1	HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP	
2	John C. Hueston, State Bar No. 164921 jhueston@hueston.com Douglas J. Dixon, State Bar No. 275389	
3	ddixon@hueston.com 620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300	
4	Newport Beach, CA 92660 Telephone: (949) 229-8640	
5	Joseph A. Reiter, State Bar No. 294976	
6	jreiter@hueston.com Michael K. Acquah, State Bar No. 313955	
7	macquah@hueston.com William M. Larsen, State Bar No. 314091	
8	wlarsen@hueston.com Julia L. Haines, State Bar No. 321607	
9	jhaines@hueston.com Karen Ding	
10		
11	tharshbarger@hueston.com 523 West 6th Street, Suite 400	
12		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC	7.
14		á ULC;
15		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17		ICT OF CALIFORNIA
18	MATCH GROUP, LLC, a Delaware corporation; HUMOR RAINBOW, INC.,	Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD
19	a New York corporation; PLENTYOFFISH MEDIA ULC, a	FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR (1) VIOLATIONS OF THE
20	Canadian corporation; and PEOPLE MEDIA, INC., a Delaware corporation,	SHERMAN ACT; (2) VIOLATIONS OF THE CARTWRIGHT ACT; (3)
21	Plaintiffs,	UNFAIR COMPETITION; (4) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH
22	v.	CONTRACT; AND (5) TORTIOUS INTERFERENCE WITH
23	GOOGLE LLC; GOOGLE IRELAND	PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE
24	LIMITED; GOOGLE COMMERCE LIMITED; GOOGLE ASIA PACIFIC	
25	PTE. LIMITED; and GOOGLE PAYMENT CORP.,	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
26	Defendants.	
27		



1		TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2				<u>Page</u>	
3	INTRODUCTION				
4					
5	PARTIES				
6		URISDICTION AND VENUE			
7	DIVISIONAI	DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT15			
8	BACKGROU	BACKGROUND15			
9	A.	Matc	ch Group Provides Popular Online Dating Services	15	
10	В.		gle Has Monopolized the Marketplace for Mobile and Licensable rating Systems	19	
11	C.		gle Has Unlawfully Maintained a Monopoly in the Market for	21	
12		Distribution of Android Apps21			
13	D.	Google Also Has Market Power in the Market for Dating App Distribution		25	
14 15	E.	Preda	gle Devises and Uses Exclusionary Contracts, Illegal Tying, and atory Practices to Block Competitors and Ensure Google Play's inance	28	
16		1.	Google Uses Exclusionary Contracts with OEMs	29	
17		2.	Google Uses Exclusionary Contracts with App Developers	31	
18		3.	Google Uses Payment Incentives and Predatory Practices	32	
19		4.	Google Uses Technological Roadblocks, Contractual		
20			Restrictions, and False Information to Make Direct App Downloads Impractical	36	
21		5.	Google's Anti-Competitive Conduct Destroys Competition in		
22			the Android App Distribution Market or, Alternatively, the Dating App Distribution Market	39	
23	F.	Google Unlawfully Seized and Maintains a Monopoly in the Market for Android App In-App Payment Processors		41	
24				41	
25		1.	Google Uses Illegal Ties and Exclusive Contracts to Mandate Use of Google Play Billing	44	
26		2.	Google Abuses Its Monopoly Power by Imposing an		
27			Arbitrary and Unconscionable Tax on Consumers and App Developers	46	
28		3.	Google's Conduct Destroys Competition in the Android App		



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS (cont.)				
2		<u>Page</u>			
3	IAP Market and Harms Consumers and App Developers	51			
4 5	G. Match Group Offers Consumers an Alternative and Competitive In- App Payment Option	53			
6	H. Google Allows Match Group's Apps to Remain on Google Play, Recognizing That Match Group's Payment Options Do Not Violate Google's Policies	55			
7	I. Abusing its Monopoly Power, Google Abruptly Changed Its Policies				
9	J. Google's Anti-Competitive Conduct Has Irreparably Harmed Match Group and its Customers	64			
10	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	66			
11	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	68			
12	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	69			
13	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	71			
14	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION	73			
15	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION	75			
16	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	78			
17	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION	80			
18	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION	81			
19	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	83			
20	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION84				
21	TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION86				
22	THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION88				
23	FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION89				
24	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION	91			
25	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	92			
26					
27					
28					



