

**BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.**

L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)  
Sean L. Litteral (State Bar No. 331985)  
Elvia M. Lopez (State Bar No. 331986)  
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596  
Telephone: (925) 300-4455  
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700  
Email: ltfisher@bursor.com  
slitteral@bursor.com  
elopez@bursor.com

**MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP**

Nicholas A. Migliaccio (*pro hac vice*)  
Jason S. Rathod (*pro hac vice*)  
412 H St., NE  
Washington, D.C. 20002  
Telephone: (202) 470-3520  
Facsimile: (202) 800-2730  
E-Mail: nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com  
jrathod@classlawdc.com

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DANIEL FRIEND, DAPHNE PAREAS, SCOTT SEVELAND, PATRICE SHERMAN, NESTOR ALMEIDA, ADELINA LAVECCHIA, DAN HENDERSON, MARITZA ANGELES, TIM INSELMANN, WILLIAM WEST-DAVIS, PATRICIA MEDBERRY, and HANDY COLINDREZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:21-cv-07109-VC

**PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO  
DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS  
THE FIRST AMENDED  
CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION  
COMPLAINT**

Date: September 29, 2022

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Dept.: Courtroom 4 – 17th floor

Judge: Honorable Vince Chhabria

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE(S)</b>
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. ARGUMENT .....	2
A. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Fraud-Based Claims .....	2
1. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege a Defect .....	2
2. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege a Common Defect Across the M1 MacBook Pro and M1 MacBook Air Models.....	5
3. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege the Defect Results in Display Failures.....	7
4. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege Pre-Sale Knowledge of the Defect .....	10
5. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege Facts Establishing a Duty to Disclose .....	16
B. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Negligent Misrepresentation Claims .....	18
C. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Quasi-Contract Claims .....	18
D. Plaintiffs Have Standing to Seek Equitable Relief .....	18
III. CONCLUSION.....	20

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

PAGE(S)

## CASES

<i>Baba v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2011 WL 317650 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2011).....	13
<i>Beyer v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 333 F. Supp. 3d 966 (N.D. Cal. 2018) .....	3
<i>Borkman v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , 2017 WL 4082420 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 28, 2017).....	13, 16
<i>Brown v. Natures Path Foods, Inc.</i> , 2022 WL 717816 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 10, 2022).....	10, 20
<i>Calhoun v. Google LLC</i> , 526 F. Supp. 3d 605 (N.D. Cal. 2021) .....	6
<i>Cepelak v. HP Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 5298022 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 15, 2021) .....	16, 20
<i>City of Pomona v. SQM N. Am. Corp.</i> , 750 F.3d 1036 (9th Cir. 2014) .....	3
<i>Clark v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 528 F. Supp. 3d 1108 (C.D. Cal. 2021) .....	7
<i>Clemens v. DaimlerChrysler Corp.</i> , 534 F.3d 1017 (9th Cir. 2008) .....	3
<i>Cutler v. Rancher Energy Corp.</i> , 2014 WL 1153054 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2014).....	18
<i>Davidson v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 3149305 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2017).....	passim
<i>Davidson v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 2325426 (N.D. Cal. May 8, 2018).....	4, 9
<i>Davidson v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.</i> , 889 F.3d 956 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	20
<i>Espineli v. Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 2249605 (E.D. Cal. May 24, 2019) .....	14
<i>Falk v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 496 F. Supp. 2d 1088 (N.D. Cal. 2007) .....	16, 17

<i>Falk v. Nissan N. Am., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 2234303 (N.D. Cal. May 16, 2018).....	8
<i>Finney v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 2018 WL 2552266 (N.D. Cal. June 4, 2018).....	17
<i>Hamm v. Mercedes-Benz USA, LLC</i> , 2021 WL 1238304 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 2, 2021).....	7
<i>Hardt v. Chrysler Grp. LLC</i> , 2015 WL 12683965 (C.D. Cal. June 15, 2015).....	7
<i>Herremans v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , 2014 WL 5017843 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2014).....	14
<i>Hough v. Big Heart Pet Brands, Inc.</i> , 2020 WL 7227198 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2020).....	4
<i>In re Century Aluminum Co. Sec. Litig.</i> , 729 F.3d 1104 (9th Cir. 2013) .....	3, 4
<i>In re Juul Labs, Inc., Antitrust Litig.</i> , 555 F. Supp. 3d 932 (N.D. Cal. 2021).....	5
<i>In re MacBook Keyboard Litig.</i> , 2019 WL 1765817 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2019).....	10, 12, 16, 17
<i>In re MacBook Keyboard Litigation.</i> , 2021 WL 1250378 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 8, 2021).....	9
<i>In re MacBook Keyboard Litigation.</i> , 2022 WL 1604753 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 25, 2022).....	2, 3
<i>In re MyFord Touch Consumer Litig.</i> , 46 F. Supp. 3d 936 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	15
<i>In re Samsung Galaxy Smartphone Mktg. &amp; Sales Pracs. Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 7664461 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 24, 2020).....	5
<i>Ketayi v. Health Enrollment Grp.</i> , 516 F. Supp. 3d 1092 (S.D. Cal. 2021).....	18
<i>Khoja v. Orexigen Therapeutics, Inc.</i> , 899 F.3d 988 (9th Cir. 2018) .....	5
<i>Kowalsky v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 2011 WL 3501715 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2011) .....	11
<i>MacDonald v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 37 F. Supp. 3d 1087 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	14

<i>Mandani v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc.</i> , 2019 WL 652867 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 15, 2019) .....	10
<i>McHenry v. Renne</i> , 84 F.3d 1172 (9th Cir. 1996) .....	12
<i>Moran v. Edgewell Personal Care, LLC</i> , 2022 WL 3046906 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 2, 2022) .....	20
<i>Myers v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , 2016 WL 5897740 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2016).....	9, 13
<i>Obertman v. Electrolux Home Care Prod., Inc.</i> , 482 F. Supp. 3d 1017 (E.D. Cal. 2020).....	18
<i>OCM Principal Opportunities Fund, L.P. v. CIBC World Markets Corp.</i> , 157 Cal. App. 4th 835 (2007) .....	18
<i>Oestreicher v. Alienware Corp.</i> , 544 F. Supp. 2d 964 (N.D. Cal. 2008) .....	13
<i>Parrish v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc.</i> , 463 F. Supp. 3d 1043 (C.D. Cal. 2020) .....	10, 13, 15
<i>Pelayo v. Hyundai Motor Am., Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 1808628 (C.D. Cal. May 5, 2021) .....	5
<i>Punian v. Gillette Co.</i> , 2016 WL 1029607 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 15, 2016).....	5
<i>Sciacca v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 362 F. Supp. 3d 787 (N.D. Cal. 2019) .....	9
<i>Skiathitis v. Nyko Techs., Inc.</i> , 2018 WL 6427360 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 12, 2018) .....	10
<i>Special Situations Fund III QP, L.P. v. Marrone Bio Innovations, Inc.</i> , 243 F. Supp. 3d 1109 (E.D. Cal. 2017).....	4
<i>Taleshpour v. Apple Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 1197494 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2021).....	passim
<i>United States v. Mariner Health Care, Inc.</i> , 2021 WL 4259907 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 5, 2021) .....	4
<i>Weeks v. Google LLC</i> , 2018 WL 3933398 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2018) .....	16, 17
<i>Wilson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 668 F. 3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2012) .....	5

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.