

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
Stephen Swedlow (*Admitted Pro hac vice*)
2 stephenswedlow@quinnemanuel.com
191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
3 Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: (312) 705-7400
4 Facsimile: (312) 705-7401

5 David Eiseman (Bar No. 114758)
davideiseman@quinnemanuel.com
6 Victoria B. Parker (Bar No. 290862)
vickiparker@quinnemanuel.com
7 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4788
8 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

9 Attorneys for Defendants Rivos Inc. and Wen
10 Shih-Chieh a/k/a Ricky Wen

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN JOSE DIVISION

14
15 APPLE INC.,
16 Plaintiff,
17 vs.
18 RIVOS INC., WEN SHIH-CHIEH a/k/a
RICKY WEN and BHASI KAITHAMANA,
19 Defendants.
20

Case No. 5:22-CV-2637-EJD

**DEFENDANT RIVOS INC.'S NOTICE
OF MOTION AND MOTION TO
DISMISS**

Date: January 19, 2023
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 4
Judge: The Hon. Edward J. Davila
Trial Date: None Set

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION**

2 **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:**

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on January 19, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as
4 the matter may be heard in the above-entitled Court, the Honorable Edward J. Davila presiding,
5 located at the San Jose Courthouse, Courtroom 4, 5th Floor, 280 South 1st Street, San Jose, CA
6 95113, Defendant Rivos Inc. will and hereby does move this Court for an order dismissing Plaintiff
7 Apple Inc.'s Complaint (Dkt. No. 1) pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6). The
8 motion is made on the grounds that the Complaint fails to state a claim for which relief may be
9 granted.

10 This motion is based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum
11 of Points and Authorities, the other pleadings and filings on record in this action, as well as other
12 written or oral argument that Rivos Inc. may present to the Court.

13
14 DATED: June 30, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

15 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN,
16 LLP

17
18 By /s/ Stephen Swedlow

19 STEPHEN SWEDLOW
20 DAVID EISEMAN
21 VICTORIA B. PARKER

22 Attorneys for Defendants Rivos Inc. and Wen
23 Shih-Chieh a/k/a Ricky Wen
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. RELEVANT ALLEGATIONS IN APPLE’S COMPLAINT.....2

III. LEGAL STANDARD4

IV. ARGUMENT.....5

 A. Apple’s Complaint Does Not State Specific Facts Sufficient to Sustain A
 Claim Of Misappropriation Against Rivos5

 1. Apple Fails To Demonstrate Ownership Of Any Trade Secret.....5

 2. Apple Fails To Plausibly Allege Direct Misappropriation By Rivos.....7

 3. Apple Fails To Plausibly Allege Indirect Misappropriation9

 4. Apple Fails To Allege Any Damages.....10

 B. Generalized Allegations that Unidentified Apple Employees Have Moved
 to Rivos Are Insufficient to Support a Misappropriation Claim.....10

 C. Apple Cannot Conduct Discovery Before Alleging A Viable Claim.....12

V. CONCLUSION.....13

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Page

Cases

AlterG, Inc. v. Boost Treadmills LLC,
388 F. Supp. 3d 1133 (N.D. Cal. 2019)..... 6

Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. v. Tarantino,
498 F. Supp. 3d 1155 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....7, 8

Ashcroft v. Iqbal,
556 U.S. 662 (2009).....4, 13

Broam v. Bogan,
320 F.3d 1023 (9th Cir. 2003) 4

Carl Zeiss Meditec, Inc. v. Topcon Med. Sys., Inc.,
No. 19-4162 SBA, 2019 WL 11499334 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2019)..... 12

CleanFish, LLC v. Sims,
No. 19-CV-03663-HSG, 2020 WL 4732192 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 14, 2020)..... 6

Comp. Econ., Inc. v. Gartner Grp., Inc.,
50 F.Supp.2d 980 (S.D. Cal. May 25, 1999)..... 7

Cooper Interconnect, Inc. v. Glenair, Inc.,
No. CV1408018RGKJCX, 2015 WL 13722129 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 3, 2015)..... 10

Cotiviti, Inc. v. Deagle,
501 F. Supp. 3d 243 (S.D.N.Y. 2020)..... 13

Delacruz v. State Bar of California,
No. 16-CV-06858-BLF, 2017 WL 3129207 (N.D. Cal. July 24, 2017)..... 13

Edwards v. Arthur Andersen LLP,
44 Cal.4th 937 (2008)..... 11

Farhang v. Indian Inst. of Tech., Kharagpur,
No. C-08-02658 RMW, 2010 WL 2228936 (N.D. Cal. June 1, 2010)..... 8

