

1 MONIQUE C. WINKLER (Cal. Bar No. 213031)
2 MARC D. KATZ (Cal. Bar No. 189534)
3 katzma@sec.gov
4 DAVID ZHOU (NY Bar No. 4926523)
5 zhoud@sec.gov
6 ERIN E. WILK (Cal. Bar No. 310214)
7 wilke@sec.gov

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
9 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
10 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2800
11 San Francisco, CA 94104
12 Telephone: (415) 705-2500
13 Facsimile: (415) 705-2501

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
15
16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
17
18 SAN JOSE DIVISION

19 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
20
21 Plaintiff,
22
23 v.
24 MANISH LACHWANI,
25
26 Defendant.

Case No. _____

COMPLAINT

27 Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission (“the Commission” or “the SEC”) alleges:

SUMMARY OF THE ACTION

28 1. From at least 2018 through 2020, Manish Lachwani engaged in a fraudulent scheme to propel the valuation of his Silicon Valley technology start-up, HeadSpin, Inc., to over \$1 billion by falsely inflating the company’s key financial metrics and doctoring its internal sales records. Lachwani then used HeadSpin’s inflated valuation and financial numbers to deceive investors into pouring approximately \$80 million into the company between 2018 and 2020, and to enrich himself through the offer and sale of approximately \$2.5 million of his personal HeadSpin stock.

1 2. HeadSpin made virtually all of its revenue by charging customers fees to use its
2 hardware and software products. To create the illusion of strong and consistent growth, Lachwani,
3 who controlled all important aspects of HeadSpin’s financials and sales operations, falsely inflated
4 the values of numerous customer deals that, in reality, were much smaller. He also fraudulently
5 treated uncommitted deal amounts that he had discussed with customers as if they were guaranteed
6 future payments. He concealed this inflation by creating fake invoices and altering real invoices to
7 make it appear as though customers had been billed higher amounts.

8 3. Lachwani’s fraudulent actions increased HeadSpin’s revenue-related financial
9 measures, which, in turn, fueled the company’s valuation upward. In fall of 2018, ahead of its Series
10 B fundraising round, HeadSpin was valued at approximately half a billion dollars. When Lachwani
11 sold his personal stock in around May 2019, that valuation had climbed about 50 percent to
12 approximately \$750 million. Less than six months later, in fall of 2019, HeadSpin’s valuation for its
13 Series C fund raise had jumped to approximately \$1.1 billion and entered so-called “unicorn” status.

14 4. Lachwani knowingly or recklessly provided these lies about HeadSpin’s valuation and
15 its seeming financial success to prospective investors. He made numerous false statements that were
16 designed to convince investors that HeadSpin had hundreds of customers, including many of Silicon
17 Valley’s biggest and most high-profile companies, signed up to long-term contracts totaling tens of
18 millions of dollars per year. Investors invested millions of dollars in HeadSpin based on Lachwani’s
19 misrepresentations.

20 5. Lachwani’s fraud unraveled in spring of 2020, following an internal investigation.
21 Lachwani was forced to resign as CEO, and HeadSpin revised its valuation dramatically downward
22 from the \$1.1 billion claimed during the Series C round to approximately \$300 million.

23 6. By his actions, Lachwani violated the antifraud provisions of the federal securities
24 laws. Specifically, Lachwani violated 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“Securities Act”) [15
25 U.S.C. § 77q(a)] and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Exchange Act”) [15
26 U.S.C. § 78j(b)] and Rule 10b-5 thereunder [17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5].

27 7. The SEC requests, among other things, that the Court: (i) permanently enjoin
28 Lachwani from further violating the federal securities laws as alleged in this complaint; (ii)

1 permanently enjoin Lachwani from participating in the issuance, purchase, offer, or sale of any
2 security; (iii) prohibit Lachwani from acting as an officer or director of a publicly traded company;
3 and (iv) order Lachwani to pay civil monetary penalties.

4 JURISDICTION AND VENUE

5 8. The Commission brings this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d), and 22(a) of the
6 Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d), and 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and 27 of the
7 Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), and 78aa].

