CUNNINGHAM BOUNDS, LLC
Steven Nicholas (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
sln@cunninghambounds.com
Lucy E. Tufts (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
let@cunninghambounds.com
1601 Dauphin Street
Mobile, AL 36604
Telephone: (251) 471-6191
Facsimile: (251) 479-1031

KILBORN LAW, LLC
Benjamin H. Kilborn, Jr. (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
benk@kilbornlaw.com
P.O. Box 2164
Fairhope, AL 36533
Telephone: (251) 929-4623

MORGAN & MORGAN
COMPLEX LITIGATION GROUP
Michael F. Ram (SBN 104805)
mram@forthepeople.com
Marie N. Appel (SBN 187483)
mappel@forthepeople.com
711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94102
Telephone: (415) 358-6913
Facsimile: (415) 358-6293

Ra O. Amen (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
ramen@forthepeople.com
201 N. Franklin Street, 7th Floor
Tampa, Florida 33602
Telephone: (813) 223-5505
Facsimile: (813) 223-5402

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRIS SMITH, CHERYL SMITH, KAREN SMITHSON, JASON ROUSH, COREY POMROY, FRANK ORTEGA, LESLIE WHITE, ALBERTO CORNEA, MICHELLE ROGERS, JOSHUA BAYS, DEBORAH CLASS, and AMBER JONES, individually and on behalf of all other similarly situated individuals,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No.: 4:21-cv-09527-HSG

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO APPLE INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Date: November 10, 2022
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 2 – 4th Floor
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.

Am. Compl. Filed: March 28, 2022

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS i

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS 1

A. The material design defect in Apple Watches creates an unreasonable safety hazard. 1

B. Apple knew of the Defect 2

II. LEGAL STANDARD 3

III. ARGUMENT 4

A. Plaintiffs' claims for equitable relief are not co-extensive with their claims for damages, and thus are properly alleged in the alternative under Federal Rule 8(a)(3). 4

B. Choice of law analysis would be premature before discovery 4

i. Discovery is required to determine whether Plaintiffs from outside California may assert claims under the UCL and CLRA. 4

ii. Apple concedes that additional discovery is necessary to determine other questions regarding choice of law 6

C. Plaintiffs have adequately pled their fraudulent omission claims. 7

i. Plaintiffs have adequately pled Apple's pre-sale knowledge of the Defect. 7

ii. Plaintiffs have adequately pled an unreasonable safety hazard. 12

iii. Plaintiff Smithson's claims are not time-barred and she has Article III standing because she adequately alleges that she suffered an injury-in-fact. 13

iv. Plaintiffs adequately allege violations of the consumer fraud statutes of Michigan, New York, and Texas. 14

D. Plaintiffs' warranty claims under California law are adequately pled. 16

i. Plaintiffs' allegation of a "latent defect" gives rise to claims for breach of implied warranty notwithstanding any purported limitation. 16

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

ii. Plaintiff Ortega's, Smithson's, and Class's Song-Beverly claims are adequately pled. 17

a. Plaintiffs plead "substantial certainty" of failure throughout the FAC. 17

b. Plaintiffs Smithson and Class have sufficiently pled fraudulent concealment tolling with respect to their Song-Beverly claims. 18

iii. Magnuson Moss Warranty Act claims are adequately pled. 19

a. Because warranty claims survive, so do Magnuson Moss claims. 19

b. This Court has jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' MMWA claim. 19

IV. CONCLUSION 21

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Aberin v. Am. Honda Motor Co., Inc.,
No. 16-CV-04384-JST, 2021 WL 1320773 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2021) 14

Aguilar v. Gen. Motors, LLC,
2013 WL 5670888 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 16, 2013) 7

Ahern v. Apple Inc.,
411 F. Supp. 3d 541 (N.D. Cal. 2019) 9

Apodaca v. Whirlpool Corp.,
No. SACV 13–00725 JVS, 2013 WL 6477821 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2013) 12

AT&T Mobility LLC v. AU Optronics Corp.,
707 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2013) 5

Brothers v. Hewlett–Packard Co.,
No. C–06–02254 RMW, 2007 WL 485979 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 12, 2007) 20

Campidoglio LLC v. Wells Fargo & Co.,
870 F.3d 963 (9th Cir. 2017) 3

Chavez v. Blue Sky Nat. Beverage Co.,
268 F.R.D. at 379 (N.D. Cal. 2010) 5

Chavis v. Fidelity Warranty Servs., Inc.,
415 F. Supp. 2d 620 (D.S.C. 2006) 20

Cholakyan v. Mercedes–Benz USA, LLC,
796 F. Supp. 2d 1220 (C.D. Cal. 2011) 13

Clemens v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.,
534 F.3d 1017 (9th Cir. 2008) 19

Click v. Gen. Motors LLC,
No. 2:18-CV-455, 2020 WL 3118577 (S.D. Tex. Mar. 27, 2020) 12

Daniel v. Ford Motor Co.,
806 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 2015) 16

Doe v. Uber Techs., Inc.,
184 F. Supp. 3d 774, 790 (N.D. Cal. 2016) 3

Donohue v. Apple, Inc.,
871 F. Supp. 2d 913 (N.D. Cal. 2012) 6

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Duncan v. Nissan,
305 F. Supp. 3d 311 (D. Mass. 2018) 16

Duttweiler v. Triumph Motorcycles (Am.) Ltd.,
2015 WL 4941780 (N.D. Cal. 2015) 10

Edelson v. Travel Insured Int'l, Inc.,
No. 21-CV-323-WQH-AGS, 2021 WL 4334075 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 2021) 4

Erickson v. Pardus,
551 U.S. 89 (2007) 3

Falk v Gen. Motors,
496 F. Supp. 2d 1088 (N.D. Cal. 2007) 4, 7, 13

Fitzhenry-Russell v. Coca-Cola Co.,
No. 5:17-CV-00603-EJD, 2017 WL 4680073 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 18, 2017) 4

Floyd v. American Honda Motor Co.,
996 F.3d 1027 (9th Cir. 2020) 19, 20

Freeman v. Indochino Apparel, Inc.,
443 F. Supp. 3d 1107 (N.D. Cal. 2020) 4

Gant v. Ford Motor Co.,
517 F. Supp. 3d 707 (E.D. Mich. 2021) 12

Grodzitsky v. Am. Honda Motor Co.,
No. 2:12–cv–1142–SVW–PLA, 2013 WL 2631326 (C.D. Cal. June 12, 2013) 12

Hernandez v. Restoration Hardware, Inc.,
4 Cal. 5th 260 (Cal. 2018) 5

Hicks v. Kaufman and Broad Home Corp.,
89 Cal. App. 4th 908 n.54 18

In re Gen. Motors Air Conditioning Mktg. & Sales Pracs. Litig.,
406 F. Supp. 3d 618 (E.D. Mich. 2019) 12, 19, 20

In re Google Inc.,
No. 13-MD-02430-LHK, 2013 WL 5423918 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 26, 2013) 5

In re MacBook Keyboard Litig.,
No. 5:18-CV-02813-EJD, 2019 WL 1765817 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2019) 6

In re Seagate Tech. LLC Litig.,
No. 16-CV-00523-JCS, 2017 WL 3670779 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 25, 2017) 6

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.