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## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY HOUSTON, an individual, Plaintiff,
v.

FOSTER DAIRY FARMS, a California corporation, and CRYSTAL CREAMERY, INC., a California corporation,

Case No.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

## INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff Larry Houston ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Houston") brings this action against Defendants for employment discrimination and retaliation in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §§2000e, et seq., the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., and the California Fair Employment and

Housing Act ("FEHA"), Cal. Gov’t Code §§ 12900, et seq. Despite Plaintiff's superior qualifications and experience, Defendants' adverse treatment of Plaintiff because he is an older African-American employee resulted in Defendants' discriminatory refusal to promote Plaintiff to the Director of Transportation position.

## PARTIES

2. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of California. Plaintiff is an African-American man who was more than 40 years of age at all relevant times. At all relevant times, Plaintiff was employed by and performed work for Defendants as a Depot Branch Manager in Hayward, California, the County of Alameda.
3. Defendant Foster Dairy Farms ("Foster Farms") is a for-profit California corporation with its principal place of business in Modesto, California, the County of Stanislaus. Foster Farms is engaged in the business of producing and distributing food dairy products.
4. Defendant Crystal Creamery, Inc. ("Crystal Creamery") is a for-profit California Corporation with its principal place of business in Modesto, California, the County of Stanislaus. Crystal Creamery is engaged in the business of producing and distributing food dairy products.
5. Foster Farms and Crystal Creamery are collectively referred to herein as "Defendants."
6. Defendants, and each of them, were employers within the meaning of Title VII, the ADEA, and the FEHA.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Complaint seeks damages for violations of the civil rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed by Title VII, the ADEA, as well as California state law.
8. This Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as these claims arise out of the same case or controversy.
9. Plaintiff's claims arose in the County of Alameda, California. Venue therefore lies in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2). Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(d), this action shall be assigned to the San Francisco Division or the Oakland Division.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

10. In or around November 2008, Plaintiff began working in Defendants’ transportation department as a Depot Branch Manager in Hayward, California.
11. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that in the over half century Defendants have been in business, no African-American has ever held the Director of Transportation role. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that he is only one of two African-Americans ever hired into a manager role in Defendants' history.
12. As a Depot Branch Manager, Plaintiff reports to the Director of Transportation. During the entirety of Plaintiff's employment, the Director of Transportation position has been held by a Caucasian man, most of whom Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, were younger than Plaintiff.
13. At all relevant times, Plaintiff has performed the duties of the Depot Branch

Manager in Hayward satisfactorily.
14. Throughout his employment with Defendants, Plaintiff has received positive performance reviews.
15. Over the years, whenever the Director of Transportation position became open, Defendants have repeatedly failed to promote Plaintiff to Director of Transportation in favor of less qualified Caucasian candidates and younger candidates. Defendants also failed to post the position so that interested employees such as Plaintiff could apply.
16. For example, in or around 2012, a younger Caucasian employee, Colby Bell, was promoted to the position of Director of Transportation without the position being posted. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that company policy at the time required Defendants to post the open position.
17. Mr. Bell lasted in the Director of Transportation position until around March 2014.
18. In or around April 2014, Plaintiff learned that Defendants had promoted Doug Peterson, a younger Caucasian man, into the position of Director of Transportation (to replace Colby Bell). Defendants did not post the job before promoting Mr. Peterson. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that company policy at the time required Defendants to post the open position.
19. Shortly after Mr. Peterson was promoted to Director of Transportation in or around April 2014, Plaintiff contacted Defendants' human resources department to inquire about why the job had not been posted so Plaintiff could have applied and been considered. Plaintiff never received a satisfactory answer.
20. On or around May 23, 2017, Plaintiff filed a charge of discrimination with the

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), charge no. 555-2017-00504, against Defendants alleging that Defendants were discriminating against Plaintiff on account of his race and age. Plaintiff alleged in his EEOC charge no. 555-2017-00504 that "[o]n or about February 7, 2017, Doug Petersen, Director of Transportation, subjected me to disparate treatment and terms of conditions of employment when it [sic] allowed another white, younger Manager to delegate Purchase Orders to his staff but I was not afforded the same opportunity. On or about February 8, 2017, I sent an email to Mr. Petersen, inquiring about this delegation and being held to a different standard but not equally. I also spoke to my HR Manager, but as of today, I have not heard from HR."
21. In a letter to Plaintiff dated July 14, 2017, the EEOC acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff's charge no. 555-2017-00504, which was dual-filed with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH"). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that the EEOC furnished a copy of Plaintiff's charge no. 555-2017-00504 to Defendants sometime in the summer of 2017.
22. In or around November 2017, and while his EEOC charge no. 555-2017-00504 was still pending at the EEOC, Plaintiff became aware through word of mouth that the position of Director of Transportation was open, and that Defendants had recently interviewed three younger, Caucasian employees for the position. Defendants had not posted the job listing before interviewing for the position. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that company policy at the time required Defendants to post the open position.
23. Shortly after Plaintiff learned that the position was open and that Defendants were already considering individuals for the position, Plaintiff contacted human resources to ask about the position. The human resources representative informed Plaintiff that she was not sure
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