	Case 4:20-md-02951-HSG	Document 36	Filed 01/08/21	Page 1 of 84
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Tina Wolfson (SBN 174806) Theodore W. Maya (SBN 223242) Bradley K. King (SBN 274399) AHDOOT & WOLFSON, PC 2600 West Olive Avenue, Suite 500 Burbank, California 91505 Tel: (310) 474-9111 Fax: (310) 474-9111 Fax: (310) 474-8585 twolfson@ahdootwolfson.com tmaya@ahdootwolfson.com bking@ahdootwolfson.com			
8 9 10 11 12 13	Tiasha Palikovic* Steven L. Wittels* J. Burkett McInturff* 18 HALF MILE ROAD ARMONK, NEW YORK 10504 Telephone: (914) 319-9945 Facsimile: (914) 273-2563 slw@wittelslaw.com jbm@wittelslaw.com tpalikovic@wittelslaw.com (*pro hac vice)			
14 15 16	Interim Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintify UNITH	ED STATES DI	STRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
18	IN RE: STUBHUB REFUND LITIC))	Case No. 4:20-md-	
19		· · ·	<u>CONSOLIDATE</u> COMPLAINT	D CLASS ACTION
20)	JURY TRIAL DE	MANDED
21 22)	Hon. Haywood S.	Gilliam, Jr., presiding
22)		
24))		
25)		
26				
27				
20				
DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .				

Case 4:20-md-02951-HSG Document 36 Filed 01/08/21 Page 2 of 84

1 Plaintiffs Angelo Gobaleza, Dianna Gomez, Anjora Hansen, Kenneth Kruger, Brittany 2 McKenzie, Alexis Moran Sandoval, Anthony Fattori, Richard Huante, Anabel Avalos, Deanna Cook, 3 Hazel Dominguez, Matthew Fogg, Dennis Dwyer, Paul Koble, Lisa Molidor, David Dahl, Brian Moore, 4 Jennifer Williams, Casey Moyer, Brendan Carroll, Brittany Knight, Amanda Matlock, Gary Ward, 5 Yolanda Gordils, William Mignault, Jeff Thomas, Josiah Burkhardsmeier, Bonnie Lee Risch, Scot 6 Hudson, Amy Ebeling, Jim Harris, Katherine Morales, Adjani Janvie Delgado Rivera, Fiana Burshteyn, 7 Brett Allison Kushner, Stephanie Wood, Benjamin Wutz, Candace Reece Cooper, Sheila Green, Laura 8 Lym-Murphy, Julie Metz, Crystal Ashley Davis, Ernie Glaspey, Conrad Markwalder, Reginald 9 McDaniel, Michael Reaggs, Derrick Weaver, Brian Abeyta, Amy Gutierrez, Adam Schiefer, Don Anderson, Emma Goodacre, Bob Kenna, Theresa Gren, Jennifer Lively, and Matthew McMillan 10 (collectively, "Plaintiffs"), by and through their undersigned counsel, file this Consolidated Class Action 11 12 Complaint against Defendant StubHub, Inc. ("StubHub" or "Defendant"), individually and on behalf of 13 a class of similarly situated individuals, and allege, upon personal knowledge as to their own actions, and upon investigation of counsel as to all other matters, as follows: 14

15

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiffs bring this Consolidated Class Action Complaint to stop StubHub's egregious 16 bait and switch practice that passes the financial hardship of the COVID-19 pandemic to the consumers 17 18 who are already under dire financial stress as a result of the pandemic. StubHub enticed Plaintiffs and the Class to purchase tickets with its "FanProtectTM Guarantee," which promised that consumers would 19 20 get 100% of their money back if events are canceled, and it had built the StubHub brand around this 21 trademarked term for at least fourteen years. But when consumers needed that guarantee the most after 22 COVID-19 caused financial ruin to many in the United States, StubHub unilaterally and surreptitiously 23 redefined the terms of the guarantee so that it could keep the cash it collected for ticket prices and service fees rather than return it to the consumers as originally promised, despite being recently acquired by 24 25 Viagogo for \$4 billion. Instead, StubHub began offering useless credits that may well expire prior to the 26 end of the pandemic. Plaintiffs beseech the Court to force StubHub to comply with the terms of the bargain it made and return the cash back to the consumers who purchased tickets for events that have 27

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Case 4:20-md-02951-HSG Document 36 Filed 01/08/21 Page 3 of 84

2. Furthermore, Plaintiffs ask the Court to issue an order to prevent StubHub from unilaterally changing the terms of the "FanProtectTM Guarantee" to revoke the 100% money back term in the event of a cancellation, as it originally meant and has meant for at least fourteen years.

