1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA) Case No.
14	,) Cuse 110.)
15	Plaintiff,))) COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF
16 17	v. TELENAV, INC., DOUGLAS MILLER, H.P. JIN, SAMUEL CHEN, WES CUMMINS, and RANDY L. ORTIZ,	SECTIONS 14(a) AND 20(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
18	,)
19	Defendants.))))
19 20	,))))
19 20 21	,))))
19 20 21 22	,))))
19 20 21 22 23	,))))
19 20 21 22 23 24	,)))
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	,)))
19 20 21 22 23 23 24 25 26	,)))
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	,)))
19 20 21 22 23 23 24 25 26	,))))



Plaintiff Shiva Stein ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, makes the following allegations against Telenav, Inc. ("Telenav" or the "Company") and the members of the board of directors of Telenav (the "Board" or "Individual Defendants," along with Telenav, collectively referred to as the "Defendants"), for their violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 78n(a), 78t(a), SEC Rule 14a-9, 17 C.F.R. 240.14a-9, and Regulation G, 17 C.F.R. § 244.100 in connection with the proposed acquisition (the "Proposed Transaction") of Telenav by affiliates of V99 Inc. ("V99"). The allegations in this complaint are based on the personal knowledge of Plaintiff as to herself and on information and belief (including the investigation of counsel and review of publicly available information) as to all other matters stated herein.

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action brought by Plaintiff to enjoin a transaction whereby Viking Merger Sub, Inc., a Delaware corporation and direct wholly owned subsidiary of V99 ("Merger Sub") will merge with and into Telenav, with Telenav continuing as the surviving corporation as a direct wholly owned subsidiary of V99 ("Proposed Transaction"). Pursuant to the Merger Agreement, Telenav shareholders will receive \$4.80 in cash for each share of Telenav common stock owned (the "Merger Consideration"). The Board has unanimously recommended to the Company's stockholders that they vote for the Proposed Transaction at the special meeting of the Telenav shareholders. Telenav shareholders will own approximately 36% of the post-transaction entity and V99 shareholders will own 64% of the post-transaction entity.
- 2. To convince Telenav stockholders to vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction, on December 17, 2020, the Board authorized the filing of a materially incomplete and misleading Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A (the "Proxy Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The Proxy Statement violates Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act by noncompliance with Regulation G and SEC Rule 14a-9 (17 C.F.R. § 244.100 and 17 C.F.R. § 240.14a-9, respectively).
- 3. Defendants have failed to disclose certain material information necessary for Telenav stockholders to properly assess the fairness of the Proposed Transaction, thereby



violating SEC rules and regulations and rendering certain statements in the Proxy Statement materially incomplete and misleading.

- 4. In particular, the Proxy Statement contains materially incomplete and misleading information concerning the financial forecasts for the Company prepared and relied upon by the Board in recommending to the Company's stockholders that they vote in favor of the Proposed Transaction. The same forecasts were used by Telenav's financial advisor, B. Riley Securities, Inc. ("B. Riley"), in conducting their valuation analyses in support of its fairness opinion. The Proxy Statement also contains materially incomplete and misleading information concerning certain financial analyses performed by the financial advisor.
- 5. The material information that has been omitted from the Proxy Statement must be disclosed prior to the forthcoming stockholder vote in order to allow the stockholders to make an informed decision regarding the Proposed Transaction.
- 6. For these reasons, and as set forth in detail herein, Plaintiff asserts claims against Defendants for violations of Sections 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, based on Defendants' violations of Regulation G and Rule 14a-9. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin Defendants from holding the stockholders vote on the Proposed Transaction and taking any steps to consummate the Proposed Transaction unless, and until, all material information discussed below is disclosed to Telenav stockholders sufficiently in advance of the vote on the Proposed Transaction or, in the event the Proposed Transaction is consummated without corrective disclosures, to recover damages resulting from Defendants' violations of the Exchange Act.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to Section 27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. § 78aa) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) as Plaintiff alleges violations of Section 14(a) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over each defendant named herein because each defendant is either a corporation that does sufficient business in California or an individual who has sufficient minimum contacts with California to render the exercise of jurisdiction by the California courts permissible under traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice.



