

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CITY OF ROSEVILLE EMPLOYEES'  
RETIREMENT SYSTEM,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 19-cv-02033-YGR (JCS)

**ORDER RE ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER  
ANOTHER PARTY'S MATERIAL  
SHOULD BE SEALED**

Re: Dkt. No. 246

United States District Court  
Northern District of California

In connection with Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Documents Withheld as Privileged, Plaintiffs filed a motion to seal their supplemental brief and certain supporting declarations based on Defendants' confidentiality designations. See dkt. no. 246 ("Sealing Motion"). At the Court's request, Defendants have filed a response stating that do not seek to have the Court seal the materials at issue in the Sealing Motion and have no objection to the Court denying it and permitting the filing of the materials in the public record. Defendants have asked, however, that Plaintiffs be required to redact the email addresses of Apple personnel in the materials before filing them in the public record to protect those employees' privacy. That request is granted. Accordingly, the Sealing Motion is DENIED except as to the email addresses of Apple personnel referenced in the materials, which shall be redacted from the public version of the documents.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 21, 2023

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOSEPH C. SPERO  
Chief Magistrate Judge