

1 COUNSEL LISTED ON SIGNATURE PAGE

2  
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
5 SAN JOSE DIVISION

6 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
7 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

8 Plaintiffs,

9 v.

10 GOOGLE LLC, ET AL.,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-01317-EJD

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Date: Feb. 6, 2020

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Before: Hon. Edward J. Davila

Courtroom: 4, 5th Floor

12  
13 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
14 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 FACEBOOK, INC.

18 Defendant.

Case No. 5:13-cv-01356-EJD

**DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR  
JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Date: Feb. 6, 2020

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Before: Hon. Edward J. Davila

Courtroom: 4, 5th Floor

19 PERSONALWEB TECHNOLOGIES, LLC  
20 and LEVEL 3 COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 EMC CORPORATION and VMWARE, INC.,  
24 Defendants.

Case No. 5:13-cv-01358-EJD

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR  
JUDGMENT ON THE PLEADINGS**

Date: Feb. 6, 2020

Time: 9:00 a.m.

Before: Hon. Edward J. Davila

Courtroom: 4, 5th Floor

25  
26  
27  
28

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

I. INTRODUCTION ..... 1

II. BACKGROUND ..... 3

    A. The Asserted “True Name” Patents ..... 3

    B. Procedural History ..... 5

III. LEGAL STANDARDS..... 7

    A. Judgment on the Pleadings..... 7

    B. Patent Eligibility Under Section 101 ..... 8

IV. ARGUMENT ..... 9

    A. The ’310 Patent ..... 10

        1. The Asserted Claims of the ’310 Patent Fail *Alice* Step  
           One Because They Are Directed to an Abstract Idea. .... 11

        2. The Asserted Claims of the ’310 Patent Lack Any  
           “*Inventive Concept*” as Required Under *Alice* Step Two. .... 14

    B. The ’280 Patent ..... 18

    C. The ’662 Patent ..... 22

V. CONCLUSION ..... 24

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(s)

**CASES**

1		
2		
3		
4	<i>Affinity Labs of Texas v. Amazon.com Inc.</i> , 838 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	21
5	<i>Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Digital Island, Inc.</i> , No. 1:00-cv-11851-RWZ (D. Mass.).....	4
6		
7	<i>Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l</i> , 134 S. Ct. 2347 (2014).....	<i>passim</i>
8	<i>Altnet Inc. v. RIAA</i> , No. 2:04-cv-07456-JFW-CT (C.D. Cal.).....	4
9		
10	<i>Altnet Inc. v. Streamcast Networks Inc.</i> , No. 2:06-cv-05086-ODW-E (C.D. Cal.).....	4
11	<i>Ariosa Diagnostics, Inc. v. Sequenom, Inc.</i> , 788 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....	8
12		
13	<i>Atlas IP LLC v. Pac. Gas &amp; Elec. Co.</i> , No. 15-CV-05469-EDL, 2016 WL 1719545 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2016).....	16
14	<i>Bilski v. Kappos</i> , 561 U.S. 593 (2010).....	15, 17
15		
16	<i>Bridge &amp; Post, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.</i> , 319 F. Supp. 3d 818 (E.D. Va. 2018).....	12
17	<i>BSG Tech LLC v. Buyseasons, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2018).....	9
18		
19	<i>Cable &amp; Wireless Internet Servs., Inc. v. Akamai Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 1:02-cv-11430-RWZ (D. Mass.).....	4
20	<i>Citrix Sys., Inc. v. Avi Networks, Inc.</i> , No. 17-cv-1843-LPS, 2019 WL 582480 (D. Del. 2019).....	20, 21
21		
22	<i>Content Extraction &amp; Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.</i> , 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	8, 17
23	<i>CyberSource Corp. v. Retail Decisions, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....	13, 19
24		
25	<i>Digitech Image Techs. v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc.</i> , 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014).....	18
26	<i>Electric Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A.</i> , 830 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2016).....	9
27		
28	<i>Gottschalk v. Benson</i> , 409 U.S. 63 (1972).....	8

