ALLAN STEYER (Bar No. 100318)
JILL M. MANNING (Bar No. 178849)
D. SCOTT MACRAE (Bar No. 104663)
SUNEEL JAIN (Bar No. 314558)
STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP
235 Pine Street, 15th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 424-3400
Facsimile: (415) 421-2234
asteyer@steyerlaw.com
jmanning@steyerlaw.com
smacrae@steyerlaw.com
sjain@steyerlaw.com

CLIFFORD H. PEARSON (Bar. No. 108523)
DANIEL L. WARSHAW (Bar No. 185365)
THOMAS J. NOLAN (Bar No. 66992)
PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
15165 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403
Telephone: (818) 788-8300
Facsimile: (818) 788-8104
cpearson@pswlaw.com
dwarshaw@pswlaw.com
tnolan@pswlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

CHRISTINA GRACE and KEN POTTER Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. 5:17-cv-00551-LHK-NC

CLASS ACTION

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND SERVICE AWARDS

Date: February 8, 2021
Time: 1:30 p.m.
Courtroom: 8, 4th Floor
Judge: The Honorable Lucy H. Koh

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on February 8, 2021, at 1:30 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard in the Courtroom of the Honorable Lucy H. Koh, United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA 95113, Plaintiffs Christina Grace and Ken Potter ("Plaintiffs") will and hereby do move the Court, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(h)(1) and 54(d)(2), for the entry of an Order awarding the following in this action (the "Action"):

1. Attorneys' fees to class counsel in this Action ("Class Counsel") in the amount of $5,400,000, which is 30% of the total settlement fund of $18 million;

2. Unreimbursed expenses Class Counsel necessarily incurred in connection with the prosecution of this Action in the amount of $1,092,459.47; and

3. Service awards of $7,500 for each of the two Class Representatives, for a total of $15,000.

This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities; the Declaration of Jill M. Manning filed herewith; the Declaration of Daniel L. Warshaw filed herewith; the Declaration of David F.E. Tejtel filed herewith; the Declaration of John Austin Curry filed herewith; all exhibits thereto; argument by counsel at the hearing before this Court; any papers filed in reply; the pleading and papers on file in this Action, and such oral argument and documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing on this motion.

Date: November 24, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

STEYER LOWENTHAL BOODROOKAS ALVAREZ & SMITH LLP

By: */s/ Jill M. Manning*
Jill M. Manning

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. BACKGROUND 2

A. The Action and the Claims Asserted Therein 2

B. Class Counsel Prosecuted this Action Tenaciously and with Great Skill and Effectiveness 3

C. Mediation and Settlement 6

III. ARGUMENT 6

A. The Requested Fee Is Reasonable and Appropriate 7

1. The Court Should Calculate Class Counsel's Fees as a Percentage of the Common Fund 8

2. The Court Should Award A Fee of Thirty Percent of the Common Fund 9

(a) Class Counsel Achieved a Significant Benefit for the Class 11

(b) Class Counsel Faced Significant Risk in Litigating the Action 11

(c) Substantial Effort and Skill Was Required to Prosecute this Action 13

(d) Class Counsel Litigated this Action on a Purely Contingent Basis 16

(e) Awards in Similar Cases Support an Upward Adjustment 16

3. A Lodestar-Multiplier "Cross-Check" Further Confirms the Reasonableness of the Requested Fee 17

B. Class Counsel's Expenses Are Reasonable and Should Be Reimbursed 20

C. The Service Award Request Is Reasonable 20

IV. CONCLUSION 22

DOCKET ALARM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Acosta v. Frito-Lay, Inc.,
No. 15-CV- 02128-JSC, 2018 WL 646691 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 31, 2018) 20

Allapattah Servs., Inc. v. Exxon Corp.,
454 F. Supp. 2d 1185 (S.D. Fla. 2006) 17

Alvarez v. Farmers Ins. Exch.,
No. 3:14-CV-00574-WHO, 2017 WL 2214585 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 18, 2017) 22

