

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:20-CV-05640-YGR

ORDER REGARDING PAGE LIMITS AND
BRIEFING SCHEDULE

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

The Court is in receipt of three requests from non-parties for leave to file *amicus curiae* briefs in support of plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.’s motion to enforce the injunction. (See Dkt. Nos. 904, 906 & 908.) The Court understands defendant Apple, Inc. intends to oppose the requests.

For efficiency and to keep the scheduled hearing date on Epic’s motion, the Court **ORDERS** that defendant shall file their oppositions to the pending motions for leave by no later than **12:00 p.m. Pacific Time on April 3, 2024**. Plaintiff shall file their replies by no later than **12:00 p.m. Pacific Time on April 5, 2024**.

Pursuant to the parties’ joint stipulation and good cause showing, the Court further **ORDERS** as follows: First, Apple’s consolidated response to Epic’s motion, as well as any *amicus* briefs allowed to be filed, shall be due on **April 12, 2024**. Second, Epic’s reply in support of their motion to enforce the injunction shall be due ten calendar days later on **April 22, 2024**. Third, if this Court permits any non-parties to file *amicus* briefs in connection with Epic’s motion, Apple’s consolidated response shall not exceed **35 pages**.

This terminates Dkt. No. 910.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: March 28, 2024


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE