

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

RANDALL W. EDWARDS (S.B. #179053)
redwards@omm.com
MATTHEW D. POWERS (S.B. #212682)
mpowers@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
Two Embarcadero Center
28th Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-3823
Telephone: +1 415 984 8700
Facsimile: +1 415 984 8701

WILLIAM K. PAO (S.B. #252637)
wpao@omm.com
O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP
400 S. Hope. St., 18th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90071-2899
Telephone: +1 213 430 6000
Facsimile: +1 213 430 6407

Attorneys for Defendant Apple Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION**

LUCILA BAPTISTE, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC., a California corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:22-cv-02888-HSG

**DEFENDANT APPLE INC.'S NOTICE OF
MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT;
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES**

Hearing Date: October 13, 2022
Time: 2 p.m.
Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
Courtroom: Courtroom 2, 4th Floor

Complaint Filed: March 30, 2022 (case
removed to this Court May 16, 2022)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1	
2 I. INTRODUCTION	2
3 II. BACKGROUND	3
4 III. LEGAL STANDARDS.....	5
5 IV. ARGUMENT	6
6 A. Plaintiff Fails to Plead Facts to Support a Claim Under the NYVCPA.....	6
7 B. No Private Right of Action Exists for Wrongful Retention of Consumer PII Under the NYVCPA	7
8 C. Apple is Not a “Video Tape Service Provider” under the NYVCPA	12
9 V. LEAVE TO AMEND.....	13
10 VI. CONCLUSION	13
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page
Cases	
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	5
<i>Balistreri v. Pacifica Police Dep't</i> , 901 F.2d 696 (9th Cir. 1988).....	5
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	5
<i>Cook, Perkiss & Liehe, Inc. v. N. California Collection Serv. Inc.</i> , 911 F.2d 242 (9th Cir. 1990).....	13
<i>Daniel v. Cantrell</i> , 375 F.3d 377 (6th Cir. 2004).....	11, 12
<i>Daniels-Hall v. Nat'l Educ. Ass'n</i> , 629 F.3d 992 (9th Cir. 2010).....	5, 7
<i>DeSoto v. Yellow Freight Sys., Inc.</i> , 957 F.2d 655 (9th Cir. 1992).....	6
<i>In re Hulu Priv. Litig.</i> , No. C 11-03764 LB, 2012 WL 3282960 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2012).....	12-13
<i>In re Nickelodeon Consumer Priv. Litig.</i> , 827 F.3d 262 (3d Cir. 2016).....	11
<i>Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2018).....	5-6, 7
<i>Mollett v. Netflix, Inc.</i> , 795 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2015).....	11
<i>Moss v. U.S.</i> , 572 F.3d 962 (9th Cir. 2009).....	5
<i>Opperman v. Path, Inc.</i> , 84 F. Supp. 3d 962 (N.D. Cal. 2015)	7
<i>Rodriguez v. Sony Computer Ent. Am., LLC</i> , 801 F.3d 1045 (9th Cir. 2015).....	11
<i>Rutter v. Apple Inc.</i> , No. 21-CV-04077-HSG, 2022 WL 1443336 (N.D. Cal. May 6, 2022).....	7

1 *Sterk v. Redbox Automated Retail, LLC*,
 2 672 F.3d 535 (7th Cir. 2012) (Posner, J.) 11

3 *United States v. Corinthian Colleges*,
 4 655 F.3d 984 (9th Cir. 2011)..... 13

5 *Yellen v. Confederated Tribes of Chehalis Rsrv.*,
 6 141 S. Ct. 2434 (2021)..... 13

7 **Statutes**

8 18 U.S.C. § 2710..... 11

9 18 U.S.C. § 2710(c) 11, 12

10 18 U.S.C. § 2710(e) 11

11 N.Y. GBL § 672(4) 12

12 N.Y. GBL § 673(1) 8

13 N.Y. GBL § 673(2) 8

14 N.Y. GBL § 673(3) 8

15 N.Y. GBL § 673(4) 8, 10

16 N.Y. GBL § 673(5) *passim*

17 N.Y. GBL § 675(1) 5, 10

18 **Other Authorities**

19 *Aggrieved*, BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2019)..... 9

20 Apple Media Services Terms and Conditions (May 19, 2019), *available at*
 21 <https://web.archive.org/web/20200429212422/https://www.apple.com/legal/internet-services/itunes/us/terms.html>..... 3

22 Apple Privacy Policy (Dec. 31, 2019), *available at*
 23 <https://web.archive.org/web/20200430041948/https://www.apple.com/legal/privacy/en-ww/>..... 6

24 *Damages*, BLACK’S LAW DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2019)..... 9

25 *Material*, BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (11th ed. 2019)..... 12

26 **Rules**

27 Fed. R. Evid. 201(b)(1)–(2)..... 6

28

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS

TO PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Please take notice that on October 13, 2022 at 2 p.m., or as soon thereafter as this matter may be heard by the Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. in Courtroom 2, 4th Floor, of the above-entitled Court located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, California, 94612, Defendant Apple Inc. will, and hereby does, move to dismiss with prejudice the Complaint of Plaintiff Lucila Baptiste, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, and each claim asserted therein against Apple.

Apple brings this Motion pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) because Plaintiff fails to state a claim for relief as to any claim alleged against Apple. Plaintiff has not pled facts supporting a cause of action under the New York Video Consumer Privacy Act, N.Y. General Business Law §§ 670-675, no private right of action for wrongful retention of consumer personally identifying information (“PII”) exists, and Apple is in any event not a video tape service provider subject to the statute. This Motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Apple’s Request for Judicial Notice, the Declaration of Randall W. Edwards and its exhibits, and all pleadings, arguments, and matters before the Court.

Dated: June 15, 2022

O’MELVENY & MYERS LLP

/s/ Randall W. Edwards

Randall W. Edwards
Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 984-8700
Facsimile: (415) 984-8701
E-mail: redwards@omm.com

Attorney for Defendant Apple Inc.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.