

1 THEODORE J. BOUTROUS JR., SBN 132099  
tboutrous@gibsondunn.com  
2 RICHARD J. DOREN, SBN 124666  
rdoren@gibsondunn.com  
3 DANIEL G. SWANSON, SBN 116556  
dswanson@gibsondunn.com  
4 JAY P. SRINIVASAN, SBN 181471  
jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com  
5 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
333 South Grand Avenue  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
7 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

8 VERONICA S. MOYÉ (Texas Bar No.  
24000092; *pro hac vice*)  
9 vmoye@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
10 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100  
Dallas, TX 75201  
11 Telephone: 214.698.3100  
Facsimile: 214.571.2900

MARK A. PERRY, SBN 212532  
mperry@gibsondunn.com  
CYNTHIA E. RICHMAN (D.C. Bar No.  
492089; *pro hac vice*)  
crichman@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.955.8500  
Facsimile: 202.467.0539

ETHAN DETTMER, SBN 196046  
edettmer@gibsondunn.com  
ELI M. LAZARUS, SBN 284082  
elazarus@gibsondunn.com  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
555 Mission Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: 415.393.8200  
Facsimile: 415.393.8306

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

12  
13  
14  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
16 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
17 OAKLAND DIVISION  
18

19 EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff, Counter-  
defendant

20 v.  
21

22 APPLE INC.,

23 Defendant,  
24 Counterclaimant.  
25

Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH

**APPLE INC.'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND  
MOTION FOR STAY OF INJUNCTION  
PENDING APPEAL AND MEMORANDUM  
OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN  
SUPPORT THEREOF**

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 16, 2021 at 2:00 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard by the Court, at the courtroom of the Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers, Courtroom 1, 14th Floor, United States District Court, 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California, Defendant Apple Inc. will and hereby does move the Court to stay the September 10, 2021 Permanent Injunction (Dkt. 813) pending the resolution of appeals in this case. This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion; the Memorandum of Points and Authorities that follows; the Declarations of Mark A. Perry and Trystan Kosmyinka and exhibits thereto; the Proposed Order filed herewith; the pleadings and papers on file herein; and such other matters that may be presented to the Court at the hearing.

DATED: October 8, 2021

By /s/ Mark A. Perry  
GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
Theodore J. Boutrous Jr.  
Richard J. Doren  
Daniel G. Swanson  
Mark A. Perry  
Veronica S. Lewis  
Cynthia E. Richman  
Jay P. Srinivasan  
Ethan D. Dettmer  
Rachel Brass  
  
*Attorneys for Apple Inc.*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

BACKGROUND ..... 1

    A. The Court Enjoins Enforcement of Portions of Guidelines 3.1.1 & 3.1.3 ..... 3

    B. Apple Addresses Anti-Steering In Other Venues ..... 5

LEGAL STANDARD ..... 6

DISCUSSION ..... 7

    A. Apple Would Be Irreparably Harmed In The Absence Of A Stay ..... 7

    B. Apple Has A Substantial Case For Relief On The Merits ..... 10

        1. There Is No UCL Violation..... 11

        2. Epic Lacks Standing..... 14

        3. The Injunction Is Beyond The Equitable Authority Of The Court ..... 15

    C. A Stay Will Not Injure Epic..... 18

    D. A Stay Is In The Public Interest..... 18

    E. In The Alternative, The Court Should Temporarily Stay The Injunction..... 19

CONCLUSION ..... 19

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

## CASES

1		
2		
3	<b>CASES</b>	
4	<i>Bresgal v. Brock</i> ,	
5	843 F.2d 1163 (9th Cir. 1987).....	17
6	<i>Campbell v. Nat'l Passenger R.R. Corp.</i> ,	
7	No. 05-CV-5434, 2009 WL 4546673 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2009).....	19
8	<i>Cel-Tech Commc'ns, Inc. v. L.A. Cellular Tel. Co.</i> ,	
9	20 Cal. 4th 163 (1999) .....	11
10	<i>Concord Assocs., L.P. v. Entm't Props. Tr.</i> ,	
11	817 F.3d 46 (2d Cir. 2016).....	12
12	<i>Conservation Congress v. U.S. Forest Serv.</i> ,	
13	No. 11-CV-2605, 2012 WL 3150307 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2012).....	19
14	<i>Dameron Hosp. Ass'n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.</i> ,	
15	No. 12-CV-2246, 2013 WL 5718886 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 15, 2013).....	18
16	<i>Davis v. FEC</i> ,	
17	554 U.S. 724 (2008).....	14
18	<i>Davis v. HSBC Bank Nev., N.A.</i> ,	
19	691 F.3d 1152 (9th Cir. 2012).....	13
20	<i>Easyriders Freedom F.I.G.H.T. v. Hannigan</i> ,	
21	92 F.3d 1486 (9th Cir. 1996).....	17
22	<i>eBay Inc. v. MercExchange, LLC</i> ,	
23	547 U.S. 388 (2006).....	16
24	<i>Elliot v. Williams</i> ,	
25	No. 08-CV-829, 2011 WL 5080169 (D. Nev. Oct. 25, 2011) .....	19
26	<i>Facebook, Inc. v. Brandtotal, Ltd.</i> ,	
27	No. 20-CV-7182, 2021 WL 2354751 (N.D. Cal. June 9, 2021).....	11
28	<i>Gregory v. Albertson's, Inc.</i> ,	
	104 Cal. App. 4th 845 (2002) .....	11
	<i>Hangarter v. Provident Life &amp; Accident Ins. Co.</i> ,	
	373 F.3d 998 (9th Cir. 2004).....	14
	<i>Hilton v. Braunskill</i> ,	
	481 U.S. 770 (1987).....	6

1 *Hunt v. Check Recovery Sys., Inc.*,  
 2 No. 05-CV-4993, 2008 WL 2468473 (N.D. Cal. June 17, 2008).....18

3 *Lair v. Bullock*,  
 4 697 F.3d 1200 (9th Cir. 2012).....6

5 *Levi Strauss & Co. v. Shilon*,  
 6 121 F.3d 1309 (9th Cir. 1997).....16

7 *Lozano v. AT&T Wireless Servs., Inc.*,  
 8 504 F.3d 718 (9th Cir. 2007).....13

9 *Lujan v. Defs. of Wildlife*,  
 10 504 U.S. 555 (1992).....14

11 *Madsen v. Women’s Health Ctr., Inc.*,  
 12 512 U.S. 753 (1994).....17

13 *Nat’l Collegiate Athletic Ass’n v. Alston*,  
 14 141 S. Ct. 2141 (2021).....8

15 *Ohio v. Am. Express Co.*,  
 16 138 S. Ct. 2274 (2018).....2, 8, 9, 11, 13

17 *Piper Restoration Techs., LLC v. Coast Bldg. & Plumbing, Inc.*,  
 18 No. 13-CV-499, 2018 WL 6012219 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 16, 2018).....16

19 *Snapkeys, Ltd. v. Google LLC*,  
 20 No. 19-CV-2658, 2020 WL 6381354 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 30, 2020).....11

21 *Sonner v. Premier Nutrition Corp.*,  
 22 971 F.3d 834 (9th Cir. 2020).....16

23 *State Oil Co. v. Khan*,  
 24 522 U.S. 3 (1997).....13

25 *Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. v. Dukes*,  
 26 564 U.S. 338 (2011).....17

27 *Warth v. Seldin*,  
 28 422 U.S. 490 (1975).....14, 15

*Zepeda v. U.S. I.N.S.*,  
 753 F.2d 719 (9th Cir. 1983).....17

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.