4 3. As the COVID-19 pandemic rages on with no predictable end in sight, StubHub continues 5 to mislead consumers into purchasing tickets for events that are currently scheduled but may well be 6 canceled because of the pandemic or other reasons by continuing to publicize and market the 7 "FanProtectTM Guarantee" without clear and conspicuous disclosures that this guarantee no longer means 8 a cash refund (as it has for at least fourteen years) and because StubHub further fails to disclose its 9 position that it can unilaterally change the meaning of that guarantee at any time. Consumers who can afford to purchase entertainment tickets continue to do so under the erroneous assumption that the 10 "FanProtectTM Guarantee" means what it originally meant for at least 14 years: 100% cash back in the 11 12 event of a cancellation. Plaintiffs beseech the Court to order StubHub to disseminate clear, conspicuous, 13 and prolific corrective advertising to educate consumers that when they purchase tickets with StubHub, they will not get cash back, may get the expiring credits StubHub currently offers, or may get something 14 15 else or even nothing at all, because StubHub continues to assert that it has the right to change the definition of this guarantee unilaterally at any time. 16

17

1

2

3

OVERVIEW OF DEFENDANT'S UNLAWFUL PRACTICES

18 4. This case arises during a time of hardship for so many Americans, with each day bringing 19 different news of the efforts to combat the novel coronavirus. Beginning in early March 2020, social 20 distancing, shelter-in-place orders, and efforts to "flatten the curve" prompted the nationwide 21 cancellation of sporting events, concerts, and other large gatherings as most of the country locked down. 22 StubHub is the "world's largest ticket marketplace" and, for at least fourteen years prior to COVID-19, had made a "FanProtectTM Guarantee" that ticket purchasers like Plaintiffs would receive full cash 23 refunds for canceled events. The COVID-19 cancellations and StubHub's trademarked guarantee should 24 have meant that StubHub ticketholders like Plaintiffs were promptly refunded their hard-earned 25 26 money-money consumers now need more than ever in a time when many of StubHub's customers have lost their jobs and are suffering financial hardship. Yet after the pandemic hit, StubHub retroactively 27

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

£ ... 1

FanProtectTM Guarantee. Instead, StubHub began offering expiring coupons for future purchases on its website. And if this practice is not stopped by the Court, there is nothing to prevent StubHub from yet again redefining this guarantee to mean whatever suits StubHub.

5. This is a bait and switch on a global scale. The FanProtectTM Guarantee is the bedrock of StubHub's business model and has been part of its marketing since at least 2006. In February 26, 2020, just weeks before the pandemic hit, at a hearing before the House of Representatives Committee on Energy and Commerce, Stephanie Burns, StubHub's Vice President and General Counsel, testified that "StubHub's FanProtect Guarantee is the hallmark of our business and it is why we have earned the trust of fans around the globe."¹ And in October 2019, for example, Defendant's website stated in multiple places that "[y]ou'll get a refund if your event is canceled and not rescheduled."²

The whole point of the FanProtectTM Guarantee is that it placed the risk of loss onto 6. 11 12 StubHub. This assumption of risk is what allowed StubHub to convert the largely underground scalper 13 market into more than \$1 billion in annual revenue and to be acquired for \$4 billion in February 2020 by Viagogo. The consuming public relied on this guarantee in purchasing their tickets from StubHub. 14 15 Yet the truth is that StubHub's assumption of the risk turned out to be hollow. As soon as the risk 16 materialized, the company went back on its agreement with consumers en masse. To be sure, the 17 COVID-19 pandemic is a catastrophic event beyond StubHub's control, but the inescapable reality is 18 that the costs of this catastrophe must fall on the party that explicitly assumed the risk. This is precisely 19 what risk-assumption commercial insurance is for, and the profit StubHub received in its acquisition was 20 for, and it is precisely why StubHub has for years guaranteed that the cancellation risks would fall on itself rather than consumers. 21

22 23 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

7. In the early days of COVID-19, StubHub appeared to be taking the high road. On March 8, 2020, StubHub's President emailed StubHub customers to "personally reach[] out to you regarding the current Coronavirus situation" because "[w]e know it's an unsettling time for everyone and our hearts

25

26

¹ Available at https://docs.house.gov/meetings/IF/IF02/20200226/110588/HHRG-116-IF02-Wstate-BurnsS-20200226.pdf.

²⁷ Defendant recently scrubbed StubHub's website of these references but Google's cache prevented these items' erasure from the Internet

go out to those impacted." The email's subject line was "Coronavirus Update: We have your back" and was meant to reassure customers that "**StubHub is here for you**." (Emphasis in original.) Consistent with StubHub's FanProtectTM Guarantee, the email also emphasized that "[i]f you bought tickets on StubHub to an event that is canceled, you have two options:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

1. Receive a full refund of your purchase

2. Receive a coupon for 120% of your original purchase

(Emphasis in original.)

8 8. Yet just days later, StubHub changed tack, abandoning its longstanding FanProtectTM 9 Guarantee and starting to refuse consumers' refund requests. On March 25, 2020, without so much as an 10 email to consumers, StubHub surreptitiously changed the terms of its FanProtectTM Guarantee on the 11 backpages of its website, then stating that "if the event is canceled and not rescheduled, you will get a 12 refund or credit for use on a future purchase, as determined in StubHub's sole discretion (unless a refund 13 is required by law)."

9.

9. On March 27, 2020, Sports Business reporter Darren Rovell tweeted³ about StubHub's new policy and observed as follows:

Instead of full refunds for canceled events, they changed it to a COUPON worth 20% more than the value of the ticket. As pointed out by @don_shano, this is not only absurd (fans deserve their \$ back), it's unethical and likely illegal.

19 10. StubHub responded that "[w]e appreciate our fans & want to create an offer of value
20 given the difficult circumstances. To thank fans for their patience we are offering 120% credit. We will
21 continue to provide refunds to buyers where required by law. This model is common practice in a number
22 of industries."⁴

- 11. This was public relations drivel. As one consumer noted "[t]he funny part about this is
 that there's a 20% surcharge/fee for tickets, so basically @StubHub is just waiving a fee for a future
- 25 26

27

³ Available at: <u>https://twitter.com/stubhub/status/1243738305658830851</u>.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.