

1 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP  
CHRISTOPHER CHORBA, SBN 216692  
2 cchorba@gibsondunn.com  
TIMOTHY LOOSE, SBN 241037  
3 tloose@gibsondunn.com  
ADRIENNE LIU, SBN 331262  
4 aliu@gibsondunn.com  
333 South Grand Avenue  
5 Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197  
Telephone: 213.229.7000  
6 Facsimile: 213.229.7520

7 PAUL HASTINGS LLP  
BEHNAM DAYANIM (*pro hac vice*)  
8 bdayanim@paulhastings.com  
2050 M Street, NW  
9 Washington, DC 20036  
Telephone: 202.551.1700  
10 Facsimile: 202.551.1705

11 SEAN D. UNGER, SBN 231694  
ANDY LEGOLVAN, SBN 292520  
12 seanunger@paulhastings.com  
101 California Street  
13 San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: 415.856.7000  
14 Facsimile: 415.856.7100

15 Attorneys for Defendant META PLATFORMS, INC.,  
(f/k/a Facebook, Inc.), a Delaware corporation

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
17 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
18 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 KATHLEEN WILKINSON, *et al.*,  
20 *Plaintiffs,*

21 v.

22 META PLATFORMS, INC. (f/k/a Facebook,  
Inc.), a Delaware corporation,  
23 *Defendant.*

24 HANNELORE BOORN,  
25 *Plaintiff,*

26 v.

27 META PLATFORMS, INC. (f/k/a Facebook,  
Inc.), a Delaware corporation,  
28 *Defendant.*

Case No. 5:21-cv-02777-EJD  
Case No. 5:21-cv-02818-EJD

**MOTION OF DEFENDANT META  
PLATFORMS, INC. TO DISMISS  
COMPLAINT UNDER SECTION 230 OF  
THE COMMUNICATIONS DECENCY ACT**

**Hearing:**

Date: August 4, 2022  
Time: 9:00 AM  
Place: Courtroom 4 – 5th Floor  
Judge: Hon. Edward J. Davila



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Page

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT ..... 1

II. SUMMARY OF ALLEGATIONS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY ..... 2

III. LEGAL STANDARD ..... 5

IV. ARGUMENT ..... 6

    A. Facebook Is an “Interactive Computer Service” ..... 7

    B. The Complaint Impermissibly Treats Meta as the “Publisher” of Casino-Themed Video Games ..... 7

    C. Meta Was Not Responsible for the “Creation” or “Development” of the Video Games ..... 12

V. CONCLUSION ..... 15

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

## CASES

1		
2		
3	<b>CASES</b>	
4	<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> ,	
5	556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	5
6	<i>Barnes v. Yahoo!, Inc.</i> ,	
7	570 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2009).....	1, 7, 8, 10
8	<i>Batzel v. Smith</i> ,	
9	333 F.3d 1018 (9th Cir. 2003).....	9
10	<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> ,	
11	550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	5
12	<i>Brown v. Entm't Merchants Ass'n</i> ,	
13	564 U.S. 786 (2011).....	9
14	<i>Caraccioli v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,	
15	167 F. Supp. 3d 1056 (N.D. Cal. 2016).....	6, 7
16	<i>Carafano v. Metrosplash.com, Inc.</i> ,	
17	339 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir. 2003).....	6, 9, 14, 15
18	<i>Coffee v. Google, LLC</i> ,	
19	No. 20-cv-03901-BLF, 2021 WL 493387 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2021).....	9, 13
20	<i>Coffee v. Google, LLC</i> ,	
21	No. 20-cv-03901-BLF, 2022 WL 94986 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2022).....	2, 8, 10, 11, 12, 15
22	<i>Dyroff v. Ultimate Software Grp., Inc.</i> ,	
23	934 F.3d 1093 (9th Cir. 2019).....	1, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12, 14
24	<i>Evans v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> ,	
25	No. 13-02477-WHA, 2013 WL 4426359 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2013).....	11
26	<i>Evans v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> ,	
27	No. 13-02477-WHA, 2013 WL 5594717 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 10, 2013).....	15
28	<i>Fair Hous. Council of San Fernando Valley v. Roommates.com, LLC</i> ,	
	521 F.3d 1157 (9th Cir. 2008).....	6, 7, 13, 14, 15
	<i>Fed. Agency of News LLC v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,	
	432 F. Supp. 3d 1107 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....	7, 15
	<i>Force v. Facebook, Inc.</i> ,	
	934 F.3d 53 (2d Cir. 2019).....	7, 14

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

(continued)

Page(s)

1

2

3 *Gentry v. eBay, Inc.*,

4 99 Cal. App. 4th 816 (2002).....9

5 *Goddard v. Google, Inc.*,

6 No. 08-cv-02738-JF, 2008 WL 5245490 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 17, 2008).....15

7 *Gonzalez v. Google LLC*,

8 2 F.4th 871 (9th Cir. 2021).....5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15

9 *HomeAway.com, Inc. v. City of Santa Monica*,

10 918 F.3d 676 (9th Cir. 2019).....11, 12

11 *Jones v. Bock*,

12 549 U.S. 199 (2007).....5

13 *Kimzey v. Yelp! Inc.*,

14 836 F.3d 1263 (9th Cir. 2016).....2, 6, 7, 10, 13

15 *Klayman v. Zuckerberg*,

16 753 F.3d 1354 (D.C. Cir. 2014) .....7

17 *La Park La Brea A LLC v. Airbnb, Inc.*,

18 285 F. Supp. 3d 1097 (C.D. Cal. 2017).....9, 10, 15

19 *Mai v. Supercell Oy*,

20 No. 20-cv-05573-EJD, 2021 WL 4267487 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 20, 2021).....2

21 *Perfect 10, Inc. v. CCBill LLC*,

22 488 F.3d 1102 (9th Cir. 2007).....2, 6

23 *Sams v. Yahoo! Inc.*,

24 713 F.3d 1175 (9th Cir. 2013).....5

25 *Taylor v. Apple Inc.*,

26 No. 20-cv-03906-RS (N.D. Cal. Jan. 4, 2022).....2

27 *Taylor v. Apple Inc.*,

28 No. 20-cv-3906-RS (N.D. Cal. Mar. 19, 2021) .....12

*United States v. Ritchie*,

342 F.3d 903 (9th Cir. 2003).....3

**STATUTES**

47 U.S.C. § 230 .....6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.