

1 MATTHEW D. POWERS (S.B. # 212682)  
mpowers@omm.com  
2 DANIEL H. LEIGH (S.B. #310673)  
dleigh@omm.com  
3 ANDREW M. LEVAD (S.B. #313610)  
alevad@omm.com  
4 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94111-3823  
Telephone: (415) 984-8700  
6 Facsimile: (415) 984-8701

7 Attorneys for Defendant  
APPLE INC.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**OAKLAND DIVISION**

CHRISTOPHER BRYAN, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:22-cv-00845-HSG

**DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S NOTICE OF  
MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS  
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT;  
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Hearing Date: September 1, 2022  
Time: 2:00pm  
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.  
Courtroom: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor

Complaint Filed: February 9, 2022

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ..... 1
	I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1
	II. BACKGROUND FACTS ..... 3
	III. LEGAL STANDARDS..... 6
	IV. ARGUMENT ..... 7
	A. Plaintiff’s California Claims Must Be Dismissed..... 7
	B. Plaintiff Fails To Plead The Necessary Elements Of His Fraud-Based Claims Under Both California and Colorado Law..... 8
	1. No “False” Statements ..... 9
	2. No Actionable Omissions Under California Law ..... 11
	3. No Actionable Omissions under Colorado Law ..... 13
	C. Plaintiff Pleads No Facts To Support An “Unlawful” Or “Unfair” UCL Claim ..... 14
	D. Plaintiff Cannot Seek Monetary Damages or an Injunction Under the CCPA ..... 16
	E. The Claims For Retrospective Restitution and Unjust Enrichment Must Be Dismissed Because Plaintiff Has Not Pled That He Has No Adequate Remedy At Law ..... 17
	F. Plaintiff Lacks Standing To Seek Injunctive Relief..... 18
	V. LEAVE TO AMEND..... 19
	VI. CONCLUSION ..... 20



1 *Daugherty v. Am. Honda Motor Co.*,  
144 Cal. App. 4th 824 (2006) ..... 12, 15

2

3 *Davison v. Kia Motors Am., Inc.*,  
No. SACV 15-00239-CJC, 2015 WL 3970502 (C.D. Cal. June 29, 2015) ..... 7

4 *Dotson v. Europharma, Inc.*,  
No. 2:20-CV-09651-AB-AGR, 2021 WL 4826611 (C.D. Cal. May 27, 2021) ..... 18

5

6 *Duttweiler v. Triumph Motorcycles*,  
2015 WL 4941780 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2015)..... 18

7 *EchoStar Satelleite, L.L.C. v. Splash Media Partners, L.P.*,  
No. 07-CV-02611-PAB-BNB, 2010 WL 1380314 (D. Colo. Mar. 31, 2010)..... 10

8

9 *Francis v. Mead Johnson & Co.*,  
No. 1:10-CV-00701-JLK, 2010 WL 5313540 (D. Colo. Dec. 17, 2010) ..... 14

10 *Frenzel v. AliphCom*,  
76 F. Supp. 3d 999 (N.D. Cal. 2014) ..... 8

11

12 *Frezza v. Google Inc.*,  
No. 5:12-CV-00237-RMW, 2013 WL 1736788 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2013)..... 8

13 *Friedman v. Dollar Thrifty Auto. Grp., Inc.*,  
No. 12-CV-02432-WYD-KMT, 2015 WL 4036319 (D. Colo. July 1, 2015) ..... 17

14

15 *Gallagher v. Chipotle Mexican Grill, Inc.*,  
No. 15-CV-03952-HSG, 2016 WL 454083 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 5, 2016)..... 18, 19

16 *Garcia v. Medved Chevrolet*,  
263 P.3d 92 (Colo. 2011)..... 8

17

18 *Gest v. Bradbury*,  
443 F.3d 1177 (9th Cir. 2006)..... 19

19 *Goode v. Gaia, Inc.*,  
No. 20-CV-00742-DDD-KLM, 2022 WL 596292 (D. Colo. Feb. 28, 2022)..... 9

20

21 *Hadley v. Kellogg Sales Co.*,  
243 F. Supp. 3d 1074 (N.D. Cal. 2017) ..... 10

22 *Hodgers-Durgin v. de la Vina*,  
199 F.3d 1037 (9th Cir. 1999)..... 19

23

24 *Hodsdon v. Mars, Inc.*,  
891 F.3d 857 (9th Cir. 2018)..... 12

25 *Hoey v. Sony Elecs. Inc.*,  
515 F. Supp. 2d 1099 (N.D. Cal. 2007) ..... 13, 15

26

27 *Hovsepian v. Apple, Inc.*,  
No. 08-5788 JF (PVT), 2009 WL 5069144 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2009)..... 16

28

1	<i>In re Actimmune Mktg. Litig.</i> , No. C 08-02376 MHP, 2009 WL 3740648 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 6, 2009), aff'd, 464 F. App'x 651 (9th Cir. 2011) .....	16
2		
3	<i>In re Apple Inc. Device Performance Litig.</i> , 386 F. Supp. 3d 1155 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	12
4		
5	<i>In re Clorox Consumer Litig.</i> , 894 F. Supp. 2d 1224 (N.D. Cal. 2012) .....	11
6		
7	<i>In re Ditropan XL Antitrust Litig.</i> , 529 F. Supp. 2d 1098 (N.D. Cal. 2007) .....	8
8		
9	<i>In re Hitachi Television Optical Block Cases</i> , No. 08cv1746, 2011 WL 9403 (S.D. Cal. Jan.3, 2011) .....	8
10		
11	<i>In re Rumsey Land Co., LLC</i> , 944 F.3d 1259 (10th Cir. 2019).....	8, 14
12		
13	<i>In re Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , No. 20-CV-00966-AJB-DEB, 2021 WL 6062943 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2021) .....	6, 7
14		
15	<i>In re Tobacco II Cases</i> , 207 P.3d 20 (Cal. 2009) .....	8, 10
16		
17	<i>In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , No. LAML1902905JAKFFMX, 2022 WL 522484 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2022) .....	18
18		
19	<i>Johnson v. MDEA, Inc.</i> , 578 F. Supp. 2d 1229 (C.D. Cal. 2008) .....	11
20		
21	<i>Kearns v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 567 F.3d 1120 (9th Cir. 2009).....	6, 8, 10
22		
23	<i>Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2018).....	7
24		
25	<i>Kwikset Corp. v. Superior Court</i> , 246 P.3d 877 (Cal. 2011) .....	8
26		
27	<i>LiMandri v. Judkins</i> , 52 Cal. App. 4th 326 (1997) .....	12
28		
	<i>Marolda v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 672 F. Supp. 2d 992 (N.D. Cal. 2009) .....	6
	<i>Martinez v. Nash Finch Co.</i> , 886 F. Supp. 2d 1212 (D. Colo. 2012).....	17
	<i>Mazza v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 666 F.3d 581 (9th Cir. 2012).....	7
	<i>Monson v. Country Preferred Ins. Co.</i> , No. 17-CV-02130-RBJ, 2018 WL 11016704 (D. Colo. Sept. 28, 2018).....	16, 17

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.