	Case 4:19-cv-02033-YGR Document 342 Filed 02/14/23 Page 1 of 1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	CITY OF ROSEVILLE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM, Case No. 19-cv-02033-YGR (JCS)
9	Plaintiff, ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER
10	v. ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL
11	APPLE INC., et al., Re: Dkt. No. 246
12	Defendants.
13	
14	In connection with Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Documents Withheld as Privileged,

United States District Court Northern District of California

15 Plaintiffs filed a motion to seal their supplemental brief and certain supporting declarations based 16 on Defendants' confidentiality designations. See dkt. no. 246 ("Sealing Motion"). Pursuant to 17 Civil Local Rule 79-5, the party that designates material as confidential must, within 7 days of the 18 filing of such a motion, file a response establishing that the material at issue should be sealed. 19 Civ.L.R. 79-5(c), (f). It appears that Defendants did not file a response to the Sealing Motion, 20 which remains pending. The Court requests that within seven (7) days, Defendants file a response 21 to the Sealing Motion addressing whether the material that is the subject of that motion should 22 remain under seal. Even if Defendants do not seek to have the material sealed, the Court requests 23 that they file a response informing the Court that the Sealing Motion can be denied and the 24 materials sought to be sealed may be filed in the public record. 25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: February 14, 2023

27

JOSEPH C. SPERO

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.