1 *Heliotrope Gen., Inc. v. Ford Motor Co.*,  
189 F.3d 971 (9th Cir. 1999).....7

2

3 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank*,  
792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015).....15, 18

4 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indem. Co.*,  
200 F. Supp. 3d 565 (W.D. Pa. 2016).....15, 17, 21, 24

5

6 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indem. Co.*,  
850 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....13, 19

7 *Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp.*,  
838 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....8, 13

8

9 *Jericho Sys. Corp. v. Axiomatics, Inc.*,  
No. 3:14-CV-2281-K, 2015 WL 2165931 (N.D. Tex. May 7, 2015)..... 13-14

10 *Kinetech, Inc. v. The Lime Grp., Inc.*,  
No. 2:07-cv-06161-VBF-PLA (C.D. Cal.).....4

11

12 *Mayo Collaborative Servs. v. Prometheus Labs., Inc.*,  
566 U.S. 66 (2012).....8, 9

13 *Neptune Generics, LLC v. Eli Lilly & Co.*,  
921 F.3d 1372 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....7

14

15 *Nichia Corp. v. Vizio, Inc.*,  
No. 16-cv-00545-SJO, 2017 WL 3485767 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 2, 2017) .....16

16 *OpenTV, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,  
No. 14-CV-01622-HSG, 2015 WL 1535328 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 6, 2015).....12

17

18 *OpenTV, Inc. v. Apple Inc.*,  
No. 5:15-cv-02008-EJD, 2016 WL 344845 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2016).....3, 14

19 *PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.*,  
848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....6

20

21 *PersonalWeb Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.*,  
917 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2019).....6, 7, 16

22 *In re PersonalWeb Techs., LLC, & Level 3 Commc'ns, LLC, Patent Litig.*,  
MDL No. 2834, 340 F. Supp. 3d 1373 (U.S. Jud. Pan. Mult. Litig. 2018).....4

23

24 *Preservation Wellness Techs. LLC v. Allscripts Healthcare Solutions*,  
No. 2:15-CV-1559-WCB, 2016 WL 2742379 (E.D. Tex. May 10, 2016) .....13

25 *Prism Techs. LLC v. T-Mobile USA, Inc.*,  
696 F. App'x 1014 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....2, 13

26

27 *Protegrity USA, Inc. v. Netskope, Inc.*,  
No. 15-cv-02515-YGR, 2015 WL 6126599 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 19, 2015)..... 12-13

28 *RecogniCorp LLC v. Nintendo Co.*,  
855 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2017).....9

1 *Smartflash LLC v. Apple Inc.*,  
680 F. App'x 977 (Fed. Cir. 2017) ..... 2-3, 12, 13

2

3 *Smart Sys. Innovations v. Chicago Transit Auth.*,  
873 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2017)..... 9, 14-15, 21, 24

4 *Synopsys, Inc. v. Mentor Graphics Corp.*,  
839 F.3d 1138 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 18

5

6 *In re TLI Commc'ns, LLC Patent Litig.*,  
823 F.3d 607, 613 (Fed. Cir. 2016)..... 17

7 *Ultramercial, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC*,  
772 F.3d 709 (Fed. Cir. 2014)..... 9, 15

8

9 *Umbanet, Inc. v. Epsilon Data Mgmt., LLC*,  
263 F. Supp. 3d 647 (E.D. Tex. 2017)..... 13, 17

10 *Ventress v. Japan Airlines*,  
486 F.3d 1111 (9th Cir. 2007)..... 7

11

12 **FEDERAL STATUTES**

13 35 U.S.C. § 101 ..... *passim*

14 35 U.S.C. § 102 ..... 5

15 35 U.S.C. § 103 ..... 5

16 35 U.S.C. § 311 ..... 7

17

18 **FEDERAL RULES**

19 Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 ..... 7

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.