

1 COOLEY LLP
WHITTY SOMVICHIAN (194463)
2 (wsomvichian@cooley.com)
MAX A. BERNSTEIN (305722)
3 (mbernstein@cooley.com)
KELSEY R. SPECTOR (321488)
4 (kspector@cooley.com)
LIZ SANCHEZ SANTIAGO (333789)
5 (lsanchezsantiago@cooley.com)
3 Embarcadero Center, 20th Floor
6 San Francisco, CA 94111-4004
Telephone: +1 415 693 2000
7 Facsimile: +1 415 693 2222

8 Attorneys for Defendant
GOOGLE LLC

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14 JOSEPH TAYLOR, EDWARD MLAKAR,
MICK CLEARY, EUGENE ALVIS, and
15 JENNIFER NELSON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 GOOGLE LLC,

19 Defendant.
20

Case No. 5:20-cv-07956-VKD

**GOOGLE LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS
PLAINTIFFS' FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Date: March 29, 2022
Time: 10:00 a.m.
Judge: Hon. Virginia K. DeMarchi

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS 1

STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT 1

STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED 1

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITY 1

 I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

 II. BACKGROUND..... 3

 A. The Android Operating System. 3

 B. The Google Terms and Policies. 3

 C. Procedural History. 4

 D. Plaintiffs’ Current Allegations. 6

 III. LEGAL STANDARD 7

 IV. ARGUMENT 7

 A. Plaintiffs Have Failed to State a Claim for Conversion. 7

 1. The FAC offers no new allegations to alter the Court’s holding that cellular data allowances are not personal property. 8

 2. Plaintiffs have not alleged the remaining elements of conversion. 11

 a. No interference..... 11

 b. No damages..... 13

 c. Plaintiffs’ conversion claim fails because they consented to the data transfers at issue. 14

 B. Plaintiffs Are Not Entitled to Recover in Quantum Meruit. 16

 1. The Court correctly ruled that Plaintiffs’ quantum meruit claim is a common count that falls with their conversion claim. 16

 2. Even if Plaintiffs’ quantum meruit count were considered independently of conversion, it would still fail..... 18

 V. CONCLUSION..... 19

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Engineering Solutions Co., LLC v. Personal Corner, LLC</i> , No. CV 20-5955-JFW (PLA), 2021 WL 1502705 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 25, 2021).....	19
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	7
<i>Bell Atl. Corp v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	7
<i>Boon Rawd Trading Int’l Co. v. Paleewong Trading Co.</i> , 688 F. Supp. 2d 940 (N.D. Cal. 2010)	8
<i>Chen v. Fleetcor Techs., Inc.</i> , No. 16-CV-00135-LHK, 2017 WL 1092342 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2017)	18
<i>E.J. Franks Constr., Inc. v. Sahota</i> , 226 Cal. App. 4th 1123 (2014).....	18, 19
<i>English & Sons, Inc. v. Straw Hat Restaurants, Inc.</i> , 176 F. Supp. 3d 904 (N.D. Cal. 2016)	14
<i>Farmers Ins. Exch. v. Zerin</i> , 53 Cal. App. 4th 445 (1997).....	8
<i>Farrington v. A. Teichert & Son, Inc.</i> , 59 Cal. App. 2d 468 (1943).....	7, 14
<i>In re Forchion</i> , 198 Cal. App. 4th 1284 (2011).....	8
<i>Fremont Indem. Co. v. Fremont Gen. Corp.</i> , 148 Cal. App. 4th 97 (2007).....	7, 13, 15
<i>French v. Smith Booth User Co.</i> , 56 Cal. App. 2d 23 (1942).....	14
<i>Ikeda v. San Francisco Firemen Credit Union</i> , No. 20-cv-08071-TSH, 2021 WL 4776705 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 13, 2021).....	18
<i>Jogani v. Super. Ct.</i> , 165 Cal. App. 4th 901 (2008).....	16, 18
<i>Jordan v. Talbot</i> , 55 Cal. 2d 597 (1961)	11, 12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

