

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: SOCIAL MEDIA ADOLESCENT  
ADDICTION/PERSONAL INJURY  
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 4:22-md-03047-YGR

MDL No. 3047

This Documents Relates to:  
  
ALL CASES

**CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 1**

**TO ALL PARTIES AND COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

On October 6, 2022, this Court was assigned by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, to preside over this matter. (Dkt. No. 1.) On October 11, 2022, the Court issued an order setting an initial case management conference for November 9, 2022. (Dkt. No. 2.) The Court also requested applications from any lawyer who wanted to be considered for appointment with applications due on October 20, 2022. (*Id.*) Objections to any applications were due on or before October 27, 2022. (*Id.*) An initial case management conference was set for November 9, 2022. (*Id.*)

After a comprehensive hearing, the Court issues the following **ORDERS**:

**I. LEADERSHIP FOR PLAINTIFFS**

In response to the Court's October 11 order, the Court received numerous applications, including an agreed-upon leadership structure for the Court's consideration. No objections were

1 received to the applications or proposed leadership structure.<sup>1</sup> However, it did concern the Court  
2 that some applicants changed their request for co-lead positions after consultation with other  
3 plaintiffs' counsel. These changes were addressed on the record with the applicants. The Court  
4 has carefully considered all applications, and the responses and presentation to the Court during the  
5 hearing, including the Court's assessment of actual availability to diligently and efficiently advance  
6 this case given other commitments, the sources of litigation funding, as well as confidential ballots  
7 submitted by counsel during the hearing. With these considerations in mind, the Court finds that it  
8 is in the best interest of the plaintiffs to appoint the following leadership structure:

9 **Co-Lead Counsel:** The Court appoints Christopher Seeger (NY), Lexi Hazam (CA), and  
10 Previn Warren<sup>2</sup> (DC) as Co-Lead Counsel.

11 **Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel:** The Court appoints Jennie Anderson (CA) as Plaintiffs'  
12 Liaison Counsel.<sup>3</sup>

13 **Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Leadership:** While the Court maintains its initial concern  
14 about the size of the entire steering committee, the Court is satisfied with the reasons provided to  
15 support a more robust structure. That said, the Court finds that plaintiffs will benefit from  
16 appointing the following plaintiffs' counsel to serve in leadership roles or chairs of committees:  
17 Emily Jeffcott (FL), Joseph VanZandt (AL), Jayne Conroy (NY), Andre Mura (CA), Matthew  
18 Bergman (WA), Alexandra Walsh (DC), and Michael Weinkowitz (PA).

19 **Plaintiffs' Steering Committee Membership:** The Court appoints the following plaintiffs'  
20 counsel to serve in supportive roles as members of the steering committee: Ron Austin (LA),  
21 James Bilsborrow (NY), Paige Boldt (TX), Carrie Goldberg (NY), Sin-Ting Mary Liu (FL),  
22

---

23 <sup>1</sup> Counsel for the defendants were asked on the record whether they have ever felt inclined to  
24 bring a sanctions motion against any of the applicants. No motions have ever been contemplated  
25 and counsel indicated that they have generally worked well with the pool of potential applicants.

26 <sup>2</sup> As discussed on the record, Mr. Warren was not initially identified in the proposed slate as  
27 Co-Lead, however, his application indicated a willingness to serve in this capacity if the Court  
28 found that it would further the interests of plaintiffs. If Mr. Warren does not wish to serve in this  
position, he shall contact the Court immediately.

<sup>3</sup> Upon further consideration, defendants agreed that appointment of a defense liaison would  
promote efficiency. Ashley Simonsen (CA) is appointed as Defense Liaison Counsel.

1 Emmie Paulos (FL), Roland Tellis (CA), Diandra “Fu” Debrosse Zimmermann (AL), James Marsh  
2 (CA), Hillary Nappi (NY), and Ruth Rizkalla (CA). To the extent that additional counsel is needed  
3 later in the action, the Co-Leads may petition the Court to expand the committee.<sup>4</sup>

4 To the extent any applicant declines their appoint, they shall contact the Court immediately  
5 without delay. By no later than 9:00 a.m. on **Monday, November 14, 2022**, the Co-Leads shall  
6 provide the Court with a proposed order regarding their view of the responsibilities and operation  
7 of the steering committee, including mechanisms to ensure efficiency and control of costs in light  
8 of the Court’s guidance on how this case may proceed.

9 **II. TIME OF DEADLINES**

10 All references to a particular time in any order of this Court related to this MDL or any  
11 associated case shall be to local Pacific time.

12 **III. PREPARATION FOR NEXT CONFERENCE**

13 The Court sets the second status conference for **December 14, 2022 at 8:30 a.m.** in person.  
14 As discussed on the record, the Court may be presiding over a criminal trial. Counsel shall  
15 continue to monitor the docket for any changes to the time of the second status conference.