## **INTRODUCTION**<sup>1</sup>

1. This is a case about the strategic manipulation of markets, broken promises, and abuse of power that Google LLC<sup>2</sup> has employed to illegally foreclose competition in the world's biggest mobile device ecosystem, Android, and become one of the largest, most powerful companies in the world. Google convinced billions around the world to use the Android mobile operating system ("Android" or "Android OS") on promises of an open ecosystem, flexibility, and a focus on the user. Through those platitudes and promises and the anticompetitive tactics detailed in this complaint, Google illegally monopolized the market for distributing apps on Android devices with its Google Play Store ("Google Play")—making it today the only viable choice a mobile application ("app") developer has to reach Android users. Now, Google seeks to eliminate user choice of payment services and raise prices on consumers by extending its dominance to the separate market for in-app payment ("IAP") processors on Android. It is conditioning app availability on Google Play with exclusive use of its own in-app payment processing product, Google Play Billing, where it can charge supra-competitive prices and monetize the personal data of billions of digital app users.

2. Ten years ago, Match Group was Google's partner. We are now its hostage. Google lured app developers to its platform with assurances that we could offer users a choice over how to pay for the services they want. But once it monopolized the market for Android app distribution with Google Play by riding the coattails of the most popular app developers, Google sought to ban alternative in-app

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unless noted otherwise, throughout this complaint, "Google" refers to Google LLC and all other Google entity defendants.



<sup>24</sup> 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For the purposes of this complaint, the term "Match Group" includes only the operating entities named as Plaintiffs. Match Group LLC; Humor Rainbow, Inc.; PlentyofFish Media ULC; and People Media, Inc. are part of the Match Group family of companies with the ultimate parent company Match Group, Inc. ("MGI"), a nonoperating holding company. MGI's other subsidiaries are not included in the definition of "Match Group" in this complaint. Match Group asserts the allegations 26 in this complaint upon personal knowledge as to itself and its own acts and experiences and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including an investigation conducted by its attorneys.

<sup>27</sup> 

- 3. Google monetizes Android, in part, by operating Google Play and a separate in-app payment processing service called Google Play Billing. Over the last decade, through bait and switch tactics that exploited the very app developers it so ardently courted and claimed to support and by paying off potential competitors not to compete, Google has grown Google Play into the only viable Android app marketplace. If a developer wants users to find its app, that app must be on Google Play.
- 4. But that was not enough for Google. It also wanted to control the much more lucrative in-app payment processing market on Android. Every year, consumers spend tens of billions of dollars on Android apps. And that number increases every year. When those transactions involve the purchase of "digital goods or services" using Google Play Billing, Google keeps as much as 30% for itself. Google disingenuously calls this extortionate tax a "fee" even though it is nearly ten times the actual fees other payment processors charge in competitive marketplaces.
- 5. Further, what constitutes a "digital good or service" is ill-defined and arbitrarily applied. Clothing and food delivery and ride sharing apps do not qualify. But Match Group's dating apps do qualify, even though they enable users to meet in the real world for a date, just like a ride sharing app enables a user to find a driver in the real world for a ride.
- 6. Google's "fee" also bears no relation to the cost or value of services Google provides developers. Indeed, all developers with apps on Google Play benefit from the exact same services, and they all pay Google a \$25.00 registration fee. Yet only the small handful who sell "digital goods and services," again, as arbitrarily defined by Google, pay the Google tax, which results in pure non-competitive profit to Google. It also allows Google to collect massive volumes of user data that Google can then monetize.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

