

DAVID R. SINGH (Bar No. 300840)
david.singh@weil.com
MORGAN D. MACBRIDE (Bar No. 301248)
morgan.macbride@weil.com
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway, 6th Floor
Redwood Shores, CA 94065-1134
Telephone: (650) 802-3000
Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

NESTOR ALMEIDA, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

DAPHNE PAREAS and DANIEL FRIEND,
on behalf of themselves and all others
similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Lead Case No. 3:21-cv-07109-VC

Consolidated with No. 3:21-cv-07112-VC

**APPLE INC.'S NOTICE OF AND
MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION
COMPLAINT; MEMORANDUM OF
POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
SUPPORT THEREOF**

Date: March 17, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept.: Courtroom 4 – 17th Floor

Judge: Honorable Vince Chhabria

NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO DISMISS

TO PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on March 17, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, before the Honorable Vince Chhabria in Courtroom 4 – 17th Floor, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, Defendant Apple Inc. (“Apple”) will and hereby does move to dismiss all of Plaintiffs Daniel Friend, Daphne Pareas, Scott Seveland, Patrice Sherman, Nestor Almeida, Adelina Lavecchia, Dan Henderson, Maritza Angeles, Tim Inselmann, William West-Davis, Patricia Medberry, and Handy Colindrezs’ (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) claims pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6) for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support thereof, all other pleadings and papers on file herein, and such other argument and evidence as may be presented to the Court.

Dated: December 17, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

By: /s/ David R. Singh
DAVID R. SINGH

Attorney for Defendant APPLE INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Factual Background	2
III. Legal Standard	3
IV. ARGUMENT.....	4
A. Plaintiffs Have Not Stated Any Actionable Fraud-Based Claims	4
1. All of Plaintiffs’ Claims Are Grounded in Fraud But Fail to Satisfy Rule 9(b).....	4
2. Plaintiffs Fail to Allege Any Actionable Omission (Counts 1-2, 4-15, 17-19).....	5
3. Plaintiffs’ Fail to Allege An Actionable Misrepresentation (Counts 2, 4-7, 9- 15, 18, 20)	11
4. The Deceptive Trade Act Claims Fail	12
B. Plaintiffs Fail to Allege Any Actionable Warranty Claims	13
1. Plaintiffs Have Not Stated Facts Establishing a Breach of the Express Warranty (Counts 21, 23)	13
2. Plaintiffs Have Not Alleged An Actionable Implied Warranty of Merchantability Claim (Count 22)	15
3. Plaintiffs’ Magnusson-Moss Warranty Act Claim Fails (Count 24)	18
C. Plaintiffs Fail to State Any Quasi-Contractual Claims (Counts 16, 20).....	18
D. The Court Lacks Jurisdiction to Grant Plaintiffs Equitable Relief.....	19
V. Conclusion	20

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>A.J. Amer Agency, Inc. v. Astonish Results</i> , 2014 WL 3496964 (D.R.I. July 11, 2014)	12
<i>Adams v. Johnson</i> , 355 F.3d 1179 (9th Cir. 2004)	17, 19
<i>Ahern v. Apple Inc.</i> , 411 F. Supp. 3d 541 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	10, 12
<i>Alex & Ani, LLC v. Elite Level Consulting, LLC</i> , 31 F. Supp. 3d 365 (D.R.I. 2014).....	10
<i>Allen v. FCA US LLC</i> , 2017 WL 1957068 (W.D. Va. May 10, 2017)	12
<i>Angus Partners LLC v. Walder</i> , 52 F. Supp. 3d 546 (S.D.N.Y. 2014).....	18
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....	3
<i>Baba v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2011 WL 317650 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2011).....	8
<i>Baltazar v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2011 WL 588209 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 10, 2011)	16
<i>Bank of Montreal v. Signet Bank</i> , 193 F.3d 818 (4th Cir. 1999)	10
<i>Beausoleil v. Peterbilt Motors Co.</i> , 2010 WL 2365567 (E.D. Va. June 11, 2010)	15
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007).....	3
<i>Berenblat v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2010 WL 1460297 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 9, 2010)	7, 12
<i>Breeden v. Richmond Cmty. Coll.</i> , 171 F.R.D. 189 (M.D.N.C. 1997).....	10
<i>Burd v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> , 2015 WL 4647929 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 5, 2015)	7

Cepelak v. HP Inc.,
2021 WL 5298022 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 15, 2021)19, 20

Chapman v. Pismo Food Store,
710 F. App'x 769 (9th Cir. 2018)4

Cipollone v. Liggett Grp., Inc.,
505 U.S. 504 (1992).....13

Clark v. LG Elecs. U.S.A., Inc.,
2013 WL 5816410 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 29, 2013)14

Clemens v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.,
534 F.3d 1017 (9th Cir. 2008)5, 18

Corsello v Verizon N.Y., Inc.,
18 N.Y.3d 777 (2012)19

Cover v. Windsor Surry Co.,
2016 WL 3421361 (N.D. Cal. June 22, 2016)15

Daniel v. Ford Motor Co.,
806 F.3d 1217 (9th Cir. 2015)17

Davidson v. Apple Inc.,
2017 WL 976048 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 14, 2017).....4, 5, 14, 15

Diamond “S” Dev. Corp. v. Mercantile Bank,
989 So. 2d 696 (Fla. 1st DCA 2008)19

Dillon v. BMO Harris Bank, N.A.,
16 F. Supp. 3d 605 (M.D.N.C. 2014)18

Drew v. Boaters Landing Inc.,
2007 WL 2700987 (M.D. Fla. Sept. 13, 2007).....15

Elias v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,
903 F. Supp. 2d 843 (N.D. Cal. 2012)17

Espineli v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A.,
2019 WL 2249605 (E.D. Cal. May 24, 2019)9

Fink v. Time Warner Cable,
810 F. Supp. 2d 633 (S.D.N.Y. 2011).....12

Fireman’s Fund Ins. Co. v. Com. & Indus. Ins. Co.,
2000 WL 1721080 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 7, 2000)18

First Choice Armor v. Toyobo Am., Inc.,
717 F. Supp. 2d 156 (D. Mass. 2010)10

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.