16 **A. LIAISON COUNSEL**

17 Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel shall develop a streamlined process for the appointment of  
18 guardian ad litem for plaintiffs that require one. Parties are advised to consult the standard  
19 requirements used by the California state court. Consistent with California practice, the Court is  
20 willing to presumptively appoint a parent or legal guardian.

21 As discussed on the record, Plaintiffs’ Liaison Counsel shall take over responsibility of  
22 maintaining a spreadsheet of all cases affiliated with this MDL, including relevant contact  
23 information for counsel. To facilitate this process, counsel for the defendants will provide the  
24 recent working document to Ms. Anderson in editable form and collaborate with her on an ongoing  
25

26  
27 <sup>4</sup> At this juncture, the Court does not appoint Thomas Cartmell and Kirk Goza due to their  
28 commitments on other trial matters.

1 basis. An updated spreadsheet shall be periodically transmitted to the Court by email at  
2 [ygrpo@cand.uscourts.gov](mailto:ygrpo@cand.uscourts.gov).

3 Going forward, Liaison Counsel for both sides shall facilitate the filing of an agenda and  
4 joint statement no later than five (5) business days prior to each case management conference and  
5 the filing of an attendance sheet no later than two (2) business days prior to each case management  
6 conference. They shall send a joint email to the Courtroom Deputy Aris Garcia at  
7 [ygrcrd@cand.uscourts.gov](mailto:ygrcrd@cand.uscourts.gov) to facilitate initial communication.

8 **B. MEET AND CONFER TOPICS**

9 Consistent with the discussion on the record, the Court orders the parties to meet and confer  
10 and to provide the Court with proposed orders or recommendations to facilitate judicious resolution  
11 of the following topics by the next status conference:

12 1. **Master Complaint:** Plaintiffs are the masters of their complaint. A master  
13 complaint is going to be required in order to having binding effect. Having reviewed complaints  
14 filed in this case, and the defendants' initial concerns about notice pleading, it is the Court's view  
15 that any master complaint shall provide facts concerning each individual defendant and that  
16 defendants' purported liability. Each individual defendant must have notice of the charges against  
17 them by each plaintiff. While the plaintiffs will need to assess their strategy going forward in light  
18 of the Court's preliminary guidance, the parties shall begin the meet and confer process on how to  
19 streamline the filing of a master complaint(s) and any short form attachments to capture the  
20 nuances of the individual cases. Basic agreement on a short form attachment will promote  
21 efficiency and avoid needless objections as this case proceeds.

22 2. **Service of Process and Waiver:** It appears from the dockets in this action that the  
23 defendants have largely agreed to waive service. The parties shall meet and confer and stipulate to  
24 service of process and waiver going forward through a form proposed order. This should  
25 contemplate service of any future pleadings.

26 3. **Direct Filing:** The Court tentatively agrees with plaintiffs that direct filing may be  
27 appropriate. Accordingly, the parties shall meet and confer as to the framework that will govern  
28

1 direct filing going forward. Again, the Court reiterates that defendants are not entitled to dictate  
2 where a plaintiff's action should be venued.

3 4. **First Stage Discovery Protocols**: Discovery has been previously stayed in this case  
4 and will continue to be stayed through the resolution of a motion to dismiss. Despite the stay, the  
5 parties are directed to meet and confer and submit a proposed order for the immediate preservation  
6 of discovery, including setting forth initial ESI protocols.<sup>5</sup>

7 5. **Initial Discovery Production**: Additionally, plaintiffs are seeking to obtain  
8 materials that defendants may have produced in other litigation and/or in connection with various  
9 investigations. Defendants object to discovery on the grounds that immunity under the  
10 Communications Decency Act will stay any and all discovery. Ultimately, the Court has  
11 insufficient information about the information that may exist as well as the claims that plaintiffs  
12 will proceed in order to make an informed decision with respect to this issue. Given the open  
13 questions, the parties are directed to meet and confer about what, if any, material may exist that can  
14 be shared at the onset of this litigation.

15 6. **Motions to Dismiss**: At this juncture, it is the Court's view that motions to dismiss  
16 will be permitted once the plaintiffs finalize a master complaint(s) as to the defendants. Motion  
17 practice will be phased. Tentatively, plaintiffs will be directed to pick five or six of their best  
18 claims and the first wave of motion practice will focus on those claims. If any claims survive a  
19 motion to dismiss, discovery will immediately begin as to those claims. The next phase of motion  
20 practice will be sequenced to address any remaining claims. Having set forth this tentative  
21 structure, the parties shall begin to meet and confer as to a briefing timeline. As the Court advised  
22 on the record, given common legal questions, the Court does not anticipate an extended briefing  
23 period as being necessary.

24 7. **ADR**: While the parties are not directed to engage in settlement at this juncture,  
25 they are not precluded from doing so. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer as to  
26

---

27 <sup>5</sup> Parties may consult this District's E-Discovery (ESI) Guidelines available at  
28 <https://www.cand.uscourts.gov/forms/e-discovery-esi-guidelines/>.



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.