

1 Rick Bergstrom (State Bar No. 169594)  
rjbergstrom@jonesday.com  
2 Koree B. Wooley (State Bar No. 294489)  
kbwooley@jonesday.com  
3 Joshua C. Dutton (State Bar No. 328750)  
jdutton@jonesday.com  
4 JONES DAY  
4655 Executive Drive  
5 Suite 1500  
San Diego, CA 92121.3134  
6 Telephone: +1.858.314.1200  
Facsimile: +1.844.345.3178  
7

8 Attorneys for Defendant  
MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC.

9  
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12  
13 ELIOT JOHNSON, individually, and on  
behalf of the general public,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and DOES  
1 through 100, inclusive,

17 Defendant.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**CASE NO. 3:21-CV-07774-JD**

**DEFENDANT MICRON  
TECHNOLOGY, INC.'S OPPOSITION  
TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
REMAND DISTRICT COURT CASE  
TO STATE COURT**

***[REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE  
FILED CONCURRENTLY]***

DATE: December 9, 2021  
TIME: 10:00 a.m.  
CTRM: 11

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

	<b>Page</b>
I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT.....	1
II. STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE DECIDED (L.R. 7-4(a)(3)) .....	2
III. RELEVANT FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND (L.R. 7-4(a)(4)) .....	2
A. Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint.....	2
B. Micron Timely Removed This Action Based On Individual Diversity Jurisdiction and CAFA Jurisdiction.....	3
1. Individual Diversity Jurisdiction.....	4
2. CAFA.....	4
C. Micron’s Motion to Dismiss .....	5
D. The Parties’ Meet and Confer Regarding Plaintiff’s Motion to Remand .....	5
IV. LEGAL STANDARD.....	6
V. ARGUMENT.....	7
A. This Court Has Individual Diversity Jurisdiction Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).....	7
1. The Value of the Injunctive Relief Alone Exceeds \$75,000.....	7
2. The Attorneys’ Fees In Controversy on Plaintiff’s Individual Claim Against Micron Exceed \$75,000.....	9
3. Micron Properly Included Plaintiff’s Share of PAGA Penalties .....	12
B. This Court Has Jurisdiction Under CAFA.....	12
VI. PLAINTIFF’S REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES IS MERITLESS.....	14
VII. CONCLUSION.....	15

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**Page**

**CASES**

*Anderson v. Equinox Holdings, Inc.*,  
No. 2:18-CV-03759-SVW, 2019 WL 2902702 (C.D. Cal. Mar. 19, 2019),  
*aff'd*, 813 F. App'x 308 (9th Cir. 2020).....10

*Anderson v. Starbucks Corp.*,  
No. 3:20-CV-01178-JD, 2020 WL 7779015 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 31, 2020).....7

*Arias v. Super. Ct.*,  
46 Cal. 4th 969 (2009) .....13

*Bandoy v. Huh*,  
1996 WL 675978 (Cal. Sup. 1996).....11

*Blackwell v. SkyWest Airlines, Inc.*,  
No. 06CV307 DMS (AJB), 2008 WL 11337257 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 17, 2008).....2, 6

*BladeRoom Grp. Ltd. v. Emerson Elec. Co.*,  
No. 5:15-CV-01370-EJD, 2019 WL 1117537 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 11, 2019) .....8

*Canela v. Costco Wholesale Corp.*,  
971 F.3d 845 (9th Cir. 2020).....12, 14

*Chavez v. JPMorgan Chase & Co.*,  
888 F.3d 413 (9th Cir. 2018).....14

*Culley v. Lincare Inc.*,  
236 F. Supp. 3d 1184 (E.D. Cal. 2017).....3

*Dart Cherokee Basin Operating Co., LLC v. Owens*,  
574 U.S. 81 (2014).....6

*Diaz v. A & R Logistics, Inc.*,  
No. 15CV0520-DMS-RBB, 2015 WL 3464450 (S.D. Cal. May 29, 2015).....13, 15

