

1 BROWNE GEORGE ROSS
2 [O'BRIEN ANNAGUEY & ELLIS LLP](#)
3 Peter Obstler (State Bar No. 171623)
4 pobstler@bgrfirm.com
5 ~~44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1280~~
6 ~~San Francisco, California 94104~~
7 ~~Telephone: (415) 391-7100; Facsimile: (415) 391-7198~~

8 ~~BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP~~
9 ~~[Pete Wilson \(State Bar No. 35742\)](#)~~
10 ~~pwilson@bgrfirm.com~~
11 Eric M. George (State Bar No. 166403)
12 ~~egeorge@bgrfirm.com~~
13 ~~[Dennis S. Ellis \(State Bar No. 178196\)](#)~~
14 ~~dellis@bgrfirm.com~~
15 Debi A. Ramos (State Bar No. 135373)
16 ~~dramos@bgrfirm.com~~
17 2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800
18 Los Angeles, California 90067
19 ~~Telephone: (310) 274-7100; Facsimile: (310) 275-5697~~

20 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Divino Group LLC, Chris
21 Knight, Celso Dulay, Cameron Stiehl,
22 BriaAndChrissy LLC, Bria Kam, Chrissy
23 Chambers, Chase Ross, Brett Somers, and Lindsay
24 Amer, Stephanie Frosch, Sal Cinequemani,
25 Tamara Johnson and Greg Scarnici

15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
16
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

18 DIVINO GROUP LLC, a California limited
19 liability company, CHRIS KNIGHT, an
20 individual, CELSO DULAY, an individual,
21 CAMERON STIEHL, an individual,
22 BRIAANDCHRISSY LLC, a Georgia limited
23 liability company, BRIA KAM, an individual,
24 CHRISSY CHAMBERS, an individual,
25 CHASE ROSS, an individual, BRETT
26 SOMERS, an individual, and LINDSAY
27 AMER, an individual, STEPHANIE FROSCH,
28 an individual, SAL CINEQUEMANI, an
individual, TAMARA JOHNSON, an
individual, and GREG SCARNICI, an
individual,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

GOOGLE LLC, a Delaware limited liability
company, YOUTUBE, LLC, a Delaware
limited liability company, and DOES 1-25,

Case No. 5:19-cv-004749-VKD

**~~SECOND~~ THIRD AMENDED CLASS
ACTION COMPLAINT ~~FOR DAMAGES,~~
~~INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, RESTITUTION,~~
~~AND DECLARATORY JUDGMENT~~**

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Judge: Hon. Virginia DeMarchi
Ctrm: 2

~~Action Filed: August 13, 2019~~
Trial Date: None Set

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

Page

Defendants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	1
II. PARTIES	15
III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	19
<u>A. Federal Question Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. §1331.....</u>	<u>19</u>
<u>B. Class Action Fairness Act Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. §1332(d)(2).....</u>	<u>20</u>
<u>C. Supplemental Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. §1367.....</u>	<u>21</u>
IV. FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS	<u>1922</u>
A. The YouTube Platform	<u>1922</u>
B. YouTube Holds Itself Out As A Quintessential Forum For Freedom Of Expression.....	<u>2024</u>
C. Defendants Flout The Fundamental “Free Expression” Bargain They Made With YouTubers.....	<u>2326</u>
D. Defendants Begin To Compete With YouTubers In The Proposed Class.....	<u>2528</u>
E. Defendants’ Tool Kit Of Unlawful Speech Suppression.....	<u>2629</u>
1. Restricted Mode	<u>2630</u>
2. Advertising Restrictions.....	<u>3034</u>
3. AI Filtering Under Restricted Mode And Advertising Restrictions	<u>3135</u>
4. Demonetizing Channels Wholesale	<u>3337</u>
5. Shadow Banning	<u>3338</u>
6. Deleting Thumbnail Images.....	<u>3438</u>
7. Cancelling And Stopping Subscribers’ New Video Notifications.....	<u>3539</u>
8. Excluding Content From The “Up Next” Recommended Application	<u>3741</u>
9. Recommending Anti- Hate Speech In The “Up Next” Application Alongside Videos.....	<u>3741</u>
10. Playing Anti- Advertisements Immediately Before Videos	<u>3842</u>
11. Including Anti- Hate Speech In Comments Appearing With Videos.....	<u>3842</u>
12. Other Unlawful Speech-Restricting Tools.....	<u>4145</u>

