

BURSOR & FISHER, P.A.

L. Timothy Fisher (State Bar No. 191626)
Sean L. Litteral (State Bar No. 331985)
Elvia M. Lopez (State Bar No. 331986)
1990 North California Blvd., Suite 940
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
Telephone: (925) 300-4455
Facsimile: (925) 407-2700
Email: ltfisher@bursor.com
slitteral@bursor.com
elopez@bursor.com

MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP

Nicholas A. Migliaccio (*pro hac vice*)
Jason S. Rathod (*pro hac vice*)
412 H St., NE
Washington, D.C. 20002
Telephone: (202) 470-3520
Facsimile: (202) 800-2730
E-Mail: nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com
jrathod@classlawdc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DANIEL FRIEND, DAPHNE PAREAS, SCOTT SEVELAND, PATRICE SHERMAN, NESTOR ALMEIDA, ADELINA LAVECCHIA, DAN HENDERSON, MARITZA ANGELES, TIM INSELMANN, MAGDALA CASIMIR, WILLIAM WEST-DAVIS, PATRICIA MEDBERRY, and AZARA COLINDREZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

APPLE INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:21-cv-07109-VC

PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED CONSOLIDATED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

Date: January 12, 2023

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Dept: Courtroom 4 – 17th floor

Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE(S)
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. DISCUSSION	2
A. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Fraud-Based Claims	2
1. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege Pre-Sale Knowledge of the Defect.....	2
a. Plaintiffs' pre-release testing allegations raise a plausible inference of pre-sale knowledge.....	2
b. Plaintiffs' other allegations bolster the inference of pre-sale knowledge	4
2. Plaintiffs Plausibly Allege Facts Establishing a Duty to Disclose.....	9
a. Exclusive Knowledge	9
b. Active Concealment.....	10
c. Partial Representations.....	11
B. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Negligent Misrepresentation Claims	13
C. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Quasi-Contract Claims	14
D. Plaintiffs Adequately Allege Their Claims For Equitable Relief.....	14
III. CONCLUSION.....	15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	PAGE(S)
CASES	
<i>Anderson v. Apple Inc.</i> , 500 F. Supp. 3d 993 (N.D. Cal. 2020)	13, 15
<i>Beyer v. Symantec Corp.</i> , 333 F. Supp. 3d 966 (N.D. Cal. 2018)	9
<i>Blissard v. FCA US LLC</i> , 2018 WL 6177295 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2018).....	11
<i>Borkman v. BMW of N. Am., LLC</i> , 2017 WL 4082420 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 28, 2017).....	11
<i>Browning v. Am. Honda Motor Co.</i> , 2022 WL 824106 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 18, 2022).....	10, 11
<i>Burdt v. Whirlpool Corp.</i> , 2015 WL 4647929 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 5, 2015)	4
<i>Coleman v. Mondelez Int'l Inc.</i> , 554 F. Supp. 3d 1055 (C.D. Cal. 2021)	15
<i>Cutler v. Rancher Energy Corp.</i> , 2014 WL 1153054 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2014).....	13
<i>Davidson v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2017 WL 3149305 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2017).....	4, 11
<i>Donohue v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 871 F. Supp. 2d 913 (N.D. Cal. 2012)	10
<i>Elgindy v. AGA Serv. Co.</i> , 2021 WL 1176535 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 29, 2021).....	15
<i>Falk v. Gen. Motors Corp.</i> , 496 F. Supp. 2d 1088 (N.D. Cal. 2007)	9
<i>Finney v. Ford Motor Co.</i> , 2018 WL 2552266 (N.D. Cal. June 4, 2018).....	12
<i>Flier v. FCA US LLC</i> , 2022 WL 16823042 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2022)	2, 4, 9
<i>Goldstein v. Gen. Motors LLC</i> , 517 F. Supp. 3d 1076 (S.D. Cal. 2021).....	9, 11

