	Case 3:22-cv-02746-JD Docume	nt 93 Filed 11/17/22 Page 1 of 20					
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199) paul.riehle@faegredrinker.comDouglas J. Dixon (SBN 275389) ddixon@hueston.comFAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLPDouglas J. Dixon (SBN 275389) ddixon@hueston.comFour Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-7500Douglas J. Dixon (SBN 275389) ddixon@hueston.comChristine A. Varney (pro hac vice) cvarney@cravath.comCounsel for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC, et al.Christine A. Varney (pro hac vice) cvarney@cravath.comCounsel for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC, et al.Mew York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 474-1000UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
13	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION						
15		Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD					
16	IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE						
17 18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:	EPIC'S AND MATCH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINTS					
19	Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.,	Date: November 17, 2022 at 10:00 a.m.					
20	Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD	Courtroom: 11, 19 th Floor Judge: Hon. James Donato					
21	<i>Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.,</i> Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD						
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
	CKET A R M Find authenticated court docume	ents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .					

TO ALL PARTIES HEREIN AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on November 17, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, before the Honorable James Donato, Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. ("Epic") and Plaintiffs Match Group LLC, Humor Rainbow, Inc., PlentyofFish Media ULC, and People Media, Inc., ("Match") will and hereby do move for an order granting Epic and Match leave to file their proposed amended complaints ("Proposed Amended Complaints," which are submitted with this motion as Exhibits A and K) and ordering that the Proposed Amended Complaints be deemed filed. This motion is made pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") 15 on the grounds that granting this motion will not result in prejudice, the motion is neither futile nor legally insufficient, Epic and Match bring this motion without undue delay, and Epic and Match are not seeking leave to amend in bad faith. In addition, this motion is made pursuant to FRCP 16 on the ground that there is good cause to modify the Second Amended MDL Scheduling Order for the limited purpose of permitting Epic and Match to file their Proposed Amended Complaints. This motion is based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of Points and Authorities, the Proposed Amended Complaints, Declaration of Michael J. Zaken (the "Zaken Decl.") and [Proposed] Order, as well as all matters with respect to which this Court may take judicial notice, and such oral and documentary evidence as properly may be presented to the Court.

STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT

Epic and Match seek an Order granting their request to allow Epic and Match to file their Proposed Amended Complaints pursuant to FRCP Rule 15 and amend the Second Amended MDL Scheduling Order for the limited purpose of allowing Epic and Match to do so.

FPIC'S AND MATCH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINTS

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1

Case 3:22-cv-02746-JD Document 93 Filed 11/17/22 Page 3 of 20

1

Table of Contents

Раде

2					Page	
3	Tabl	e of Authoritiesiii				
4	MEN	AORA	NDUN	M OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES	1	
5	I.	INTRODUCTION				
6	II.	BAC	CKGRO	OUND	3	
7 8		LEGAL STANDARD				
o 9	IV.		GUME			
9 10		AKU A.	-	NT Proposed Amendments Should Be Allowed Under Rule 15		
10		Π.	i.	The Amendments Will Not Prejudice Google		
11			ı. ii.	Epic and Match Have Not Unduly Delayed.		
13			iii.	Epic and Match Seek To Amend in Good Faith.		
14			iv.	The Proposed Amendments Are Not Futile		
15		В.	Ther	e Is Good Cause To Amend the Scheduling Order	11	
16	$\ \mathbf{v}\ $	CON	ICLUS	SION	12	
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28		F	PIC'S A	ND MATCH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAIN	JTS	
	СК	ET	-			

Case 3:22-cv-02746-JD Document 93 Filed 11/17/22 Page 4 of 20

Δ

DOCKE.

Table of Authorities

2	Page(s)
3	Cases
4	Allen v. Bayshore Mall, No. 12-CV-02368-JST, 2013 WL 6441504 (N.D. Cal.
5	Dec. 9, 2013)
6	Avago Techs. Fiber IP (Singapore) Pte. Ltd. v. IPtronics Inc., No. 5:10-CV-
7	02863-EJD, 2012 WL 3835107 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 4, 2012)
8	<i>Clarke v. Upton</i> , 703 F. Supp. 2d 1037 (E.D. Cal. 2010)
9	Cont'l Cas. Co. v. United States, No. 02-5292 VRW, 2005 WL 8162326 (N.D.
10	Cal. June 22, 2005)7
11	Foman v. Davis, 371 U.S. 178 (1962)
12	<i>Griggs v. Pace Am. Grp.</i> , 170 F.3d 877 (9th Cir. 1999)
13	Howey v. United States, 481 F.2d 1187 (9th Cir. 1973)
14	Hurn v. Retirement Fund Trust of Plumbing, Heating & Piping Indus. of S.
15	<i>California</i> , 648 F2d 1252 (9th Cir. 1981)7
16	In re Intuitive Surgical Sec. Litig., No. 5:13-CV-01920-EJD, 2017 WL 363269
17	(N.D. Cal. Jan. 25, 2017)
18	Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604 (9th Cir. 1992)7
19	Lockheed Martin Corp. v. Network Sols., 194 F.3d 980 (9th Cir. 1999)9
20	Oracle Am., Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Enter. Co., No. 16-CV-01393-JST, 2017
21	WL 3149297 (N.D. Cal. July 25, 2017)
22	Owens v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc., 244 F.3d 708 (9th Cir. 2001)6
23	Sonoma Cty. Ass'n of Retired Employees v. Sonoma Cty., 708 F.3d 1109 (9th
24	Cir. 2013)
25	Stearns v. Select Comfort Retail Corp., 763 F. Supp. 2d 1128 (N.D. Cal. 2010)
26	
27	EPIC'S AND MATCH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINTS

Case No.: 3:21-md-02981-JD

R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	Trans Bay Cable LLC v. M/V Ocean Life, No. 14-cv-04854-JD, 2015 WL
2	7075618 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 13, 2015)7
3	Vigil v. Coloplast Corp., No. 319-CV-01851-GPC-BGS, 2020 WL 94378
4	(S.D. Cal. Jan. 8, 2020)
5	Waldrip v. Hall, 548 F.3d 729 (9th Cir. 2008)6
6	Statutes & Rules
7	Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2)6
8	Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4)7
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	EPIC'S AND MATCH'S NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO AMEND COMPLAINTS Case No.: 3:21-md-02981-JD
28	
0	~KFT

C

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.