

1 COOLEY LLP  
2 BENJAMIN H. KLEINE (257225)  
3 (bkleine@cooley.com)  
4 KELSEY R. SPECTOR (321488)  
5 (kspector@cooley.com)  
6 DARINA A. SHTRAKHMAN (324109)  
7 (dshtrakhman@cooley.com)  
8 GIA JUNG (340160)  
9 (gjung@cooley.com)  
10 3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor  
11 San Francisco, California 94111-4004  
12 Telephone: (415) 693-2000  
13 Facsimile: (415) 693-2222

14 Attorneys for Defendant  
15 ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
18 OAKLAND DIVISION

19 S. WESTRON and J. MILNE,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 ZOOM VIDEO COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,  
23 a Delaware corporation,

24 Defendant.

Case No. 4:22-cv-03147-YGR

**DEFENDANT ZOOM VIDEO  
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MOTION TO  
DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	NOTICE OF MOTIONS AND MOTIONS..... 1
	STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED ..... 1
	I. INTRODUCTION..... 1
	II. BACKGROUND..... 2
	A. Zoom’s Videoconferencing Services. .... 2
	B. The Zoom Privacy Litigation. .... 3
	C. Plaintiffs’ Allegations. .... 3
	III. LEGAL STANDARDS..... 4
	IV. THE COURT SHOULD STRIKE ALLEGATIONS PLAINTIFFS COPIED FROM <i>ZOOM</i> <i>PRIVACY</i> ..... 4
	V. PLAINTIFFS HAVE NOT SHOWN CALIFORNIA LAW OR VENUE IN CALIFORNIA ARE APPROPRIATE..... 7
	VI. PLAINTIFFS’ COMPLAINT SHOULD BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM..... 8
	A. Plaintiffs Fail to Establish that Zoom Harmed Them Personally. .... 8
	1. Plaintiffs fail to allege harm from the Facebook SDK..... 8
	2. Plaintiffs fail to allege harm from purported data sharing with Google..... 9
	3. Plaintiffs fail to allege harm from Zoom’s encryption..... 10
	B. Plaintiffs’ Encryption Allegations Do Not Satisfy Rule 9(b). .... 11
	C. Plaintiffs’ Claims for Invasion of Privacy, Breach of Implied Contract, and Breach of the Implied Covenant Are Time-Barred..... 11
	D. Plaintiffs Fail to State a Claim for Invasion of Privacy. .... 13
	1. Plaintiffs allege no legally protected privacy interest. .... 13
	2. Plaintiffs fail to show they had a reasonable expectation of privacy..... 15
	3. Plaintiffs fail to show any serious invasion of privacy. .... 15
	E. Plaintiffs Fail to State a Claim for Breach of Implied Contract..... 16
	1. The alleged implied contracts are based on payment to Zoom..... 16
	2. The Terms foreclose Plaintiffs’ implied contract claim..... 17
	3. Plaintiffs fail to allege the elements of an implied contract claim..... 18
	a. Plaintiffs fail to allege the existence of any implied contract. .... 18
	b. Plaintiffs fail to allege any breach..... 19
	c. Plaintiffs fail to allege damages. .... 20
	F. Plaintiffs Fail to Allege a Claim for Breach of the Implied Covenant..... 20
	G. Plaintiffs Have Not Stated a Claim Under the UCL. .... 21
	1. <i>Sonner</i> bars Plaintiffs’ UCL claims. .... 21
	2. Plaintiffs lack standing under the UCL. .... 22

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
(continued)

	<b>Page</b>
3. Plaintiffs have not stated a UCL claim. ....	23
a. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim under the unlawful prong. ....	23
b. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim under the unfair prong. ....	24
4. Plaintiffs are not entitled to an injunction. ....	25
VII. CONCLUSION.....	25

