 PATRICK E. GIBBS (183174) (pgibbs@cooley.com)
 SARAH M LIGHTDALE (4395661) (slightdale@cooley.com)
 3 CLAIRE A. MCCORMACK (241806) (cmccormack@cooley.com)
 SAMANTHA A. KIRBY (307917) (skirby@cooley.com)
 4 3175 Hanover Street
 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130
 5 Telephone: (650) 843-5000
 Facsimile: (650) 849-7400

6 Attorneys for Defendants
 7 NVIDIA CORPORATION, JENSEN HUANG,
 COLETTE M. KRESS, and JEFF FISHER

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
 10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11 OAKLAND DIVISION

12 In re NVIDIA CORPORATION
 13 SECURITIES LITIGATION

Case No. 4:18-cv-07669-HSG

14 _____
 This Document Relates to:

**DEFENDANTS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND
 MOTION TO DISMISS CONSOLIDATED CLASS
 ACTION COMPLAINT**

15 ALL ACTIONS

16 Date: October 31, 2019
 17 Time: 2:00 p.m.
 Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
 18 Courtroom: 2

19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1		
2		Page
3	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS	1
4	STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT	1
5	STATEMENT OF ISSUE TO BE DECIDED.....	1
6	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
7	I. INTRODUCTION	1
8	II. STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS AND FACTS SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL	
9	NOTICE.....	4
10	A. NVIDIA’s Business	4
11	B. Distribution of NVIDIA’s GPUs	4
12	C. The 2017-2018 Cryptocurrency Opportunity	5
13	1. The First Cryptocurrency Spike: March to July 2017	7
14	2. Cryptocurrency Levels Off: August to October 2017.....	8
15	3. The Second Cryptocurrency Spike: November 2017 to March 2018.....	10
16	D. The 2018 Inventory Challenges	11
17	1. NVIDIA Works to Replenish Channel Inventory to Serve Gaming	
18	Demand.....	11
19	2. Channel Inventory Levels Increase, But NVIDIA Believes “Pent-Up”	
20	Gaming Demand Remains	12
21	3. The Crypto Hangover	13
22	III. LEGAL STANDARDS	14
23	IV. ARGUMENT	15
24	A. Plaintiffs Fail to Adequately Plead Loss Causation.....	15
25	B. Plaintiffs Fail to Plead Falsity.....	18
26	1. Plaintiffs’ Allegations Based on “Expert” Opinion Cannot be Credited.....	19
27	2. Fisher’s May 10, 2017 Statement Regarding PC Gaming Industry.....	22
28	3. Company’s Statements Following Second Quarter of FY2018.....	23
	4. Company’s Statements Following Third Quarter of FY2018.....	25
	5. Huang’s Press Interviews Following Fourth Quarter of FY2018.....	27
	6. August 16, 2018 Statement: “Masters at Managing Our Channel”	29
	C. Plaintiffs Fail to Raise a Strong Inference of Scienter.....	30
	1. The Former Employees Do Not Support an Inference of Scienter.....	31
	2. The Core Operations Theory Does Not Apply Here	32
	3. Stock Transactions Negate An Inference of Scienter	33
	4. Plaintiffs’ Allegations Do Not Plausibly Suggest that Defendants	
	Intentionally Misled Investors	34

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(continued)

	Page
D. Plaintiffs' Control Person Claim Fails.....	35
V. CONCLUSION.....	35

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>In re Accuray, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 757 F. Supp. 2d 936 (N.D. Cal. 2010)	31
<i>Applestein v. Medivation, Inc.</i> , 561 F. App'x 598 (9th Cir. 2014)	20, 21
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	15
<i>In re Autodesk, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 132 F. Supp. 2d 833 (N.D. Cal. 2000)	32
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	15
<i>Berson v. Applied Signal Tech., Inc.</i> , 527 F.3d 982 (9th Cir. 2008)	16, 18
<i>Browning v. Amyris, Inc.</i> , No. 13-CV-02209-WHO, 2014 WL 1285175 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 24, 2014).....	25
<i>City of Dearborn Heights Act 345 Police & Fire Ret. Sys. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> , 856 F.3d 605 (9th Cir. 2017)	19, 23, 27, 30
<i>City of Royal Oak Ret. Sys. v. Juniper Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 5:11-CV-04003-LHK, 2013 WL 2156358 (N.D. Cal. May 17, 2013)	20
<i>Costabile v. Natus Med. Inc.</i> , No. 17-CV-00458-JSW, 2018 WL 7134363 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018).....	34
<i>In re Cutera Sec. Litig.</i> , 610 F.3d 1103 (9th Cir. 2010)	19
<i>In re Daou Sys., Inc.</i> , 411 F.3d 1006 (9th Cir. 2005)	30
<i>In re Downey Sec. Litig.</i> , No. CV 08-3261-JFW(RZx), 2009 WL 2767670 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 21, 2009)	34
<i>DSAM Global Value Fund v. Altris Software, Inc.</i> , 288 F.3d 385 (9th Cir. 2002)	15
<i>Dura Pharm., Inc. v. Broudo</i> , 544 U.S. 336 (2005).....	15, 16, 17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

		Page(s)
1		
2		
3	<i>Fadia v. FireEye, Inc.</i> ,	
4	No. 5:14-CV-05204-EJD, 2016 WL 6679806 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2016).....	29
5	<i>Fialkov v. Microsoft Corp.</i> ,	
6	72 F. Supp. 3d 1220 (W.D. Wash. 2014).....	34
7	<i>Fleming v. Impax Labs. Inc.</i> ,	
8	No. 16-cv-06557-HSG, 2018 WL 4616291 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 7, 2018).....	16, 17, 25
9	<i>In re Fusion-io, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
10	No. 13-CV-05368-LHK, 2015 WL 661869 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 12, 2015).....	23
11	<i>Gammel v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> ,	
12	905 F. Supp. 2d 1052 (C.D. Cal. 2012)	33
13	<i>Irving Firemen’s Relief & Ret. Fund v. Uber Techs.</i> ,	
14	No. 17-cv-05558-HSG, 2019 WL 3457804 (N.D. Cal. July 31, 2019).....	15
15	<i>In re Leapfrog Enter., Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
16	200 F. Supp. 3d 987 (N.D. Cal. 2016)	29, 30
17	<i>Lipton v. Pathogenesis Corp.</i> ,	
18	284 F.3d 1027 (9th Cir. 2002)	32
19	<i>Lloyd v. CVB Fin. Corp.</i> ,	
20	811 F.3d 1200 (9th Cir. 2016)	15, 16
21	<i>Martin v. Quartermain</i> ,	
22	732 F. App’x 37 (2d Cir. 2018)	27
23	<i>McCasland v. FormFactor, Inc.</i> ,	
24	No. C 07-5545-SI, 2009 WL 2086168 (N.D. Cal. July 14, 2009).....	35
25	<i>Metzler Inv. GMBH v. Corinthian Colleges, Inc.</i> ,	
26	540 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008)	33
27	<i>Mineworkers’ Pension Scheme v. First Solar Inc.</i> ,	
28	881 F.3d 750 (9th Cir. 2018)	15, 16
	<i>Nathanson v. Polycom, Inc.</i> ,	
	87 F. Supp. 3d 966 (N.D. Cal. 2015)	31
	<i>Norfolk Cty. Ret. Sys. v. Solazyme, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 15-cv-02938-HSG, 2018 WL 3126393 (N.D. Cal. June 26, 2018)	30, 31

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.