	Case 5:21-cv-02100 Document 1	Filed 03/25/21	Page 1 of 26
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Rafey S. Balabanian (SBN 315962) rbalabanian@edelson.com Todd Logan (SBN 305912) tlogan@edelson.com Brandt Silver-Korn (SBN 323530) bsilverkorn@edelson.com EDELSON PC 123 Townsend Street, Suite 100 San Francisco, California 94107 Tel: 415.212.9300 Fax: 415.373.9435 <i>Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class</i> UNITED STATES DI NORTHERN DISTRICT		
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	JENNIFER ANDREWS and JOHN SARLEY, individually and on behalf of all others	Case No.	
12	similarly situated,	CLASS ACTIO	N COMPLAINT
13	Plaintiffs,	JURY DEMAN	D
14	ν.		
15	GOOGLE LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
16	Defendant.		
17			
18	Plaintiffs Jennifer Andrews and John Sarley	, individually and	on behalf of a proposed
19	class, bring this Class Action Complaint against Google LLC seeking restitution, damages, an		
20	injunction, and other appropriate relief from Google's ongoing participation in an illegal internet		
21	gambling enterprise. Plaintiffs allege as follows upon personal knowledge as to themselves and		
22	their own acts and experiences, and as to all other matters, upon information and belief.		
23	INTRODUCTION		
24	1. Over the last decade, the world's leading slot machine makers—companies like		
25	International Game Technology, Scientific Games Corporation, and Aristocrat Leisure—have		
26	teamed up with American technology companies to develop a new product line: social casinos.		
27	2. Social casinos are apps, playable from smartphones, tablets, and internet		
	CKET ARM Find authenticated court documents	without watermark	s at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .

browsers, that make the "authentic Vegas-style"¹ experience of slot machine gambling available
to consumers anywhere and anytime. *See* Figure 1 (Screenshot of DoubleDown Casino
Gameplay). By moving their casino games directly onto the phones and computers of players,
and by leveraging an innocuous-sounding "free-to-play" model,² social casino companies, along
with Google, Facebook, and Apple (the "Platforms"), have found a way to smuggle slot
machines into the homes of consumers nationwide, twenty-four hours a day and three-hundredsixty-five days a year.

3. Just like Las Vegas slot machines, social casinos allow users to purchase virtual "chips" in exchange for real money, and then to gamble those chips at slot machine games in hopes of winning still more chips to keep gambling. In DoubleDown Casino, for example, players purchase "chip packages" costing up to \$499.99. *See* Figure 2 (Screenshot of "Popular" Chip Packages in DoubleDown Casino). But unlike Las Vegas slots, social casinos do not allow players to cash out their chips. Instead, purchased chips and won chips alike can be used only for more slot machine "spinning."

Figure 1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Figure 2



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

4. Nevertheless, like Las Vegas slots, social casinos are extraordinarily profitable and highly addictive. Social casinos are so lucrative because they mix the addictive aspects of traditional slot machines with the power of the Platforms, including Defendant Google, to leverage big data and social network pressures to identify, target, and exploit consumers prone to addictive behaviors.³

5. Simply put, the social casino apps do not, and cannot, operate and profit at such a high level from these illegal games on their own. Their business of targeting, retaining, and collecting losses from addicted gamblers is inextricably entwined with the Platforms. Not only do the Platforms retain full control over allowing social casinos into their stores, and their distribution and promotion therein, but they also share directly in a substantial portion of the gamblers' losses, which are collected and controlled by the Platforms themselves.

6. Because the Platforms are the centers for distribution and payment, social casinos gain a critical partner to retain high-spending users and collect player data, a trustworthy marketplace to conduct payment transactions, and the technological means to update their apps with targeted new content designed to keep addicted players spending money.

16
7. Last year alone, consumers purchased and gambled away an estimated \$6 billion
17 in social casino virtual chips.⁴

8. By utilizing Google for distribution and payment processing, the social casinos
entered into a mutually beneficial business partnership. In exchange for distributing the casino
games, providing them valuable data and insight about their players, and collecting money from
consumers, Google (and the other Platforms) take a *30 percent* commission off of every wager,
earning them billions in revenue. By comparison, the "house" at a traditional casino only takes 1
to 15 percent, while also taking on significant risk of loss in its operation. Google's 30 percent
rake, on the other hand, is guaranteed for its ability to act as a casino "host" and bankroll.

25

26

27

9.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

The result (and intent) of this dangerous partnership is that consumers become

³ See, e.g., How social casinos leverage Facebook user data to target vulnerable gamblers, PBS NEWS HOUR (Aug. 13, 2019), https://bit.ly/3tSHqMI.

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

addicted to social casino apps, maxing out their credit cards with purchases amounting to tens or

2 || even hundreds of thousands of dollars. Consumers addicted to social casinos suffer a variety of

non-financial damages ranging from depression to divorce to attempted suicide.