*Fritsch v. Swift Transp. Co. of Ariz., LLC*,  
899 F.3d 785 (9th Cir. 2018).....9

*Gonzales v. CarMax Auto Superstores, LLC*,  
840 F.3d 644 (9th Cir. 2016).....7

1	<i>Harris v. KM Indus., Inc.</i> ,	
2	980 F.3d 694 (9th Cir. 2020).....	7, 8
3	<i>Haw. ex rel. Louie v. HSBC Bank Nev., N.A.</i> ,	
4	761 F.3d 1027 (9th Cir. 2014).....	13
5	<i>Hess v. United Parcel Serv., Inc.</i> ,	
6	No. 3:21-CV-00093 WHA, 2021 WL 1700162 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 29, 2021) .....	8, 9
7	<i>Jan Marini Skin Rsch., Inc. v. Fed. Exp. Corp.</i> ,	
8	No. C 09-4910 JF, 2010 WL 251648 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 14, 2010) .....	7
9	<i>Kalaveras v. NCR Corp.</i> ,	
10	No. 20-CV-6930 YGR, 2021 WL 1580828 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 22, 2021).....	14
11	<i>Lussier v. Dollar Tree Stores, Inc.</i> ,	
12	518 F.3d 1062 (9th Cir. 2008).....	14
13	<i>Martin v. Franklin Capital Corp.</i> ,	
14	546 U.S. 132 (2005).....	14
15	<i>Martin v. The Old Turner Inn</i> ,	
16	2003 WL 22416020 (Cal. Sup. 2003) .....	11
17	<i>O'Connor v. Uber Techs., Inc.</i> ,	
18	No. 13-CV-03826-EMC, 2015 WL 8587589 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 10, 2015).....	3, 13
19	<i>Parker v. It's a Laugh Prods.</i> ,	
20	No. 09-CV-2442-GAF-JCX, 2009 WL 10671983 (C.D. Cal. May 28, 2009) .....	13
21	<i>Porter v. Nabors Drilling USA, L.P.</i> ,	
22	854 F.3d 1057 (9th Cir. 2017).....	3
23	<i>Pulera v. F&amp;B Inc.</i> ,	
24	No. 2:08-CV-00275-MCE-DAD, 2008 WL 3863489 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 19, 2008).....	9, 10
25	<i>Rodriguez v. Swissport USA, Inc.</i> ,	
26	No. CV 15-3951-GW, 2015 WL 4977187 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 20, 2015).....	2, 13, 15
27	<i>Rom v. Steele</i> ,	
28	No. 16-CV-01658-EDL, 2016 WL 10869954 (N.D. Cal. June 13, 2016).....	15
	<i>Silicon Image, Inc. v. Analogix Semiconductor</i> ,	
	642 F. Supp. 2d 957 (N.D. Cal. 2008) .....	14

1 *Strojnik v. Little Am. Hotels & Resorts Inc.*,  
 2 No. CV-21-08181-PCT-DLR, 2021 WL 4710179 (D. Ariz. Oct. 8, 2021).....7, 9

3 *Thomas v. CVS Health Corp.*,  
 4 No. 2:19-CV-04283-R-FFM, 2019 WL 3526344 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 1, 2019) .....13

5 *Wesson v. Staples the Office Superstore, LLC*,  
 6 68 Cal. App. 5th 746, 861 (2021) .....14, 15

7 *Wondeh v. Change Healthcare Prac. Mgmt. Sols., Inc.*,  
 8 No. 19-CV-07824-JD, 2020 WL 5630268 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 21, 2020).....6

9 **STATUTES**

10 28 U.S.C. § 1332 ..... *passim*

11 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) .....6

12 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c) .....2, 14, 15

13 California Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200.....8

14 California Labor Code § 2699 .....3

15 California Labor Code § 2802 ..... *passim*

16 California Labor Code § 2802(c).....9

17 California Private Attorney General Act..... *passim*

18 Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d)..... *passim*

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.