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
<b>Cases</b>	
1 <i>Aguilera v. Pirelli Armstrong Tire Corp.</i> , 223 F.3d 1010 (9th Cir. 2000).....	20
2	
3	
4 <i>Allen v. Shutterfly, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 5517172 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2020) .....	17
5	
6 <i>Allied Trend Int’l, Ltd. v. Parcel Pending, Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 4137605 (C.D. Cal. June 3, 2019) .....	17
7	
8 <i>In re Arris Cable Modern Consumer Litig.</i> , 2018 WL 288085 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2018) .....	11
9	
10 <i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	4
11	
12 <i>Attia v. Google LLC</i> , 2018 WL 2971049 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2018) .....	5, 7
13	
14 <i>Baba v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2010 WL 2486353 (N.D. Cal. June 16, 2010) .....	23
15	
16 <i>Barrett v. Optimum Nutrition</i> , 2022 WL 2035959 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2022) .....	22
17	
18 <i>Be In, Inc. v. Google Inc.</i> , 2013 WL 5568706 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2013).....	18
19	
20 <i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	4
21	
22 <i>Buena Vista, LLC v. New Res. Bank</i> , 2010 WL 3448561 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 31, 2010).....	24
23	
24 <i>Careau &amp; Co. v. Sec. Pac. Bus. Credit, Inc.</i> , 222 Cal. App. 3d 1371 (1990).....	21
25	
26 <i>Castagnola v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2012 WL 2159385 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2012) .....	25
27	
28 <i>Chabner v. United Omaha Life Ins. Co.</i> , 225 F.3d 1042 (9th Cir. 2000).....	23
<i>Chapman v. Pier 1 Imports (U.S.), Inc.</i> , 631 F.3d 939 (9th Cir. 2011).....	25
<i>Chevron Corp. v. Donziger</i> , 2013 WL 4536808 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 22, 2013).....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
(continued)

		<b>Page(s)</b>
1		
2		
3	<i>Christian v. Mattel, Inc.</i> ,	
4	286 F.3d 1118 (9th Cir. 2002).....	4
5	<i>Coffee v. Google, LLC</i> ,	
6	2022 WL 94986 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2022) .....	22
7	<i>In re Connetics Corp. Sec. Litig.</i> ,	
8	542 F. Supp. 2d 996 (N.D. Cal. 2008) .....	4, 5, 7
9	<i>Cunha v. IntelliCheck, LLC</i> ,	
10	254 F. Supp. 3d 1124 (N.D. Cal. 2017) .....	25
11	<i>Davis v. HSBC Bank Nevada, N.A.</i> ,	
12	691 F.3d 1152 (9th Cir. 2012).....	24
13	<i>Dompatci Mgmt. Sols. v. Vensure Hr, Inc.</i> ,	
14	2020 WL 1169269 (E.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2020) .....	17
15	<i>Elliott v. PubMatic, Inc.</i> ,	
16	2021 WL 3616768 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2021).....	8
17	<i>Fabian v. LeMahieu</i> ,	
18	2019 WL 4918431 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 4, 2019).....	18
19	<i>In re Facebook Privacy Litig.</i> ,	
20	791 F. Supp. 2d 705 (N.D. Cal. 2011) .....	23
21	<i>Fodor v. AOL Time Warner, Inc.</i> ,	
22	217 F. App'x 622 (9th Cir. 2007) .....	13
23	<i>Fox v. Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc.</i> ,	
24	35 Cal. 4th 797 (2005) .....	12
25	<i>Fraker v. Bayer Corp.</i> ,	
26	2009 WL 5865687 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 6, 2009) .....	5, 6
27	<i>Franckowiak v. Scenario Cockram USA, Inc.</i> ,	
28	2020 WL 9071697 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 30, 2020).....	22
	<i>Fredenburg v. City of Fremont</i> ,	
	119 Cal. App. 4th 408 (2004).....	14
	<i>Freeman v. ABC Legal Servs., Inc.</i> ,	
	877 F. Supp. 2d 919 (N.D. Cal. 2012) .....	25
	<i>Frenzel v. Aliphcom</i> ,	
	76 F. Supp. 3d 999 (N.D. Cal. 2014) .....	7
	<i>Gagnier v. SiteOne Landscape Supply LLC</i> ,	
	2022 WL 1322294 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2022).....	22

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.