

DENISE M. MINGRONE (SBN 135224)  
dmingrone@orrick.com  
MARIA N. SOKOVA (SBN 323627)  
msokova@orrick.com  
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP  
1000 Marsh Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025-1015  
Telephone: +1 650 614 7400  
Facsimile: +1 650 614 7401

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
SYNOPSISYS, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SYNOPSISYS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

LIBRARY TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a  
California corporation, and DOES 1-10,  
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF  
DIGITAL MILLENNIUM COPYRIGHT  
ACT 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201, *ET SEQ.*, AND  
BREACH OF CONTRACT**

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

1 Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. (“Synopsys”) hereby brings this Complaint against Defendant  
2 Library Technologies, Inc. (“Library Technologies”) for circumventing technological measures  
3 that effectively control access to Synopsys software in violation of the Digital Millennium  
4 Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201, et seq. (the “DMCA”) and the parties’ contracts. Synopsys  
5 seeks injunctive relief, statutory and/or actual damages, attorneys’ fees and costs, an accounting,  
6 and any such other relief as the Court may deem proper. Synopsys alleges the following based on  
7 personal knowledge, unless indicated as on information and belief.

#### 8 **PARTIES**

9 1. Plaintiff Synopsys is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the  
10 State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Mountain View, California.

11 2. Defendant Library Technologies is a corporation organized and existing under the  
12 laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in Saratoga, California.

13 3. Plaintiff does not presently know the true names and capacities of the defendants  
14 sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive. Plaintiff may seek leave of court to amend this  
15 Complaint to allege said defendants’ true names and capacities once it ascertains this information.

#### 16 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17 4. The Court has federal-question subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331  
18 because the federal courts are vested with exclusive jurisdiction in copyright cases and actions  
19 arising under the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. §§ 1201, *et seq.* This Court has  
20 subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 (Federal Question  
21 Jurisdiction) and 1367 (Supplemental Jurisdiction).

22 5. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Library Technologies because its  
23 principal place of business lies within the State of California, and because it has conducted and  
24 does conduct business within the State of California and the Northern District of California.

25 6. Venue in this district is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400 because, on  
26 information and belief, all defendants reside in the State of California and the Northern District of  
27 California, and because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the dispute occurred within  
28 this district.

7. Defendant Library Technologies also consented to personal jurisdiction in federal and state courts within the Northern District of California under its contracts with Synopsis.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

## General Background

8. As modern electronic devices become more and more compact and powerful, they use increasingly sophisticated computer processor chips. When designing a computer processing chip, the stakes are enormous. Chip designers need software that will ensure that their complex designs will work flawlessly. Accordingly, chip designers require extremely robust and powerful computer software to design and test those chips. Many of the world's biggest and most important chip design companies turn to Synopsys for that software.

9. Since it was founded in 1986, Synopsys has been a leading provider of Electronic Design Automation (“EDA”) solutions for the semiconductor industry. EDA generally refers to using computers to design, verify, and simulate the performance of electronic circuits. For more than 30 years, Synopsys’ solutions have helped semiconductor manufacturers and electronics companies design, test, and manufacture microchips and electronic systems for a wide range of products. Headquartered in Mountain View, California, Synopsys is the fifteenth largest software company in the world and currently employs over 14,000 employees worldwide. Synopsys has developed a comprehensive, integrated portfolio of prototyping, IP, implementation, verification, manufacturing, optical, field-programmable gate array, and software quality and security solutions.

10.      Synopsys EDA software applications, including its HSPICE tool, are creative and original works subject to copyright protection under Title 17 of the United States Code.

11. Synopsys has invested hundreds of millions of dollars and enormous amounts of time and effort into the research, development, design, and refinement of the software at issue in this case. Such investment is necessary to maintain Synopsys' place as a leader in the competitive EDA industry, and to continue to provide leading circuit and chip manufacturers with cutting-edge design technology.

12. Synopsys does not sell ownership rights or copyrights or other intellectual property rights to its EDA software and associated services. Instead, Synopsys customers purchase licenses. These licenses grant Synopsys customers limited rights to install Synopsys EDA software and to access and use specific Synopsys software programs subject to control by Synopsys' License Key system, which is a built-in security system that controls access to its licensed software by requiring a user to access a key code provided by Synopsys in order to execute the licensed software. This key code controls the quantity and term of the licensed software in accordance with the license terms. Synopsys controls access to and use of its license key files through Synopsys' proprietary license server software, which is licensed to customers along with Synopsys' EDA applications.

13. To protect its valuable intellectual property, Synopsys has incorporated into its software technological measures that control access to the software. Synopsys' access controls, in the ordinary course of operation, ensure that Synopsys EDA applications cannot be used without appropriate license keys. Synopsys is the sole source of legitimate license keys, which it provides to licensed users of its software. For example, a license key file will list the name of the customer, identify the software the customer is licensed to use, and the number of concurrent uses purchased.

14. Each customer needs a license key file to execute Synopsys tools. The license key file contains information that allows Synopsys' license key system to determine whether the customer is authorized to execute specific Synopsys tools.

15. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Library Technologies is a privately held company based in Silicon Valley that was founded in 1988. According to its website, Library Technologies' develops and markets design and analysis tools for integrated circuit design. Library Technologies' tools and products are integrated together and interface to popular chip design flows, including Synopsys tools.

#### **Library Technologies' End User License Agreement**

16. On September 6, 2006, Library Technologies entered into an End User License and Maintenance Agreement with Synopsys ("EULA"). The EULA was executed by Mehmet

1 Cirit of Library Technologies and this agreement governs their use of Synopsys' intellectual  
2 property.

3 17. Pursuant to the EULA, customers like Library Technologies, purchase specific  
4 licenses to Synopsys tools. Synopsys Licenses provide access to only the specific tools purchased  
5 and the specific number of license seats.

6 18. Synopsys did not authorize Library Technologies to use additional quantities of  
7 Synopsys EDA software beyond the quantity of seat(s) listed in the Purchase orders.

8 19. The EULA also does not permit the Licensee to "use the Licensed Product(s) to  
9 develop or enhance any product that competes with a Licensed Product(s)."

10 20. Library Technologies' SolutionWare products, SolutionWare: Characterization and  
11 SolutionWare: Model and Library Generators, as well as the CCSTEST: Library Verification and  
12 Correlation products compete directly with the Synopsys SiliconSmart product, and CCSTEST  
13 also competes with Synopsys' Library Compiler product. Library Technologies was never  
14 authorized to use its access to HSPICE to compete with Synopsys.

15 **Library Technologies' Unauthorized Access to Synopsys Software**

16 21. In reliance on the terms of the EULA and Synopsys' belief that Library  
17 Technologies would abide by the conditions set forth in the license agreements, Synopsys  
18 provided Library Technologies with access to the licensed EDA applications and accompanying  
19 license keys from Synopsys' customer support website.

20 22. Library Technologies failed to satisfy conditions precedent to its license by failing  
21 to pay for required license seats that it used and exceeded the scope of its licenses by making  
22 and/or using more copies of Synopsys Tools than permitted.

23 23. Library Technologies bypassed Synopsys license key system by altering  
24 identifying information on various license server computers in order to facilitate more concurrent  
25 usage of Synopsys software than permitted under the license.

26 24. Defendants knew or had reason to know that their access and use of Synopsys  
27 software was unlawful. The fact that Defendants were using technological measures to avoid  
28 paying Synopsys license fees for additional access and use of software that Defendants

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.