1	
2	§ 78aa
3	
4	
5	of the
6	
7	and m
8	hereto
9	
10	
11	Board
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	the "In
17	
18	the "D
19	
20	The P
21	
22	and Pl

9. Venue is proper in this District under Section 27 of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78aa, as well as under 28 U.S.C. § 1391, because V99 is headquartered in this District.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff has owned the common stock of Telenav since prior to the announcement of the Proposed Transaction herein complained of and continues to own this stock.
- 11. Telenav is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of Delaware and maintains its principal offices in Santa Clara, California. Telenav is, and at all relevant times hereto was, listed and traded on the NASDAQ Stock Exchange under the symbol "TNAV."
 - 12. Defendant Douglas Miller has been a member of the Board since 2015.
- 13. Defendant H.P. Jin is a co-founder of the Company and has been a member of the Board and president of the Company since 1999.
 - 14. Defendant Samuel Chen has been a member of the Board since 2002.
 - 15. Defendant Wes Cummins has been a member of the Board since 2016.
 - 16. Defendant Randy L. Ortiz has been a member of the Board since 2017.
- 17. The Defendants referred to in paragraphs 12-16 are collectively referred to herein as the "Individual Defendants" and/or the "Board."
- 18. The Defendants referred to in paragraphs 11-16 are collectively referred to herein as the "Defendants."

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

The Proposed Transaction

19. On November 3, 2020, Telenav announced that it had entered into the Agreement and Plan of Merger with V99 (the "Merger Agreement"):

SANTA CLARA, Calif.--(<u>BUSINESS WIRE</u>)--Telenav, Inc. (NASDAQ: TNAV), a leading provider of connected-car and location-based services, today announced that it has entered into a definitive merger agreement to be acquired by V99, Inc., a Delaware corporation led by HP Jin, Co-Founder, President, and Chief Executive Officer of Telenav, for \$4.80 per share in an all cash transaction that values Telenav at approximately \$241 million. HP Jin, Samuel T. Chen, a director of Telenav, and a certain entity affiliated with Mr. Chen, are expected to provide debt financing in connection with the proposed transaction.

DOCKET

23

24

25

26

27

28

2
 3

The per share purchase price represents a premium of approximately 33.3 percent over Telenav's closing stock price on October 1, 2020, the last full trading day prior to announcing that the Special Committee had received a non-binding "go-private" proposal from V99. Upon completion of the transaction, Telenav will become a private company with the flexibility to continue investing in its connected-car strategy.

"We are pleased to have reached this agreement with V99, which we believe will deliver immediate value to stockholders and positions Telenav to accelerate its journey towards a connected-car future with smarter, easier and safer innovation," said Douglas Miller, Lead Independent Director and a member of the Telenav Special Committee. "The transaction is the result of a thoughtful and comprehensive review of value creation opportunities available to Telenav. We are confident that this transaction is in the best interest of Telenav and all of its stakeholders, and we look forward to working with HP and V99 to complete the transaction."

"Today's announcement represents an exciting new chapter for Telenav," said HP Jin, Co-Founder, President and Chief Executive Officer. "As a private company, we will have the resources and flexibility to continue our growth and execute on our OEM-centric, connected-car strategy as the market for connected-car capabilities continues to expand. I would like to thank the Special Committee for taking the time to thoroughly evaluate and review V99's offer and Telenav's employees for their continued focus throughout this process. I am honored to continue leading Telenav through its next phase of growth and success, and I am confident Telenav will thrive as a privately held company."

Transaction Details

Acting upon unanimous recommendation by the Special Committee, the Telenav Board of Directors unanimously approved the merger agreement and the merger, with Messrs. Jin and Chen recusing themselves from all related discussions and abstaining from the vote. The Special Committee negotiated the terms of the merger agreement with assistance from its independent financial and legal advisors.

The agreement includes a 30-day "go-shop" period expiring on December 2, 2020, which permits the Special Committee and its advisors to solicit alternative acquisition proposals from third parties. The Special Committee will have the right to terminate the merger agreement to enter into a superior proposal subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement. There can be no assurance that this "go-shop" will result in a superior proposal, and Telenav does not intend to disclose developments with respect to the solicitation process unless and until it determines such disclosure is appropriate or otherwise required.

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

