	Case 3:16-cv-00661-JLS-BGS D	ocument 81	Filed 05/18/18	PageID.1305	Page 1 of 2
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	THE SCRIPPS RESEARCH		Case No.:	16-CV-661 JL	S (BGS)
12	INSTITUTE,	Plaintiff.	ORDER G	GRANTING J	OINT
13	v.	i iumuni,	MOTION	; ENTERING nt	FINAL
14	ILLUMINA, INC.,		(ECF No. 8		
15	Defendant.				
16					
17	Presently before the Court is the Plaintiff The Scripps Research Institute and				
18	Defendant Illumina, Inc.'s Joint Motion and Stipulation Re Final Judgment, ("MTN," ECF				
19	No. 80).				
20	Based upon this Court's Order on Claim Construction dated April 10, 2018, the				
21	Parties have stipulated that none of the bead array products accused of infringement in The				
22	Scripps Research Institute's First Amended Complaint (the "Accused Products") satisfy				

the "a", " $(Z_n)_a$ ", " $(X_n)_a$ ", and "B is a linker molecule operatively linked to A and C" limitations of Claims 1, 3, 10, and 16 (collectively, "the Asserted Claims") of U.S. Patent No. 6,060,596 ("the '596 Patent"). (*See* MTN ¶¶ 10–11.) Moreover, the Parties have stipulated that none of the Accused Products meet the requirement that "a" have the same value in $(X_n)_a$ and $(Z_n)_a$ for a given bifunctional molecule. The Parties have therefore stipulated that Defendant is entitled to a judgment of non-infringement as a matter of law

R

М

as to all of Plaintiff's Asserted Claims as set forth in the Joint Motion. The Court **GRANTS** the Joint Motion. Accordingly, it is **HEREBY ORDERED**, **ADJUDICATED**, and **DECREED**:

Judgment is entered against Plaintiff and for Defendant as to Plaintiff's claims for patent infringement based on the parties' Joint Motion of Non-Infringement;

The Court further enters judgment for Defendant and against Plaintiff and declares claims 1, 3, 10, and 16 of the '596 Patent not infringed by Defendant. Plaintiff shall take nothing from Defendants with respect to the Asserted Claims of the '596 Patent;

Defendant is the prevailing party for purposes of recovering costs.

The Clerk **SHALL** close the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 18, 2018

Hon. Janis L. Sammartino United States District Judge

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.