<i>Herron v. Best Buy Co. Inc.</i> , 924 F. Supp. 2d 1161 (E.D. Cal. 2013).....	13
<i>In re Chrysler-Dodge-Jeep Ecodiesel Mktg., Sales Pracs., & Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 295 F. Supp. 3d 927 (N.D. Cal. 2018).....	12
<i>In re MacBook Keyboard Litig.</i> , 2019 WL 1765817 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2019).....	9, 10
<i>In re MyFord Touch Consumer Litig.</i> , 46 F. Supp. 3d 936 (N.D. Cal. 2014).....	8
<i>In Re Samsung Galaxy Smartphone Mktg. & Sales Pracs. Litig.</i> , 2020 WL 7664461 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 24, 2020).....	12
<i>In re Seagate Tech. LLC Litig.</i> , 233 F. Supp. 3d 776 (N.D. Cal. 2017)	3, 4
<i>In re Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Pracs., & Prod. Liab. Litig.</i> , 754 F. Supp. 2d 1145 (C.D. Cal. 2010)	11
<i>In re Toyota RAV4 Hybrid Fuel Tank Litig.</i> , 534 F. Supp. 3d 1067 (N.D. Cal. 2021)	6
<i>JB Bros., Inc v. Poke Bar Ga Johns Creek I, LLC</i> , 2022 WL 3012822 (C.D. Cal. June 6, 2022)	14
<i>Jeong v. Nexo Fin. LLC</i> , 2022 WL 174236 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 19, 2022).....	15
<i>Ketayi v. Health Enrollment Grp.</i> , 516 F. Supp. 3d 1092 (S.D. Cal. 2021).....	14
<i>Lassen v. Nissan N. Am., Inc.</i> , 211 F. Supp. 3d 1267 (C.D. Cal. 2016)	10
<i>LeBrun v. CBS Television Studios, Inc.</i> , 68 Cal. App. 5th 199 (2021)	14
<i>Lusson v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 2016 WL 10932723 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2016).....	14
<i>Morgan v. AT&T Wireless Servs., Inc.</i> , 177 Cal. App. 4th 1235 (2009)	9
<i>Nacarino v. Chobani, LLC</i> , 2021 WL 3487117 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 9, 2021)	15
<i>Obertman v. Electrolux Home Care Prod., Inc.</i> , 482 F. Supp. 3d 1017 (E.D. Cal. 2020).....	14

<i>OCM Principal Opportunities Fund, L.P. v. CIBC World Markets Corp.,</i> 157 Cal. App. 4th 835 (2007)	14
<i>Parrish v. Volkswagen Grp. of Am., Inc.,</i> 463 F. Supp. 3d 1043 (C.D. Cal. 2020)	5
<i>Patt v. Antech Diagnostics, Inc.,</i> 2020 WL 5076970 (C.D. Cal. May 18, 2020)	12
<i>Patterson v. RW Direct, Inc.,</i> 2018 WL 6106379 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 21, 2018)	6
<i>Patterson v. RW Direct, Inc.,</i> 382 F. Supp. 3d 938 (N.D. Cal. 2019)	6
<i>Phan v. Sargento Foods, Inc.,</i> 2021 WL 2224260 (N.D. Cal. June 2, 2021)	15
<i>Punian v. Gillette Co.,</i> 2016 WL 1029607 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 15, 2016).....	12
<i>Rice v. Kimberly-Clark Corp.,</i> 2022 WL 16804522 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 8, 2022).....	5, 6
<i>Rushing v. Williams-Sonoma, Inc.,</i> 2017 WL 766678 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2017)	10
<i>Sinatro v. Barilla Am., Inc.,</i> 2022 WL 10128276 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 17, 2022).....	15
<i>Taleshpour v. Apple Inc.,</i> 2021 WL 1197494 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 30, 2021).....	4, 10
<i>Victorino v. FCA US LLC,</i> 2016 WL 6441518 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 1, 2016)	14
<i>Weeks v. Google LLC,</i> 2018 WL 3933398 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 16, 2018)	9
<i>Williams v. Gerber Prod. Co.,</i> 552 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2008)	12
<i>Williams v. Yamaha Motor Co.,</i> 851 F.3d 1015 (9th Cir. 2017)	5
<i>Wilson v. Hewlett-Packard Co.,</i> 668 F.3d 1136 (9th Cir. 2012)	6
<i>Yeomans v. World Fin. Grp. Ins. Agency, Inc.,</i> 2022 WL 844152 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 22, 2022).....	15

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.