8 9. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Sections 20(b), 20(d)(1), and
9 22(a) of the Securities Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 77t(b), 77t(d)(1), and 77v(a)] and Sections 21(d), 21(e), and
10 27 of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. §§ 78u(d), 78u(e), and 78aa].

11 10. Lachwani, directly or indirectly, made use of the means and instruments of interstate
12 commerce or of the mails in connection with the acts, transactions, practices, and courses of business
13 alleged in this complaint.

14 11. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to Section 22(a) of the Securities Act [15
15 U.S.C. § 77v(a)] and Section 27(a) of the Exchange Act [15 U.S.C. § 78aa(a)]. Acts, transactions,
16 practices, and courses of business that form the basis for the violations alleged in this complaint
17 occurred in this District. Lachwani met with and solicited prospective investors in this District, and
18 offers and sales of securities took place in this District.

19 12. Under Civil Local Rule 3-2(e), this civil action should be assigned to the San Jose
20 Division because a substantial part of the events or omissions that give rise to the claims alleged
21 herein occurred in Santa Clara County, where HeadSpin's principal place of business is located.

22 DEFENDANT

23 13. **Manish Lachwani**, age 45, resides in Los Altos, California. He served as HeadSpin's
24 Chief Executive Officer until he stepped down in around May 2020. Lachwani controlled
25 HeadSpin's business functions and operations from its formation in about 2015 through his tenure as
26 CEO. Lachwani sold a portion of his own HeadSpin stock during an offering in around May 2019.
27 During the Commission's investigation, Lachwani declined to produce any documents concerning the
28 investigation on the basis of his Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination.

1 **II. Lachwani Engaged in a Fraudulent Scheme to Inflate HeadSpin’s Financials in Order to**
2 **Drive Up Its Valuation.**

3 19. Beginning at least in about 2018, Lachwani engaged in a fraudulent scheme to inflate
4 HeadSpin’s financial records in order to achieve high valuations of the company that would attract
5 investors.

6 20. Lachwani understood that the amount of the valuation depended, in large part, on a
7 key financial metric called “annual recurring revenue,” or “ARR,” as well as ARR growth over time.
8 ARR is a measure of the total revenue expected per year from committed customers with signed
9 contracts. The metric is commonly used by software companies like HeadSpin that charge customers
10 recurring fees to use their products. A growing ARR shows that a company is successfully signing
11 up new customers and/or expanding the deals it already has with existing customers.

12 21. Lachwani inflated HeadSpin’s ARR by falsely increasing the values of several
13 existing customer deals of all sizes, ranging from big deals with Silicon Valley heavyweights to low
14 dollar-value deals with smaller companies, and relying on uncommitted amounts from non-binding
15 agreements with other customers. He entered the fabricated amounts into the company’s detailed
16 ARR-tracking Spreadsheet that he alone controlled. For example, in about 2018, Lachwani sent an
17 investor a version of the ARR Spreadsheet that claimed a reseller (“Customer 1”) was contributing
18 approximately \$1 million in ARR. In reality, Customer 1 and Lachwani had signed a non-binding
19 agreement that, among other things, set a maximum cap of \$1.215 million on its purchases over two
20 years from HeadSpin. Importantly, Customer 1 was not obligated to pay anything until HeadSpin
21 sent invoices at a later date. In the end, Customer 1 only paid HeadSpin approximately \$500,000
22 over two years—far less than the maximum cap.

23 22. In other instances, Lachwani fabricated or altered invoices to provide post-hoc
24 justifications to other HeadSpin employees for the inflated ARR amounts. For example, from 2018
25 through 2020, Lachwani falsely claimed that a major San Francisco-based ride share company
26 (“Customer 2”) had agreed to pay HeadSpin about \$1.44 million per year. In truth, Customer 2 made
27 a single purchase worth \$720,000 in 2018, and did not make a long-term commitment. To bridge the
28 gap between reality and his false claims, Lachwani concocted a fake invoice covering the remaining

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.