In re Animation Workers Antitrust Litig.,
No. 14-CV-4062-LHK, 2016 WL 6663005 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 11, 2016) 18

In re Anthem, Inc. Data Breach Litig.,
No. 15-MD-02617-LHK, 2018 WL 3960068 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2018) ..... *passim*

Bellinghausen v. Tractor Supply Co.,
306 F.R.D. 245 (N.D. Cal. 2015) 22

In re Bluetooth Headset Products Liab. Litig.,
654 F.3d 935 (9th Cir. 2011) 7, 11

Blum v. Stenson,
465 U.S. 886 (1984) 9, 18

Boeing Co. v. Van Gemert,
444 U.S. 472 (1980) 7

Castaneda v. Burger King Corp.,
No. C 08-04262 WHA, 2010 WL 2735091 (N.D. Cal. July 12, 2010) 10

In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litig.,
No. 3:07-cv-5944 JST, 2016 WL 721680 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2016) 10

de Mira v. Heartland Employment Serv.,
LLC, No. 12-CV-04092 LHK, 2014 WL 1026282 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 13, 2014) 15

Garner v. State Farm,
No. CV 08 1365 CW, 2010 WL 1687829 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2010) 10

Glass v. UBS Fin. Servs., Inc.,
No. C-06-4068 MMC, 2007 WL 221862 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2007), *aff'd*, 331 F. App'x 452 (9th Cir. 2009) 13

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

In re Google LLC St. View Elec. Commc'ns Litig.,
No. 10-MD-02184-CRB, 2020 WL 1288377 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2020) 8

Hendricks v. Starkist Co.,
No. 13- 00729-HSG, 2016 WL 5462423 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 29, 2016), *aff'd*, 2018 WL 5115482 (9th Cir. Oct. 19, 2018) 6

Hickcox-Huffman v. US Airways, Inc.,
No. 10-CV-05193-VKD, 2019 WL 1571877 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 11, 2019) 22

In re High-Tech Employee Antitrust Litig.,
2015 WL 5158730 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2015) 17, 18, 20, 22

Hopkins v. Stryker Sales Corp.,
No. 11-CV-02786-LHK, 2013 WL 496358 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 6, 2013) 10, 15

Johnson v. Quantum Learning Network, Inc.,
No. 15-CV-05013-LHK, 2017 WL 747462 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 27, 2017) 8, 10, 15

Knight v. Red Door Salons, Inc.,
No. 08–01520 SC, 2009 WL 248367 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 2, 2009) 10, 12

Kurzweil v. Philip Morris Cos.,
No. 94 CIV. 2373 (MBM), 1999 WL 1076105 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 30, 1999) 17

In re Linerboard Antitrust Litig.,
No. CIV.A. 98-5055, 2004 WL 1221350 (E.D. Pa. Jun. 2, 2004) 17

In re Media Vision Tech. Sec. Litig.,
913 F. Supp. 1362 (N.D. Cal. 1995) 20

In re National Collegiate Athletic Association Athletic Grant-in-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation,
Case No. 4:14-md-2541-CW (N.D. Cal.), Dkt No. 1246 (Oct. 16, 2019) 18

In re National Collegiate Athletic Association Athletic Grant-in-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation,
Case No. 4:14-md-2541-CW (N.D. Cal.), Dkt. No. 1259 (Dec. 6, 2019) 18

In re Nexus 6P Prod. Liab. Litig.,
No. 17-CV-02185-BLF, 2019 WL 6622842 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 12, 2019) 8, 10

In re Omnivision Techs., Inc.,
559 F. Supp. 2d 1036 (N.D. Cal. 2007) 10, 13, 14

In re Online DVD-Rental Antitrust Litig.,
779 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2015) 21

Paul, Johnson, Alston & Hunt v. Graulty,
886 F.2d 268 (9th Cir. 1989) 15

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.