

1 Lesley Weaver (Cal. Bar No.191305)  
2 Angelica M. Ornelas (Cal. Bar No. 285929)  
3 Joshua D. Samra (Cal. Bar No. 313050)  
4 **BLEICHMAR FONTI & AULD LLP**  
5 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1600  
6 Oakland, CA 994607  
7 Tel.: (415) 445-4003  
8 Fax: (415) 445-4020  
9 *lweaver@bfalaw.com*  
10 *aornelas@bfalaw.com*  
11 *jsamra@bfalaw.com*

Mitchell M. Breit (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
Jason ‘Jay’ Barnes (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
An Truong (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
Eric Johnson (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
**SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC**  
112 Madison Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
Tel.: (212) 784-6400  
Fax: (212) 213-5949  
*mbreit@simmonsfirm.com*  
*jaybarnes@simmonsfirm.com*  
*atruong@simmonsfirm.com*  
*ejohnson@simmonsfirm.com*

8 Laurence D. King (Cal. Bar No. 206423)  
9 Mario Choi (Cal. Bar No. 243409)  
10 **KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**  
11 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1560  
12 Oakland, CA 94612  
13 Tel.: (415) 772-4700  
14 Fax: (415) 772-4707  
15 *lking@kaplanfox.com*  
16 *mchoi@kaplanfox.com*

David A. Straite (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
Aaron L. Schwartz (*pro hac vice* to be sought)  
**KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**  
850 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Tel.: (212) 687-1980  
Fax: (212) 687-7715  
*dstraite@kaplanfox.com*  
*aschwartz@kaplanfox.com*

13 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

15 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16 **FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
17 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

18 PATRICK CALHOUN, ELAINE  
19 CRESPO, HADIYAH JACKSON and  
20 CLAUDIA KINDLER, on behalf of  
21 themselves and all others similarly situated,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 v.

24 GOOGLE LLC,

25 Defendant.

No. \_\_\_\_\_

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

26 **PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION WITH PLAINTIFFS’ SENSITIVE PERSONAL**  
27 **INFORMATION PROVISIONALLY REDACTED PENDING MOTION TO SEAL**  
28

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<b>Page</b>
1	I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
2	II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE .....	3
3	A. Personal Jurisdiction .....	3
4	B. Subject Matter Jurisdiction .....	3
5	C. Venue .....	3
6	III. THE INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT .....	4
7	IV. PARTIES .....	4
8	V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS .....	5
9	A. Contract Formation .....	5
10	B. Relevant Contract Terms.....	8
11	C. Google Improperly Collects Personal Information from Un-Synched Chrome Users Without Consent and in Breach of Contract .....	10
12	1. Definition of Personal Information .....	10
13	2. An IP Address + User Agent Is Personal Information.....	12
14	3. Persistent Cookies Are Personal Information .....	13
15	4. X-Client Data Headers Are Personal Information .....	16
16	5. Browsing History is Personal Information.....	19
17	D. Chrome’s Promise Not To Share PI With Google if Not Synched Was Intended To Encourage, Not Diminish, User Engagement.....	20
18	E. How Google Instructs Chrome to Report PI to Google .....	23
19	F. A Sample Visit to The San Jose Mercury News Website Using Chrome – Comparison Between a “Synched” Session and “Un-synched” Session.....	28
20	G. Plaintiffs’ Personal Experiences .....	33
21	H. Google’s Improper Collection of PI from Plaintiffs and Other Un-Synched Chrome Users is a Serious Invasion of the Privacy and is Highly Offensive.....	50
22	I. Plaintiffs’ PI Is Property Owned by the Plaintiffs and Has Economic Value.....	52
23	J. Plaintiffs Have Suffered Economic Injury.....	55
24	K. Google Has Been Unjustly Enriched .....	58
25	VI. CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS .....	63
26	VII. COUNTS.....	65
27		
28		

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont'd.)

