

1 **EDGE, A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION**

Daniel A. Rozenblatt (SBN 336058)

2 daniel@edge.law

Seth W. Wiener (SBN 203747)

3 seth@edge.law

4 1341 La Playa Street 20

San Francisco, CA 94122

5 Telephone: (415) 515-4809

6 **CAPSTONE LAW APC**

Tarek H. Zohdy (SBN 247775)

7 tarek.zohdy@capstonelawyers.com

8 Cody R. Padgett (SBN 275553)

cody.padgett@capstonelawyers.com

9 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1000

Los Angeles, California 90067

10 Telephone: (310) 556-4811

11 Facsimile: (310) 943-0396

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ANDREW AXELROD and ELIOT BURK

14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

15 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 ANDREW AXELROD and ELIOT BURK,
18 individually and on behalf of all others
19 similarly situated,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 LENOVO (UNITED STATES) INC., a
23 Delaware corporation,

24 Defendant.

Case No.: 4:21-cv-06770-JSW

**FIRST AMENDED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 Plaintiffs Andrew Axelrod and Eliot Burk (“Plaintiffs”), individually and on behalf of all
2 others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendant Lenovo (United States) Inc.
3 (“Lenovo”). Upon personal knowledge as to their own acts and status and upon information and
4 belief as to all other matters, Plaintiffs allege the following:

5 INTRODUCTION

6 1. This is a class action against Lenovo for false advertising on its website, lenovo.com.
7 Lenovo is the largest computer manufacturer in the world. To sell more products and maximize its
8 profits, Lenovo displays false regular prices on its website and advertises false discounts based on
9 those prices. The regular prices are false because they do not represent the price at which Lenovo
10 actually sells its products. The discounts are false because they do not represent the actual savings
11 obtained by customers. This unlawful marketing practice, commonly known as false reference
12 pricing, artificially increases demand for Lenovo’s products and induces customers to pay more for
13 Lenovo-branded products based on a false impression of their value. Lenovo’s use of false regular
14 prices and false discounts is pervasive throughout its website.




15 2. California law and federal regulations specifically prohibit this type of false
16 advertising. For example, California’s consumer protection statute prohibits “[m]aking false or
17 misleading statements of fact concerning reasons for, existence of, or amounts of, price reductions.”
18 Civ. Code § 1770(a)(13). California’s false advertising law prohibits advertising a former price
19 unless it was the prevailing market price during the previous three months. Bus. & Prof. Code §
20 17501. As explained in the Federal Trade Commission’s (FTC) Guide Against Deceptive Pricing,
21 [When] the former price being advertised is not bona fide but fictitious—for example,
22 where an artificial, inflated price was established for the purpose of enabling the
23 subsequent offer of a large reduction—the “bargain” being advertised is a false one;
the purchaser is not receiving the unusual value he expects.

24 16 C.F.R. § 233.1.

25 3. Lenovo willfully violates these laws. For example, in September 2019, Plaintiff
26 Eliot Burk purchased a ThinkPad P52 Mobile Workstation laptop on Lenovo’s website. Lenovo
27 advertised the laptop for \$1,189 and represented to Burk that he would save \$1,170 off the regular
28 price of \$2,359 with the coupon code WSCLEARANCE—an abbreviation for Workstation Clearance.

1 4. However, \$2,359 was not the regular price of the laptop. In fact, discovery will
2 show that Lenovo never sold Burk's laptop for anywhere near \$2,359. For example, in August
3 2019, one month before Burk's purchase, Lenovo sold the laptop for \$1,229. In July 2019, two
4 months before Burk's purchase, Lenovo sold the laptop for \$1,169.

5 5. Curiously, over the same time period, Lenovo increased the regular price of the
6 laptop from \$1,559 in July, to \$2,049 in August, to \$2,359 in September. As depicted in the
7 screenshots below, with each increase to the regular price, Lenovo advertised that customers were
8 saving even more money.

<u>July 9, 2019</u>	<u>August 10, 2019</u>	<u>September 1, 2019</u>
ThinkPad P52 Mobile Workstation <small>Part Number: 20M9S0AW00</small>	ThinkPad P52 Mobile Workstation <small>Part Number: 20M9S0AW00</small>	ThinkPad P52 Mobile Workstation <small>Part Number: 20M9S0AW00</small>
Web Price: <u>\$1,559.00</u> After Instant Savings: \$1,409.00 After eCoupon: \$1,169.00	Web Price: <u>\$2,049.00</u> After Instant Savings: \$1,429.00 After eCoupon: \$1,229.00	Web Price: <u>\$2,359.00</u> After eCoupon: \$1,189.00
Savings: <u>\$390.00</u> Use eCoupon: THINKBFNJ eCoupon limited to 2 units only Ships same business day if ordered by 2PM ET	Savings: <u>\$820.00</u> Use eCoupon: THINKBIG Ships same business day if ordered by 2PM ET	Savings: <u>\$1,170.00</u> Use eCoupon: WSCLEARANCE Ships in 1-3 business days.
		

18 6. According to Lenovo, a customer who purchased Burk's laptop in July 2019 for
19 \$1,169 saved \$390, while a customer who purchased the same laptop in September 2019 for \$1,189
20 saved \$1,170.

21 7. Lenovo's artificial increases to the regular price demonstrate the fraudulent nature of
22 its pricing scheme. Rather than advertise the true regular price of its products—i.e., the price at
23 which Lenovo formerly sold the products—Lenovo inflates the regular price to make customers
24 believe they are getting an incredible deal—here, \$1,170 off the regular price.

25 8. Indeed, to justify the Workstation Clearance sale that was advertised to Burk, it
26 appears Lenovo first increased the regular price of the laptop, and then advertised it as 50% off, as
27 depicted in the email marketing newsletter below, which was sent by Lenovo on September 2,
28 2019, three days before Burk's purchase.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7 9. The “Big Summer Workstation Blowout” advertised in Lenovo’s newsletter was
8 hardly a “blowout sale,” as reasonable consumers understand that term.¹ The sale price of Burk’s
9 laptop in September was only forty dollars less than the price in August, and twenty dollars *more*
10 than the price in July.

11 10. In addition to harming consumers, Lenovo’s deceptive pricing scheme also harms
12 competition by giving Lenovo an unfair advantage over other computer manufacturers that do not
13 engage in this type of false advertising. After all, a customer is more likely to purchase a \$2,000
14 laptop advertised at 50% off its regular price than pay full price for a \$1,000 laptop.

15 11. Lenovo advertises false regular prices and false discounts for hundreds of products
16 on its website every day. The pervasive, ongoing nature of its pricing scheme demonstrates that
17 false reference pricing is central to its overall marketing strategy. In bringing this lawsuit, Plaintiffs
18 intend curb this and other unlawful and deceptive marketing practices used on Lenovo’s website,
19 and seek compensation for themselves and all others similarly situated who have been duped by
20 Lenovo’s false advertising.

THE PARTIES

21
22 12. Plaintiff Andrew Axelrod (“Axelrod”) is a California citizen. On January 1, 2021,
23 Axelrod accessed Lenovo’s website from his residence in San Francisco, California, and purchased
24 a laptop from Lenovo.

25 13. Plaintiff Eliot Burk (“Burk”) is a California citizen. On September 5, 2019, Burk
26 accessed Lenovo’s website from his workplace in California and purchased a laptop from Lenovo.

27
28 ¹ See https://en.wiktionary.org/wiki/blowout_sale (defining blowout sale to be “a sale that is
advertised as being lower than usual discounts, clearance”) (last visited Aug. 15, 2021).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.