

1 JAMES N. KRAMER (SBN 154709)
jkramer@orrick.com
2 MICHAEL D. TORPEY (SBN 79424)
mtorpey@orrick.com
3 ALEXANDER K. TALARIDES (SBN
268068)
4 atalarides@orrick.com
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
5 LLP
The Orrick Building
6 405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-2669
7 Telephone: +1 (415) 773-5700
Facsimile: +1 (415) 773-5759

DANIEL J KRAMER (NY SBN: 1979392;
Pro Hac Vice)
dkramer@paulweiss.com
AUDRA J SOLOWAY (NY SBN: 4113536;
Pro Hac Vice)
asoloway@paulweiss.com
HARRIS FISCHMAN (NY SBN: 4380598;
Pro Hac Vice)
hfischman@paulweiss.com
PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP
1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019-6064
Telephone: (212) 373-3503
Facsimile: (212) 757-3990

8 MELINDA L. HAAG (SBN: 132612)
mhaag@paulweiss.com
9 WALTER F. BROWN JR. (SBN: 130248)
wbrown@paulweiss.com
10 MEREDITH R. DEARBORN (SBN: 268312)
mdearborn@paulweiss.com
11 PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &
GARRISON LLP
12 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
13 Telephone: (628) 432-5100
14 Facsimile: (628) 232-3101

15 Attorneys for Defendants Apple Inc.,
Timothy Cook, and Luca Maestri

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
19 OAKLAND DIVISION

21 IN RE APPLE INC. SECURITIES
22 LITIGATION

Civil Action No. 4:19-cv-02033-YGR

23 _____
24 This Document Relates To:
25 ALL ACTIONS.

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING IN
PART LEAD PLAINTIFF'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO
CONSIDER WHETHER ANOTHER
PARTY'S MATERIAL SHOULD BE
SEALED (DKT. NO. 389)

Judge: Honorable Joseph C. Spero

1 On July 18, 2023, Lead Plaintiff filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether
2 Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed (Dkt. No. 389, the "Administrative Motion").
3 Defendants Apple Inc., Timothy Cook, and Luca Maestri (collectively, "Defendants"), have
4 submitted a declaration in support of their request that certain material presented in connection
5 with the Administrative Motion remain under seal. Having considered the papers and the
6 contentions of the parties, and for good cause shown, the Court HEREBY GRANTS IN PART
7 the Administrative Motion as follows:

8 Defendants have demonstrated that good cause exists to seal Exhibit 1 to the Declaration
9 of Kenneth J. Black in Support of Lead Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Review and Production of
10 Non-Privileged Documents (Dkt. No. 389-5). The Clerk of the Court shall maintain the
11 "sealed/unredacted" version of this document. Only this Court, court personnel, and parties to
12 this case may inspect the records sealed by this Order.

13 Defendants have stated that they do not seek to maintain under seal Lead Plaintiff's
14 Motion to Compel Review and Production of Non-Privileged Documents (the "Motion to
15 Compel") (Dkt. No. 389-3). Accordingly, the Administrative Motion is DENIED as to the
16 Motion to Compel.

17
18 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19 Date: August 25, 2023

20 
21 _____
22 HON. JOSEPH C. SPERO
23 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28