

DAVID H. KRAMER, SBN 168452
MAURA L. REES, SBN 191698
LAUREN GALLO WHITE, SBN 309075
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 565-5100
Email: dkramer@wsgr.com
mrees@wsgr.com
lwhite@wsgr.com

BRIAN M. WILLEN (admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
1301 Avenue of the Americas, 40th Floor
New York, NY 10019-6022
Telephone: (212) 999-5800
Facsimile: (212) 999-5801
Email: bwillen@wsgr.com

**Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
YOUTUBE, LLC and GOOGLE LLC**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

PUBLIC VERSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>	
2	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION	1
4	STATEMENT OF REQUESTED RELIEF	1
5	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
6	STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED.....	2
7	STATEMENT OF FACTS.....	3
8	ARGUMENT	10
9	I. YOUTUBE HAS MULTIPLE LICENSES TO SCHNEIDER'S WORKS.....	10
10	A. Through Her Publisher, Schneider Granted YouTube a License to 11 Use Her Entire Catalog of Compositions.....	10
12	B. Under YouTube's Terms of Service, Schneider Granted YouTube a 13 License to the Works-in-Suit that She and Her Agents Uploaded to 14 YouTube.....	14
15	II. SCHNEIDER'S CMI CLAIMS FAIL ON MULTIPLE GROUNDS.	16
16	A. Schneider Failed to Identify Any CMI Removed by YouTube.	17
17	B. Schneider Failed to Establish that YouTube Acted with the 18 Requisite Scienter.....	18
19	C. Schneider Authorized YouTube to Remove Embedded Metadata.	21
20	III. HUNDREDS OF SCHNEIDER'S COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT 21 CLAIMS AND HER § 1202(b) CLAIMS ARE TIME-BARRED.....	22
22	CONCLUSION	24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

CASES

2	<i>Bank of N.Y. Mellon Tr. Co., N.A. v. Morgan Stanley Mortg. Capital, Inc.</i> , 821 F.3d 297 (2d Cir. 2016).....	12, 13
3		
4	<i>Bus. Casual Holdings, LLC v. YouTube, LLC</i> , 2022 WL 837596 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 21, 2022)	14
5		
6	<i>Cambridge Elecs. Corp. v. MGA Elecs., Inc.</i> , 227 F.R.D. 313 (C.D. Cal. 2004)	19
7		
8	<i>Chem. Bank v. Affiliated FM Ins. Co.</i> , 169 F.3d 121 (2d Cir. 1999).....	11
9		
10	<i>Color Image Apparel, Inc. v. Jaeschke</i> , 2022 WL 2643476 (C.D. Cal. June 7, 2022).....	23
11		
12	<i>Darnaa, LLC v. Google, Inc.</i> , 2015 WL 7753406 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 2, 2015)	22
13		
14	<i>Fahmy v. Jay-Z</i> , 835 F. Supp. 2d 783 (C.D. Cal. 2011).....	23
15		
16	<i>Fantastic Fakes, Inc. v. Pickwick International, Inc.</i> , 661 F.2d 479 (5th Cir. Unit B 1981).....	11
17		
18	<i>Food Safety Net Servs. v. Eco Safe Sys. USA, Inc.</i> , 209 Cal. App. 4th 1118 (2012).....	22
19		
20	<i>Graham v. James</i> , 144 F.3d 229 (2d Cir. 1998).....	11, 12, 13
21		
22	<i>Great Minds v. Office Depot, Inc.</i> , 945 F.3d 1106 (9th Cir. 2019).....	10
23		
24	<i>Harrington v. Pinterest, Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 4033031 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 2021).....	16, 20
25		
26	<i>John Hancock Life Ins. Co. of New York v. Solomon Baum Irrevocable Fam. Life Ins. Tr.</i> , 783 F. App'x 89 (2d Cir. 2019).....	11
27		
28	<i>Lake Constr. & Dev. Corp. v. City of New York</i> , 621 N.Y.S.2d 337 (N.Y. App. Div. 1995).....	13
	<i>Merritt Hill Vineyards Inc. v. Windy Heights Vineyard, Inc.</i> , 460 N.E.2d 1077 (N.Y. 1984)	12

1	<i>Oppenheimer & Co. v. Oppenheim, Appel, Dixon & Co.,</i> 660 N.E.2d 415 (N.Y. 1995)	12
2	<i>Oracle Am., Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enter. Co.,</i> 971 F.3d 1042 (9th Cir. 2020).....	22, 23
4	<i>Phx. Techs., Ltd. v. VMware, Inc.,</i> 2017 WL 1957042 (N.D. Cal. May 11, 2017)	19
5	<i>Sedlik v. Von Drachenberg,</i> 2022 WL 2784818 (C.D. Cal. May 31, 2022).....	20
7	<i>Stevens v. Corelogic, Inc.,</i> 194 F. Supp. 3d 1046 (S.D. Cal. 2016)	18, 21
9	<i>Stevens v. CoreLogic, Inc.,</i> 899 F.3d 666 (9th Cir. 2018).....	16, 19, 20, 21
10	<i>United States Naval Inst. v. Charter Commc'ns, Inc.,</i> 936 F.2d 692 (2d Cir. 1991).....	11
12	<i>Viacom Int'l Inc. v. YouTube, Inc.,</i> 718 F. Supp. 2d 514 (S.D.N.Y. 2010).....	3, 16
13	<i>Viacom Int'l. Inc. v YouTube, Inc.,</i> 940 F. Supp. 2d 110 (S.D.N.Y. 2013).....	3
15	<i>Victor Elias Photography, LLC,</i> 2022 WL 3330350 (11th Cir. Aug. 12, 2022).....	19, 20
17	<i>Wood v. Santa Barbara Chamber of Commerce, Inc.,</i> 705 F.2d 1515 (9th Cir. 1983).....	23

STATUTES

19	17 U.S.C. § 102(a)(2)	5
20	17 U.S.C. § 106(4)	13
21	17 U.S.C. § 507(b)	2, 22
22	17 U.S.C. § 1202	2, 7, 8, 10, 16, 17, 20, 21, 24
24	17 U.S.C. § 1202(b)	1, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21
26	17 U.S.C. § 1202(c).....	16

1	RULES
2	Fed. R. Civ. P. 56
3	Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(c)(1)
4	MISCELLANEOUS
5	13 Williston on Contracts § 38:7 (4th ed.)
6	Dani Deahl, <i>Metadata is the Biggest Little Problem Plaguing the Music Industry</i> , 7 The Verge, https://www.theverge.com/2019/5/29/18531476/music-industry-songroyalties-metadata-credit-problems
7	20
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.