

1 PATRICK M. RYAN (SBN 203215)
 2 *pryan@bzbm.com*
 3 STEPHEN C. STEINBERG (SBN 230656)
 4 *ssteinberg@bzbm.com*
 5 GABRIELLA A. WILKINS (SBN 306173)
 6 *gwilkins@bzbm.com*
 7 BARTKO ZANKEL BUNZEL & MILLER
 8 A Professional Law Corporation
 9 One Embarcadero Center, Suite 800
 10 San Francisco, California 94111
 11 Telephone: (415) 956-1900
 12 Facsimile: (415) 956-1152

8 Attorneys for CISCO SYSTEMS, INC., CISCO
 9 TECHNOLOGY, INC. and CIENA
 10 CORPORATION

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and CISCO
 14 TECHNOLOGY, INC., and CIENA
 15 CORPORATION,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 WUHAN WOLON COMMUNICATION
 19 TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. and WUHAN
 20 WOLON CLOUD NETWORK
 21 COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY CO.,
 22 LTD.,

23 Defendants.

Case No. 5:21-cv-04272-EJD

**PLAINTIFF CIENA CORPORATION'S
 EMERGENCY *EX PARTE* MOTION FOR
 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER,
 ASSET FREEZE ORDER, EXPEDITED
 DISCOVERY, ORDER AUTHORIZING
 ALTERNATIVE SERVICE OF PROCESS,
 AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:
 PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION, AND
 MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
 AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT THEREOF**

Date:
 Time:
 Honorable Judge Edward J. Davila
 Courtroom: 4

**REDACTED VERSION OF
 DOCUMENT SOUGHT TO BE SEALED**

24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
1		
2		
3	I. INTRODUCTION.....	2
4	II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED.....	3
5	III. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS	4
6	A. Ciena and Its Transceivers	4
7	B. Counterfeit Ciena Transceivers Are Dangerous.....	5
8	C. Trademarks Used on Ciena Transceivers	6
9	D. Discovery and Testing of Counterfeit Ciena Transceivers	6
10	IV. ARGUMENT	12
11	A. The Court Should Issue the Requested Relief Without Prior Notice	12
12	B. The Court Should Grant the Requested Immediate and Preliminary Injunctive Relief Barring Further Counterfeiting Activities by Wolon and Anyone Associated or Acting in Concert With Them	14
13	1. Ciena Is Likely to Succeed on the Merits	15
14	2. Ciena Will Suffer Irreparable Harm as a Result of Wolon’s Activities Without an Injunction	18
15	3. The Balance of Equities Favors Ciena	19
16	4. An Injunction Is in the Public Interest	19
17	5. At Minimum, Injunctive Relief Is Warranted Because There Are Serious Questions Going to the Merits and the Balance of Hardships and Other <i>Winter</i> Factors Strongly Favor Ciena.....	20
18	C. The Court Should Issue An Order to Freeze Wolon’s Assets, Disable, Transfer Control of, and Redirect Wolon’s Seller Identifications and Domain Names Used for Counterfeiting, and Bar Access to Listings and Other Fulfillment Activities for Sales of Products Using Infringing Marks.....	21
19	1. The Court Should Issue an Order Freezing Wolon’s Assets.....	22
20	2. The Court Should Issue an Order Freezing, Disabling, Transferring Control of, and Redirecting Wolon’s Seller Identifications and Domain Names	24
21	3. The Court Should Issue an Order Preventing Fulfillment of Further Sales of Wolon’s Products with CIENA Marks.....	27
22	D. The Court Should Permit Ciena to Conduct Expedited Discovery	28
23	E. The Court Should Authorize Alternative Service of Process.....	30
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

F. The Court Should Not Require Ciena to Post a Bond to Secure the
Injunctive Relief, or Alternatively, Should Set It at No More Than \$10,00031