		Page
1		
2		
3	<i>Leadsinger, Inc. v. BMG Music Publ'g,</i>	
4	512 F.3d 522 (9th Cir. 2008).....	19
5	<i>Levi Strauss & Co. v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co.,</i>	
6	184 Cal. App. 3d 1479 (1986).....	15
7	<i>McBride v. Boughten,</i>	
8	123 Cal. App. 4th 379 (2004).....	17
9	<i>McKell v. Wash. Mut., Inc.,</i>	
10	142 Cal. App. 4th 1457 (2006).....	15
11	<i>MKB Mgm't, Inc. v. Melikian,</i>	
12	184 Cal. App. 4th 796 (2010).....	16
13	<i>Monster Energy Co. v. Vital Pharms., Inc.,</i>	
14	No. EDCV 18-1882 JGB (SHKx), 2019 WL 2619666 (C.D. Cal. May 20,	
15	2019)	8
16	<i>Moore v. Regents of Univ. Cal.,</i>	
17	51 Cal. 3d 120 (1990)	11, 15
18	<i>Navarro v. Block,</i>	
19	250 F.3d 729 (9th Cir. 2001).....	7
20	<i>PCO, Inc. v. Christen, Miller, Fink, Jacobs, Glaser, Weil & Shapiro, LLP,</i>	
21	150 Cal. App. 4th 384 (2007).....	13
22	<i>In re S and B Surgery Center,</i>	
23	No. 20-56171, 2021 WL 4706214 (9th Cir. Oct. 8, 2021).....	17
24	<i>San Joaquin Light & Power Corp. v. Costaloupes,</i>	
25	96 Cal. App. 322 (1929).....	10
26	<i>In re Section 1031 Exch. Litig.,</i>	
27	716 F. Supp. 2d 415 (D.S.C. 2010).....	8
28	<i>Spokeo, Inc. v. Robins,</i>	
	578 U.S. 330 (2016).....	10
	<i>Supply Pro Sorbents, LLC v. RingCentral, Inc.,</i>	
	No. 16-cv-02113-JSW, 2017 WL 4685705, (N.D. Cal. July 17, 2017), <i>aff'd</i>	
	743 F. App'x 124 (9th Cir. 2018)	12
	<i>Sutherland v. Francis,</i>	
	No. 12-CV-05110-LHK, 2013 WL 2558169 (N.D. Cal. 2013).....	18

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
(continued)

		Page
1		
2		
3	<i>Terrace Water Co. v. San Antonio Light & Power Co.</i> ,	
4	1 Cal. App. 511 (1905).....	10
5	<i>Thole v. U.S. Bank N.A.</i> ,	
6	140 S. Ct. 1615 (2020).....	10
7	<i>Virtanen v. O’Connell</i> ,	
8	140 Cal. App. 4th 688 (2006).....	7
9	<i>Wade v. Sw. Bank</i> ,	
10	211 Cal. App. 2d 392 (1962).....	14
11	<i>Warth v. Seldin</i> ,	
12	422 U.S. 490 (1975).....	10
13	<i>Worldwide Travel, Inc. v. Travelmate US, Inc.</i> ,	
14	No. 14-cv-00155-BAS (DHB), 2015 WL 1013704 (S.D. Cal. Mar. 9, 2015).....	15
15	<i>In re Yahoo Mail Litig.</i> ,	
16	7 F. Supp. 3d 1016 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	15
17	<i>Zaslow v. Kroenert</i> ,	
18	29 Cal. 2d 541 (1946).....	12
19	<i>Zucco Partners, LLC v. Digimarc Corp.</i> ,	
20	552 F.3d 981 (9th Cir. 2009).....	19
21	Statutes	
22	Cal. Civ. Code § 3336.....	13, 14
23	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6).....	1, 7
24	Other Authorities	
25	3 California Torts § 40.48(2) (2019).....	14
26	4 Witkin Cal. Proc. 6th Plead § 572 (2021).....	16
27	5 Witkin, Summary 11th Torts § 810 (2020).....	11, 12, 13
28	1 Corbin on Contracts (rev. ed. 1993) § 1.18.....	18

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.