1392054-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

		<u>Page</u>
1		
2		
3	F. Defendants Were Caught Censoring Users In 2017	4246
4	G. YouTube’s Promises To the YouTubers Were “Lip Service”	4448
5	H. Defendants Have And Continue To Violate The Rights Of Plaintiffs And The	
6	Community	4549
7	1. Divino (GlitterBombTV.com’s GNews!)	4549
8	2. BriaAndChrissy LLC (BriaAndChrissy)	5155
9	3. Chase Ross	6367
10	4. Brett Somers a/k/a AMP (Watts The Safeword).....	7073
11	5. Lindsay Amer (Queer Kid Stuff)	7579
12	6. Stephanie Frosch (ElloSteph, ElloStephExtras and StephFrosch).....	7982
13	7. Sal Cinquemani (SalBardo)	8286
14	8. Tamara Johnson (SVTV Network)	8790
15	9. Greg Scarnici (GregScarnici and Undercover Music).....	9194
16	V. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS	9497
17	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Declaratory Judgment: 47 U.S.C. § 230(c) Violates The First	
18	And Fourteenth Amendments Of The U.S. Constitution (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs	
19	Individually And The YouTube Community Class).....	98101
20	A. Plaintiffs Served Rule 5.1 Notice On The U.S. Attorney General.	99102
21	B. Legal Controversies Currently Exist Regarding The Scope And	
22	Constitutionality Of 47 U.S.C. § 230(c).	100104
23	1. An Actual Controversy Exists As To Whether 47 U.S.C. § 230(c)	
24	Immunizes Filtering And Restricting Internet Speech Based On The	
25	Identity Or Viewpoint Of The Speaker.....	103106
26	2. An Actual Controversy Exists As To Whether Defendants’	
27	Determination That Plaintiffs’ Content Is “Otherwise Objectionable”	
28	Is Subject To Good Faith Review.....	105108
	3. An Actual Controversy Exists As To Whether 47 U.S.C. § 230(c) Is	
	Unconstitutional As Applied To Plaintiffs On These Facts.....	106109
	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION Viewpoint Based Discrimination In Violation Of The First	
	Amendment To U. S. Constitution Pursuant To 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (On Behalf Of	
	Plaintiffs Individually And The YouTube Community Class).....	107

TABLE OF CONTENTS
(Continued)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

~~THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION~~ ~~Violation Of~~ California Constitution Article I, Section 2 (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs Individually And The YouTube Community Class) ~~112~~110

~~FOURTH~~THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION Violation Of California Unruh Civil Rights Act—Civil Code §§ 51, *et seq.* (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs And The YouTube Community Class) ~~115~~112

~~FIFTH~~FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION Unfair Competition In Violation Of California Business And Professions Code §§ 17200, *et seq.* (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs And The YouTube Community Class) ~~117~~114

~~SIXTH~~FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Breach Of Implied Covenant Of Good Faith And Fair Dealing (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs And The YouTube Community Class)..... ~~118~~115

~~SEVENTH~~SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION Violation Of The Lanham Act — 15 U.S.C. §§ 1125 *et seq.* (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs) ~~119~~117

~~EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION Request For Declaratory Relief (On Behalf Of Plaintiffs And The YouTube Community Class)~~ ~~122~~

PRAYER FOR RELIEF ~~123~~121

JURY DEMAND ~~125~~122

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.