1

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

0(

10. These devastating consequences are not hypothetical or hyperbole: below are

excerpts of sworn testimony from individuals describing their experiences with three different

6 social casinos at issue in this case:

• **DoubleDown Casino:** "I was drawn to DoubleDown because I could play the same games that I played when I went to real casinos. . . . Overall, I estimate that I have spent over \$40,000 on chips in DoubleDown Casino. I am addicted to DoubleDown Casino. . . . I knew being on DoubleDown Casino every day for hours was a problem, but I couldn't seem to stop. I believe that DoubleDown is taking advantage of people's addictions. *They know that gambling is addictive, and they act exactly like a physical casino that pays out money*. I feel alone and embarrassed about spending money to do something that only feeds my addiction. DoubleDown Casino consumes you, and makes you feel like you always have to go play. I feel guilty because I've spent money on DoubleDown that I've needed to pay bills or buy food." Exhibit 1, Declaration of Willa Moore [emphasis added].

• **DoubleDown Casino:** "I believe I have spent close to \$25,000 on DoubleDown Casino. I would buy the chips with a credit card which I couldn't pay in-full, so there's interest on top of that too. . . . I was a wellrespected, active member of my community who owned my own business for 36 years. But when I retired, and my fellow started having health problems, DoubleDown Casino made me fall into the trap of escape and adrenaline rush to cope with all my other responsibilities. When I won, it was just great. When I lost, and started buying more and more chips, I felt lower than pond scum. I was sick to my stomach, felt like a total loser, *wondered about suicide* (although I would never leave my partner), could not sleep, had anxiety attacks with a rushing heart, and couldn't eat. I just couldn't understand how I could let it get so out of control. *It was as if it had a power over me that I couldn't break. I couldn't stop.*." Exhibit 2, Declaration of Jan Saari [emphasis added].

• Jackpot Party Casino: "Overall, I believe that I have spent between \$10,000-\$20,000 playing Jackpot Party Casino. I was addicted to Jackpot Party Casino and I hate that. . . . *This kind of loss put a huge strain on my ability to even buy food* . . . I believe Jackpot Party Casino had been taking advantage of my addiction. . . . This game hurt me and the worst part was that when my husband was alive, he would say, 'You're not spending money on there are you?' and I lied. I hate that I have to live with that now." Exhibit 3, Declaration of Laura Perkinson [emphasis added].

• Jackpot Party Casino: "I believe that I've spent at least \$30,000 on Jackpot Party Casino I am going through a divorce right now, in part because of how much money I spent on Jackpot Party. . . . Scientific Games will provide incentives to their top spenders so that they continue to

	Case 5:21-cv-02100 Document 1 Filed 03/25/21 Page 5 of 26		
1 2 3	they have sent other players flowers and candies This game has changed my way of thinking and caring. I never thought I would get addicted to anything except cigarettes, but this has taken too much of my life away. I don't know how my life would be different without this game, but I know that it would be better and I know that I would be much better off financially I wish it didn't exist." Exhibit 4, Declaration of Donna		
4	Reed [emphasis added].		
5	• High 5 Casino: "I have spent at least \$10,000 on coins in High 5 Casino I believe I am addicted to High 5 Casino I have tried to quit		
6	but I believe three weeks is the longest amount of time I've ever been able to stop Sometimes I feel guilty about playing High 5 Casino and		
7	spending so much money. My husband does not know I have spent money on it. My grandkids will sometimes ask for money and I can't give it to them bacques I have to save it for this game." Exhibit 5 Declaration of		
8	them because I have to save it for this game." Exhibit 5, Declaration of Aida Glover [emphasis added].		
9	12. Unsurprisingly, social casinos are illegal under many states' gambling laws.		
10	13. As the Ninth Circuit held in <i>Kater v. Churchill Downs Inc.</i> , 886 F.3d 784, 785		
11	(9th Cir. 2018):		
12	In this appeal, we consider whether the virtual game platform "Big Fish Casino" constitutes illegal gambling under Washington law. Defendant– Appellee Churchill Downs, the game's owner and operator, has made millions of dollars off of Big Fish Casino. However, despite collecting millions in revenue, Churchill Downs, like Captain Renault in <i>Casablanca</i> , purports to be shocked—shocked!—to find that Big Fish Casino could constitute illegal gambling. We are not. We therefore reverse the district court and hold that because Big Fish Casino's virtual chips are a "thing of value," Big Fish Casino constitutes illegal gambling under Washington law.		
13 14			
14			
16			
17			
18	14. As an instructive example, DoubleDown Casino is illegal both in Washington and		
19	here in California (where the Platforms, including Defendant Google, host it and collect their		
20	30% rake). This year, consumers will purchase approximately \$300 million worth of virtual		
21	casino chips in DoubleDown Casino. That \$300 million will be divided up approximately as		
22	follows: \$170 million to DoubleDown; \$30 million to International Game Technology ("IGT")		
23	(a multinational slot machine manufacturer that licenses slot machine game intellectual property		
24	to DoubleDown); and—as particularly relevant here—the remaining \$100 million to Google and		
25	the other Platforms (for hosting the app, driving vulnerable consumers to it, and processing the		
26	payments for those consumers' virtual chip purchases).		
27	15. In other words, despite knowing that DoubleDown Casino is illegal, Google and		
20	d		

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.