	Page
1	COUNT ONE WIRETAP ACT: UNAUTHORIZED INTERCEPTION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS..... 65
2	
3	COUNT TWO WIRETAP ACT – UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES BY AN ECS 18 U.S.C. § 2510, <i>et. seq.</i> ..... 68
4	
5	COUNT THREE STORED COMMUNICATIONS ACT – UNAUTHORIZED ACCESS TO STORED ECS COMMUNICATIONS 18 U.S.C. § 2701 ..... 70
6	
7	COUNT FOUR STORED COMMUNICATIONS ACT – UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURES OF STORED COMMUNICATIONS BY AN ECS 18 U.S.C. § 2701 ..... 72
8	
9	COUNT FIVE VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA INVASION OF PRIVACY ACT (“CIPA”) Cal. Penal Code §§ 631 ..... 72
10	
11	COUNT SIX INVASION OF PRIVACY ..... 74
12	
13	COUNT SEVEN INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION..... 76
14	
15	COUNT EIGHT BREACH OF CONTRACT ..... 77
16	
17	COUNT NINE BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING ..... 78
18	
19	COUNT TEN QUASI-CONTRACT (RESTITUTION AND UNJUST ENRICHMENT) (IN ALTERNATIVE TO CONTRACT CLAIMS)..... 79
20	
21	COUNT ELEVEN VIOLATION OF COMPUTER FRAUD AND ABUSE ACT (“CFAA”) 18 U.S.C. §1030(g) ..... 79
22	
23	COUNT TWELVE VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA COMPUTER DATA ACCESS AND FRAUD ACT Cal. Penal Code § 502 ..... 80
24	
25	COUNT THIRTEEN STATUTORY LARCENY California Penal Code §§ 484 and 496..... 82
26	
27	COUNT FOURTEEN VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (“UCL”) Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, <i>et seq.</i> ..... 83
28	
	COUNT FIFTEEN PUNITIVE DAMAGES Cal. Civ. Code § 3294..... 85
	COUNT SIXTEEN DECLARATORY RELIEF 28 U.S.C. § 2201(a)..... 85
	VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF..... 86
	IX. JURY TRIAL DEMAND ..... 87

## TABLE OF EXHIBITS

EX.	DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION
1	Chart of Documents Constituting the Relevant Contract by Date
2	Google Terms of Service dated April 14, 2014
3	Google Terms of Service dated Oct. 25, 2017
4	Google Terms of Service dated March 31, 2020
5	Chrome Terms of Service dated Aug. 12, 2010
6	Google Chrome and Chrome OS Additional Terms of Service dated March 31, 2020
7	Google Privacy Policy dated June 28, 2016
8	Google Privacy Policy dated Aug. 29, 2016
9	Google Privacy Policy dated March 1, 2017
10	Google Privacy Policy dated April 17, 2017
11	Google Privacy Policy dated Oct. 2, 2017
12	Google Privacy Policy dated Dec. 18, 2017
13	Google Privacy Policy dated May 25, 2018
14	Google Privacy Policy dated Jan. 22, 2019
15	Google Privacy Policy dated Oct. 15, 2019
16	Google Privacy Policy dated Dec. 19, 2019
17	Chrome Privacy Notice dated June 21, 2016
18	Chrome Privacy Notice dated August 30, 2016
19	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Oct. 11, 2016
20	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Nov. 30, 2016
21	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Jan. 24, 2017
22	Chrome Privacy Notice dated March 7, 2017
23	Chrome Privacy Notice dated April 25, 2017

**TABLE OF EXHIBITS**

<b>EX.</b>	<b>DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION</b>
24	Chrome Privacy Notice dated March 6, 2018
25	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Sept. 24, 2018
26	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Oct. 24, 2018
27	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Dec. 4, 2018
28	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Jan. 30, 2019
29	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Mar. 12, 2019
30	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Oct. 31, 2019
31	Chrome Privacy Notice dated Dec. 10, 2019
32	Chrome Privacy Notice dated March 17, 2020
33	Chrome Privacy Notice dated May 20, 2020

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.