# Case 5:22-cv-03902-VKD Document 1 Filed 07/01/22 Page 1 of 9

1 2	Daniel M. Hutchinson (SBN 239458) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415.956.1000 Facsimile: 415.956.1008 dhutchinson@lchb.com	
3		
4		
5	Rachel Geman (pro hac vice motion forthcoming) Jessica Moldovan (pro hac vice motion forthcoming) LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP 250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, NY 10013-1413 Telephone: 212.355.9500 Facsimile: 212.355.9592 rgeman@lchb.com jmoldovan@lchb.com	
6		
7		
8		
10	Charles J. Stiegler, (SBN 245973)	
11	STIEGLER LAW FIRM LLC 318 Harrison Ave., Suite 104 New Orleans, LA 70124 Telephone: 504.267.0777 Facsimile: 504.513.3084 Charles@StieglerLawFirm.com	
12		
13		
14	Robert B. Landry III (pro hac vice motion forthcoming) ROBERT B. LANDRY III, PLC 5420 Corporate Boulevard, Suite 204 Baton Rouge, LA 70808 Telephone: 225.349.7460 Facsimile: 225.349.7466 rlandry@landryfirm.com	
15		
16		
17		
18	Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed FLSA Collective	
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
20	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
21	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
22	ANTHONY P. FOREMAN, individually,	Case No. 5:22-cy-03902
23	and on behalf of all persons similarly situated.	COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT
24	Plaintiff, vs.	AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND
25	APPLE, INC.	
26	Defendant.	
27		
20		



Plaintiff Anthony P. Foreman ("Foreman" or "Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings this action against Apple, Inc. ("Apple" or "Defendant") and alleges as follows:

### **INTRODUCTION**

- 1. This is a collective action to recover overtime wages owed under federal law brought by Foreman, on behalf of all similarly situated former and current employees of Apple, who worked as a Solutions Consultant within the past three years (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiffs" or the "FLSA Collective Plaintiffs").
- 2. For at least the past three years, Apple has failed to include all statutorily required forms of compensation—including commissions earned by Solutions Consultants—in determining the regular rate for purposes of calculating overtime pay.
- 3. In addition, Apple has failed to pay Solutions Consultants for all hours worked. Specifically, Apple has engaged in an unlawful pattern or practice of denying earned overtime to its Solutions Consultant by requiring them to begin their workday at home via online videoconferences, to clock out after these videoconferences were complete, and to then travel to their work site location, i.e., next job assignment, without being paid for their time in transit.
- 4. These practices violate the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.*, and its implementing regulations.
- 5. On behalf of himself and the FLSA Collective, Plaintiff seeks actual and liquidated damages, including but not limited to damages for willful violations of the FLSA, as well as fees and costs, for Apple's violations of the FLSA.

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. The Court has jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question), 28 U.S.C. § 1337 (actions arising under Acts of Congress regulating commerce) and 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) (the FLSA).
- 7. The United States District Court for the Northern District of California has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant has its principal place of business in this District and does business in California and in this District.



- 8. Venue is proper in this Court because Defendant has its principal place of business in Santa Clara County and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this judicial district. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
  - 9. Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury.

### **PARTIES**

- 10. Foreman is an individual of the full age of majority, domiciled in Livingston Parish, Louisiana. He began working for Defendant in 2014 and resigned his employment in February 2022.
- 11. Foreman's consent to file this Complaint is evidenced by his signature on the FLSA Consent Form attached as an exhibit hereto.
- 12. Named a defendant herein is Apple, a California corporation with its principal place of business in Cupertino, California. Based on information and belief, Defendant employs Solutions Consultants throughout the United States.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

## Background

- 13. Foreman worked for Apple as a "Solutions Consultant" in Baton Rouge,
  Louisiana. Solutions Consultants promote the sales of Apple solutions and products in the Apple
  section of retail store locations. During the times relevant to this lawsuit, Foreman's work
  location was in a Best Buy retail store in Baton Rouge, where he worked as a liaison between
  Apple and Best Buy (or Best Buy customers).
- 14. At all material times, Foreman and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs were "engaged in commerce" within the meaning of § 6 and § 7 of the FLSA, and subject to the individual coverage of the FLSA.
- 15. At all material times, the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs were the "employees" of Apple within the meaning of the FLSA.
- 16. At all material times, Defendant was and is an "enterprise engaged in commerce" within the meaning of the FLSA.



- 17. Defendant's annual sales made or business done was in excess of \$500,000 during all years relevant to this action.
  - 18. Foreman and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs were paid on an hourly basis.
- 19. Foreman and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs regularly work forty or more hours per week. However, they were not paid overtime for all hours worked over forty in a workweek and, when paid overtime, they were not paid at the correct rate.

### Overtime Violation – Regular Rate

- 20. Defendant did not properly calculate Plaintiffs' regular rate for purposes of determining overtime pay for Solutions Consultants, thereby dramatically underpaying them for overtime worked.
  - 21. Foreman and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs were paid on an hourly basis.
- 22. Foreman and the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs were also separately paid commissions.
- 23. In calculating Foreman's and Plaintiffs' regular rate for purposes of determining overtime pay, however, Defendant did not incorporate commission payments. As a result, the overtime rate was only one and one-half times Plaintiffs' hourly rate—not the combination of Plaintiffs' hourly rate and commission payments. The overtime rate was therefore lower than it should have been.

### Overtime Violation - Travel Time

- 24. Defendant also did not compensate Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs for time they spent in transit between mandatory work activities.
- 25. Two or three times a week, Plaintiff's manager scheduled a videoconference work meeting with Foreman and the other Solutions Consultants in his Region (the Region included parts of Texas, Louisiana, and stretched into Florida). These work meetings took place early in the morning, and the Solutions Consultants attended the meeting while at home.
- 26. These work meetings constituted an integral and indispensable part of Defendant's business, as the Solutions Consultants discussed new technologies and received mandatory instructions and required job information from their supervisors and the Regional Manager.



- 27. The time spent on these work meetings, at which attendance was mandatory, was more than *de minimis*.
- 28. Apple instructed Plaintiff (and all FLSA Collective Plaintiffs) to clock in for these meetings, which generally lasted about an hour. However, when the meeting ended, Apple instructed Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs to clock out before they immediately travelled on site to continue their workdays. Only upon arrival at their work sites were Plaintiff and the other FLSA Collective Plaintiffs told to clock back in.
- 29. This policy or practice was temporarily suspended during the coronavirus shutdown, due to work-from-home policies in effect at the time. However, in 2021, when the work-from-home policies ended, Defendant once again returned to the same practice of requiring Solutions Consultants to clock out after the videoconference work meetings and clock back in only upon arrival at their work sites, thereby not accounting for the time spent in transit.

## **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
- 31. Foreman brings this case as a collective action under the FLSA to recover unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, statutory penalties, attorney's fees and costs, and all other damages owed to him and all similarly situated employees of Defendant. The Collective is defined as:

All hourly paid employees of Apple Inc., holding the job title of Solutions Consultant, who worked within the three years prior to the date of filing of this Complaint.

- 32. There are numerous members of the FLSA Collective who have been affected by Defendant's improper policies and practices as alleged herein.
- 33. The precise number of FLSA Collective Plaintiffs can be readily identified and located using Defendant's timesheets, payroll, and personnel records. Given the composition and size of the FLSA Collective Plaintiffs, potential opt-in class members may be informed of the pendency of this Collective Action by direct mail, text message, and email.



# DOCKET A L A R M

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

