

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP  
SHARIF E. JACOB - # 257546  
sjacob@keker.com  
DAVID SILBERT - # 173128  
dsilbert@keker.com  
NICHOLAS S. GOLDBERG - # 273614  
ngoldberg@keker.com  
PUJA PARIKH - # 331823  
pparikh@keker.com  
NIALL ROBERTS - # 311929  
nroberts@keker.com  
MAILE YEATS-ROWE - # 321513  
myeatsrowe@keker.com  
NICHOLAS GREEN - # 323959  
ngreen@keker.com  
633 Battery Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111-1809

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP  
MICHAEL A. JACOBS - #111664  
mjacobs@mofocom  
RICHARD S.J. HUNG - #197425  
rhung@mofocom  
SHAELYN K. DAWSON - #288278  
shaelyndawson@mofocom  
425 Market Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: 415.268.7000  
Facsimile: 415.268.7522

H. CHRISTOPHER HAN - #295435  
chan@mofocom  
755 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
Telephone: 650.813.5600  
Facsimile: 650.494.0792

Attorneys for Defendants  
CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
and DELIVERY TECHNOLOGIES US,  
INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

MAPLEBEAR INC. DBA INSTACART,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES LLC;  
DELIVERY TECHNOLOGIES US, INC.;  
DOES 1-10,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:21-cv-03633-SVK

**JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF  
STIPULATED PERMANENT  
INJUNCTION & ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Judge: Hon. Susan van Keulen

Date Filed: July 16, 2020

Trial Date: September 19, 2022

1 Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 41(a) and 65, and Local Civil Rule 7-12,  
 2 Plaintiff Maplebear Inc. dba Instacart (“Instacart”) and Defendants Cornershop Technologies,  
 3 Inc., Cornershop Technologies LLC, and Delivery Technologies US, Inc. (collectively,  
 4 “Cornershop”) (Instacart and Cornershop, collectively, “the Parties”) respectfully submit this  
 5 joint motion for entry of a stipulated permanent injunction and an order of dismissal with  
 6 prejudice. The Parties have agreed to settle the above-captioned case. In connection with the  
 7 Settlement Agreement, the Parties have agreed to the entry of a Stipulated Permanent Injunction  
 8 and Order of Dismissal, in the form attached hereto as a Proposed Order. Accordingly, the  
 9 Parties jointly move the Court to enter the Stipulated Permanent Injunction and Order of  
 10 Dismissal. Each Party agrees that the Court may retain jurisdiction to enforce the terms of the  
 11 Stipulated Permanent Injunction and the Settlement Agreement. Each Party agrees to bear its  
 12 own costs, attorneys’ fees, and expenses.

13 Dated: January 6, 2022

By: KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP

14  
 15 /s/ Sharif E. Jacob

SHARIF E. JACOB  
 DAVID SILBERT  
 NICHOLAS S. GOLDBERG  
 SARAH SALOMON  
 PUJA PARIKH  
 NIAL ROBERTS  
 MAILE YEATS-ROWE  
 NICHOLAS GREEN

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 MAPLEBEAR INC. DBA INSTACART

21 Dated: January 6, 2022

By: MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

23 /s/ Michael A. Jacobs

MICHAEL A. JACOBS  
 RICHARD S.J. HUNG  
 SHAELYN K. DAWSON  
 H. CHRISTOPHER HAN

Attorneys for Defendants  
 CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
 CORNERSHOP TECHNOLOGIES LLC,  
 and DELIVERY TECHNOLOGIES US,  
 INC.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf this filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content, and have authorized the filing.

Dated: January 6, 2022

/s/ Sharif E. Jacob  
SHARIF E. JACOB

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING STIPULATED PERMANENT INJUNCTION & ORDER OF DISMISSAL**

Plaintiff Maplebear Inc. dba Instacart (“Instacart”) filed the above captioned lawsuit on July 16, 2020, together with a motion for a preliminary injunction. Instacart’s suit alleges that Defendants Cornershop Technologies Inc., Cornershop Technologies LLC, and Delivery Technologies US, Inc. (collectively, “Cornershop”) scraped Instacart’s Platform and misappropriated Instacart’s copyrighted product images and data. Instacart asserts that Cornershop’s conduct violated the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (“CFAA”), the Copyright Act, the Digital Millennium Copyright Act, the Texas Harmful Access by a Computer Act (“THACA”), the Texas common law of unfair competition, and breached its contracts with Instacart.

The Parties entered into a stipulated preliminary injunction on September 23, 2020 and have now reached an agreement to settle the remaining outstanding matters in dispute. In connection with their settlement agreement, the Parties have agreed to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction as follows:

**DEFINITIONS**

1. For the purposes of this Order, the term “scraping” shall refer to any system, method, process, or technique by which a computer, computer system, application programming interface, computer program, application, or other logical operation extracts, copies, translates, makes a derivative from, or otherwise records data from any third-party website or application.

2. For the purposes of this Order, “affiliate” shall refer to any person or entity related to, associated with, contracted with, partnered with, in a joint venture with, owning, owned by, operated by, under common control with as of the date of this Order, under the direction of, or with the ability to direct or control Cornershop or any parent or subsidiary thereof, as well as any current and former principal, officer, director, manager, general partner, employee, agent, servant, vendor, parent company, or subsidiary of any such person or entity, including that person or entity’s advisors, consultants, contractors, attorneys, accountants, predecessors, successors, assigns, heirs, administrators, executors, supervisors, or representatives.

3. For the purposes of this Order. (a) “platform” shall refer to a party’s owned or

1 operated technology platform, whether accessed by a web-based browser or phone-based  
2 application, that processes customer orders placed on virtual retailer storefronts, as well as the  
3 application through which the independent service providers with whom a party contracts using  
4 the platform receive those orders; and (b) “Instacart platform” shall mean any such platform that  
5 is visibly identified as, disclosed to Cornershop, or otherwise known by Cornershop to be owned  
6 or operated by Instacart or any entity that Instacart controls, is controlled by, or is under common  
7 control with Instacart, directly or indirectly.

8 4. Use of the singular also includes the plural and vice-versa, even for the above  
9 defined terms.

10 5. The words “or” and “and” shall be read in the conjunctive and in the disjunctive  
11 wherever they appear, and neither of these words shall be interpreted to limit the scope of this  
12 Order.

### 13 ORDER

14 The Court finds that entrance of this injunction is necessary to effectuate the Parties’  
15 Settlement Agreement and **HEREBY ORDERS** that Cornershop and its officers, agents,  
16 servants, employees, and attorneys, and other persons who are in active concert or participation  
17 with any of the foregoing, are hereby **ENJOINED** from:

18 1. Displaying, publishing, reproducing, or distributing any copies or derivatives of  
19 any of Instacart’s copyrighted images, including without limitation those images identified in  
20 Exhibit S of the Romaniuk Declaration attached to Instacart’s Motion for Preliminary Injunction;

21 2. Scraping, or facilitating the scraping of, any Instacart platform, or otherwise  
22 copying or facilitating the copying of portions of any Instacart platform, in excess of the license  
23 granted by the Terms of Service, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A;

24 3. Otherwise accessing and using any Instacart platform in excess of the license  
25 granted by the Terms of Service, in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A; and

26 4. Using or displaying any original or altered computer files, data, or other  
27 information including but not limited to package information, file names, metadata, and catalog  
28 information such as pricing, product information, and product availability, originating from

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.