Fayer v. Vaughn,
649 F.3d 1061 (9th Cir. 2011) 4

Globespan, Inc. v. O’Neill,
151 F. Supp. 2d 1229 (C.D. Cal. 2001) 8

Golden v. California Emergency Physicians Med. Grp.,
896 F.3d 1018 (9th Cir. 2018) 11

In re Gilead Scis. Sec. Litig.,
536 F.3d 1049 (9th Cir. 2008) 4

Jobscience, Inc. v. CVPartners, Inc.,
No. C 13-04519 WHA, 2014 WL 852477 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 28, 2014)..... 7

Kaplan v. California Pub. Employees’ Ret. Sys.,
No. C 98-1246 CRB, 1998 WL 575095 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 3, 1998),
aff’d, 221 F.3d 1348 (9th Cir. 2000) 13

KapStone Container Corp. v. Boyd,
No. 1:17-CV-01902-TWT, 2017 WL 4948074 (N.D. Ga. June 27, 2017)..... 12

Lamont v. Conner,
No. 5:18-CV-04227-EPD, 2019 WL 1260028 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 26, 2019)..... 6

1	<i>M/A-COM Tech. Sols., Inc. v. Litrinium, Inc.</i> , No. SACV19220JVSJDEX, 2019 WL 6655274 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 23, 2019).....	8
2	<i>Navigation Holdings, LLC v. Molavi</i> , 445 F. Supp. 3d 69 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....	5, 8, 9
3	<i>Pellerin v. Honeywell Int’l, Inc.</i> , 877 F. Supp. 2d 983 (S.D. Cal. 2012).....	9
4	<i>R.R. Donnelley & Sons Co. v. Marino</i> , 505 F. Supp. 3d 194 (W.D.N.Y. 2020).....	10, 12
5	<i>Realnetworks, Inc. v. DVD Copy Control Ass’n, Inc.</i> , Nos. C 08-4548 MHP, C 08-4719 MHP, 2010 WL 145098 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2010)	4
6	<i>S. California No. CV 10-8026 PSG (AJWx), Inst. of Law v. TCS Educ. Sys.</i> , No. CV 10-8026 PSG (AJWx), 2011 WL 1296602 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 5, 2011).....	9
7	<i>Soc. Apps, LLC v. Zynga, Inc.</i> , No. 4:11-CV-04910 YGR, 2012 WL 2203063 (N.D. Cal. June 14, 2012)	6
8	<i>Space Data Corp. v. X</i> , No. 16-CV-03260-BLF, 2017 WL 5013363 (N.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2017)	7
9	<i>Spice Jazz LLC v. Youngevity Int’l, Inc.</i> , No. 19-CV-0583-BAS-DEB, 2020 WL 6484640 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 4, 2020).....	9
10	<i>Teradata Corp. v. SAP SE</i> , No. 18-CV-03670-WHO, 2018 WL 6528009 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 12, 2018).....	6
11	<i>Tri Cnty. Tel. Association, Inc. v. Campbell</i> , No. 17-CV-089-F, 2018 WL 10399165 (D. Wyo. Apr. 17, 2018)	13
12	<i>UCAR Tech. (USA) Inc. v. Yan Li</i> , No. 5:17-cv-01704-EJD, 2017 WL 6405620 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 15, 2017).....	12
13	<i>United States v. Corinthian Colleges</i> , 655 F.3d 984 (9th Cir. 2011).....	5
14	<i>Valenzuela v. City of Calexico</i> , No. 14-CV-481-BAS-PCL, 2015 WL 2184304 (S.D. Cal. May 11, 2015).....	13
15	<i>Vendavo, Inc. v. Price f(x) AG</i> , No. 17-CV-06930-RS, 2018 WL 1456697 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 23, 2018).....	6
16	<i>Via Techs., Inc. v. Asus Computer Int’l</i> , No. 14-CV-03586-BLF, 2016 WL 1056139 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2016).....	6
17	<i>Whyte v. Schlage Lock Co.</i> , 101 Cal. App. 4th 1443 (2002).....	12
18	<u>Statutory Authorities</u>	
19	18 U.S.C.A. § 1836(b).....	5
20	18 U.S.C. § 1836(b)(3)(A)(i)-(ii).....	11
21	18 U.S.C. § 1839.....	7
22	<u>Miscellaneous</u>	
23	S. REP. NO. 114- 220 (2016).....	11

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.