

1 WILLIAMS ENVIRONMENTAL LAW  
2 LUCAS WILLIAMS (State Bar No. 264518)  
3 JACOB JANZEN (State Bar No. 313474)  
4 490 43rd Street, #23  
5 Oakland, CA 94609  
6 Telephone: (707) 849-5198  
7 Facsimile: (510) 609-3360  
8 lucas@williams-envirolaw.com  
9 jake@williams-envirolaw.com

7 LEXINGTON LAW GROUP  
8 Howard Hirsch (State Bar No. 213209)  
9 503 Divisadero Street  
10 San Francisco, CA 94117  
11 Telephone: (415) 913-7800  
12 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112  
13 hhirsch@lexlawgroup.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
13 ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY PROJECT

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16

17 ENVIRONMENTAL DEMOCRACY  
18 PROJECT, a California not-for-profit  
19 corporation,

20 Plaintiff,

21 v.

22 GREEN SAGE MANAGEMENT, LLC, a  
23 Colorado Limited Liability Corporation,

24 Defendant.  
25

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE  
RELIEF, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND  
DECLARATORY RELIEF**

[Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)]

**INTRODUCTION**

1  
2 1. Defendant Green Sage Management, LLC (Defendant) has been violating  
3 the Clean Air Act for nearly two years. Defendant owns and operates an indoor cannabis  
4 cultivation facility located at 5601 and 5733 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California  
5 94621 (the Facility). There is an artist live/work space with 32 residents located at the  
6 Facility. To supply the Facility with power, Defendant has been operating up to nine  
7 massive diesel generators twenty-four hours a day, seven days a week, since July 2020.  
8 The generators are the size of eighteen-wheeler trucks. In violation of the Clean Air Act,  
9 Defendant has not obtained air quality permits for the generators. Plaintiff Environmental  
10 Democracy Project, a non-profit environmental justice organization based in East Oakland  
11 (Plaintiff or EDP), seeks to put an immediate end to Defendant’s Clean Air Act violations  
12 and to deter future violations.

13 2. Defendant’s unpermitted, semi-truck size generators have emitted tons of  
14 cancer-causing diesel particulate matter (DPM) and other pollutants that are inhaled  
15 deeply into the lungs of residents of the Facility’s live/workspace. Residents of the  
16 densely populated community of color located just east of the Facility’s generators are  
17 also exposed to the Facility’s pollution. The generators’ emissions are not mitigated by  
18 any pollution limits or control technology because Defendant failed to obtain air quality  
19 permits before operating the generators.

20 3. Defendant’s failure to obtain air quality permits for the generators violates the  
21 Clean Air Act’s preconstruction permitting requirements as set forth in the Bay Area Air  
22 Quality Management District’s (the District) Regulation 2, Rules 1-301 and 1-302.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

23  
24 4. This Court has jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331  
25 (federal question jurisdiction), 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (actions for declaratory relief), and 42  
26 U.S.C. § 7604(a) (the Clean Air Act’s citizen suit provision).  
27  
28



1 the enormous unpermitted diesel generators that have been used at the Facility since on or  
2 around July 30, 2020.

3 10. EDP's Executive Director, Tanya Boyce, lives in East Oakland near the  
4 Facility. She lives within the area impacted by the Facility's DPM pollution. In addition,  
5 one of EDP's Board Members, Alistair Monroe, lives at the artist live/work space known  
6 as the "the Cannery" at 5733 San Leandro Street, Oakland, California 9462, where several  
7 of the Facility's unpermitted diesel generators are located. The fumes from the generators  
8 permeate the live/work space and cause residents, including Mr. Monroe, to become  
9 nauseous. The fumes from the generators are visible and have created a black soot stain  
10 on the Facility's external walls. Ms. Boyce and Mr. Monroe are injured by the increased  
11 health risks and poor air quality caused by the Facility's unpermitted pollution.

12 11. EDP and its officers have been involved in community organizing, outreach,  
13 scientific analysis, and public education efforts related to the unpermitted generators'  
14 impacts on health and the environment in the East Oakland community of color where they  
15 are located. EDP's officers have spoken at numerous public hearings in opposition to the  
16 unpermitted generators.

17 12. The concrete interests EDP seeks to vindicate in this action—namely,  
18 addressing environmental racism, protecting air quality, ensuring compliance with  
19 environmental laws, and ensuring the public's right to participate in government decision-  
20 making processes—are within the purposes and goals of the organization. Plaintiff brings  
21 this action on behalf of itself and its members, including Mr. Monroe and Ms. Boyce, who  
22 live near the Facility.

23 13. Defendant's Clean Air Act violations pose an imminent threat to the health,  
24 happiness, and livelihood of Mr. Monroe and Ms. Boyce, who live and recreate near the  
25 Facility. The increased pollution and unregulated emissions of highly toxic chemicals—  
26 which could have been avoided had Defendant complied with the Clean Air Act—impact  
27 the health, economic, informational, organizational, and conservational interests of Mr.  
28

1 Monroe and Ms. Boyce. For instance, if Defendant had followed the permitting rules, the  
2 District could have required Defendant to conduct air quality monitoring, thereby serving  
3 EDP's informational interest in providing impacted communities with data concerning the  
4 quality of the air they breathe. Thus, EDP and its members have been, and continue to be,  
5 adversely affected by Defendant's violations of the Clean Air Act, which cause the  
6 emission of tons of toxic air contaminants in the low-income community of color  
7 surrounding the Facility.

8 14. Mr. Monroe and Ms. Boyce have constitutional standing to sue individually  
9 under the Clean Air Act for the violations alleged in this complaint. Nevertheless, their  
10 individual participation is not necessary for a just resolution of this case.

11 15. EDP, Mr. Monroe, and Ms. Boyce are "persons" within the meaning of the  
12 Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §7604(a). Should the Court grant the relief requested by EDP in  
13 the present action—civil penalties, injunctive relief, and declaratory relief—the harm to  
14 EDP, Mr. Monroe, and Ms. Boyce alleged in this complaint will be redressed, *i.e.*, by  
15 stopping the illegal pollution and deterring future illegal pollution

#### 16 **STATUTORY BACKGROUND**

17 16. Defendant's operation of unpermitted diesel generators violates the Clean  
18 Air Act. The Clean Air Act sets out a comprehensive regulatory scheme designed to  
19 prevent and control air pollution. Congress passed the Clean Air Act to prevent air  
20 pollution and to protect and enhance the quality of the nation's air resources to promote  
21 the public health and welfare. 42 U.S.C. § 7401. The statute directs EPA to prescribe  
22 national ambient air quality standards at a level sufficient to protect the public health and  
23 welfare. 42 U.S.C. § 7409(a) & (b).

24 17. The Clean Air Act is implemented jointly by the United States  
25 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the states. The Clean Air Act requires each  
26 state to adopt and submit to EPA for approval a State Implementation Plan (SIP). 42  
27 U.S.C. § 7410. SIPs provide the mechanism for states to ensure compliance with national  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.