V. CONCLUSION32

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Acad. of Motion Picture Arts & Scis. v. Creative House Promotions, Inc.</i> 944 F.2d 1446 (9th Cir. 1991).....	17
<i>Accuride Int'l, Inc. v. Accuride Corp.</i> 871 F.2d 1531 (9th Cir. 1989).....	16
<i>Align Tech., Inc. v. Strauss Diamond Instruments, Inc.</i> No. 18-CV-06663-TSH, 2019 WL 1586776 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 12, 2019)	31
<i>AMF Inc. v. Sleekcraft Boats</i> 599 F.2d 341 (9th Cir. 1979).....	15
<i>Animale Grp. Inc. v. Sunny's Perfume Inc.</i> 256 F. App'x 707 (5th Cir. 2007).....	23
<i>Asmodus, Inc. v. Junbiao Ou</i> No. EDCV 16-2511 JGB (DTBx), 2017 WL 2954360 (C.D. Cal. May 12, 2017).....	26
<i>Brookfield Commc'ns, Inc. v. W. Coast Entm't Corp.</i> 174 F.3d 1036 (9th Cir. 1999).....	16
<i>Carson v. Griffin</i> No. 13-CV-0520 KAW, 2013 WL 2403601 (N.D. Cal. May 31, 2013).....	30
<i>Chanel, Inc. v. eukuk.com</i> No. 2:11-CV-01508-KJD, 2011 WL 6955734 (D. Nev. Dec. 28, 2011)	25, 26
<i>Chanel, Inc. v. Sunus Online Group, LLC</i> No. EDCV 13-2194 JGB (DTBx) 2014 WL 12558780 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 15, 2014).....	23
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Shenzhen Usource Tech. Co.</i> No. 5:20-CV-04773-EJD, 2020 WL 4196273 (N.D. Cal. July 20, 2020).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Shenzhen Usource Tech. Co.</i> No. 5:20-CV-04773-EJD, 2020 WL 5199434 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 17, 2020)	2
<i>Cleary v. News Corp.</i> 30 F.3d 1255 (9th Cir. 1994).....	17
<i>Connecticut Gen. Life Ins. Co. v. New Images of Beverly Hills</i> 321 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 2003).....	31

1 *Creative Labs, Inc. v. Cyrix Corp.*
 141 F.3d 1174 (9th Cir. 1998)..... 20

2

3 *Cuviello v. City of Oakland*
 No. C 06-05517 MHP (EMC), 2007 WL 2349325 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 15, 2007)..... 31

4

5 *Daimler AG v. A-Z Wheels LLC*
 334 F. Supp. 3d 1087 (S.D. Cal. 2018) 16

6

7 *Facebook, Inc. v. Banana Ads, LLC*
 No. C-11-3619 YGR, 2012 WL 1038752 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 27, 2012) 30

8 *First Tech. Safety Sys., Inc. v. Depinet*
 11 F.3d 641 (6th Cir.1993)..... 13

9

10 *Friends of the Wild Swan v. Weber*
 767 F.3d 936 (9th Cir. 2014)..... 14, 20

11

12 *FTC v. Affordable Media*
 179 F.3d 1228 (9th Cir. 1999)..... 22

13

14 *Gucci Am., Inc. v. Los Altos Boots, Inc.*
 No. CV1406680 BRO (AJWx), 2014 WL 12561613 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 27, 2014)..... 13

15

16 *Gucci Am., Inc. v. Weixing Li*
 768 F.3d 122 (2d Cir. 2014)..... 23, 24

17 *Gucci America, Inc. v. Wang Huoqing*
 No. C-09-05969 JCS, 2011 WL 31191 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 3, 2011)..... 23

18

19 *Idaho Potato Comm'n v. G & T Terminal Packaging, Inc.*
 425 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2005)..... 16

20

21 *Jenkins v. Pooke*
 No. C 07-03112 JSW, 2009 WL 412987 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 17, 2009) 30

22

23 *JL Beverage Co., LLC v. Jim Beam Brands Co.*
 828 F.3d 1098 (9th Cir. 2016)..... 15

24

25 *Johnson v. Couturier*
 572 F.3d 1067 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 22

26 *KP Permanent Make-Up, Inc. v. Lasting Impression I, Inc.*
 408 F.3d 596 (9th Cir. 2005)..... 15

27

28 *Lahoti v. VeriCheck, Inc.*
 586 F.3d 1190 (9th Cir. 2009)..... 15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.