

1 S. Edward Wicker (SBN 93057)  
 2 11440 W. Bernardo Court, Suite 300  
 3 San Diego, California 92127  
 4 Telephone: (760) 735-6100  
edward@ewickerlaw.com

5 Gregory M. Garrison, Esq. (SBN 165215)  
 6 GREGORY M. GARRISON, APC  
 7 6886 Mimosa Drive  
 8 Carlsbad, California 92011  
 9 Telephone: (619) 708-1628  
greg@garrisonapc.com

10 Alexander E. Papaefthimiou, Esq. (SBN 236930)  
 11 PAPAEFTHIMIOU APC  
 12 1601 Carmen Drive, Suite 212D  
 13 Camarillo, California 93010  
 Telephone: (805) 366-3909  
alex@aplitigation.com

14 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 16 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 AGRO DYNAMICS, LLC a Wyoming Limited  
 18 Liability Company,

Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, DRUG  
 21 ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION, SAN  
 22 DIEGO COUNTY, and SPECIAL AGENTS PAUL  
 23 GELLES, ERIC BALL, KIERAN GRACIA,  
 24 MARSHA DAWE, ROSS VAN NOSTRAND,  
 25 AND JEREMY FEUZ; FRANK HASKELL,  
 26 ANDREW AGUILAR, JASON STEIN,  
 27 TIMOTHY SMITH, MICHAEL ASTORGA,  
 CHRISTOPHER MORRIS, SGT. STEVE  
 BODINE, DET. JUSTIN MOORE, DET.  
 DWAYNE PRICKETT, DET. CHRISTOPHER  
 PEREZ, AND DET. RICARDO ANDRADE; and  
 DOES 1 to 50 inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. **3:20-cv-02082-JAH-KSC**

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT**

1. 42 U.S.C. § 1983
2. Cal. Civ. Code § 52.1
3. Violation of United States Constitution
4. Violation of California Constitution
5. Conversion
6. Trespass to Chattels
7. Negligence

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

## **INTRODUCTION**

On or about December 20, 2018, the Federal Farm bill authorized the legal cultivation of hemp. On August 22, 2019, Plaintiffs herein obtained a Registration Issuance from the County of San Diego for the legal cultivation of hemp on their premises. Relying on this permit, Plaintiffs expended considerable time and money and planted approximately 3000 hemp plants that, according to a laboratory test, had less than .3% THC. On September 10, 2019 a law enforcement officer, Defendant Paul Gelles, conducted aerial reconnaissance in support of marijuana eradication operations in the area. Based on the officer's observations of what appeared to be growing marijuana and the failure of law enforcement to make any investigation of San Diego County records which would have revealed the hemp Registration Issuance, as well as other material misstatements and omissions, a search warrant was issued on September 11, 2019. The next day, September 12, 2019, law enforcement officers executed the search warrant. Upon their arrival on the premises, a tenant in possession advised the officers that there was a legal Registration Issuance from the County of San Diego for the hemp growing on the premises. Law enforcement disregarded this information and continued to seize and destroy all plants that appeared to be marijuana.

## **THE PARTIES**

1. Plaintiff Agro Dynamics, LLC is limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Wyoming and registered with the California Secretary of State to conduct business in California.

2. Defendant United States of America is a sovereign nation.

3. Defendant Drug Enforcement Administration is a United States federal law enforcement agency under the United States Department of Justice, tasked with combating drug trafficking and distribution within the United States.

4. Defendant San Diego County is, and at all times herein alleged was, a legal subdivision and public entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California.

1           5. Defendant Special Agent Paul Gelles is now, and at all times herein  
2 alleged was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information  
3 and belief, Special Agent Gelles is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of  
4 the County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Gellens is sued in his both his  
5 individual and official capacities.

6           6. Defendant Special Agent Eric Ball is now, and at all times herein alleged  
7 was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
8 belief, Special Agent Ball is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the  
9 County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Ball is sued in his both his individual  
10 and official capacities.

11          7. Defendant Special Agent Kieran Garcia is now, and at all times herein  
12 alleged was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information  
13 and belief, Special Agent Garcia is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of  
14 the County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Garcia is sued in his both his  
15 individual and official capacities.

16          8. Defendant Special Agent Marsha Dawe is now, and at all times herein  
17 alleged was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information  
18 and belief, Special Agent Dawe is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of  
19 the County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Dawe is sued in his both her  
20 individual and official capacities.

21          9. Defendant Special Agent Ross Van Nostrand is now, and at all times  
22 herein alleged was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On  
23 information and belief, Special Agent Van Nostrand is a citizen of the State of  
24 California and a resident of the County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Van  
25 Nostrand is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

26          10. Defendant Special Agent Jeremy Feuz is now, and at all times herein  
27 alleged was, a Special Agent of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information  
28 and belief, Special Agent Feuz is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of

1 the County of San Diego. Defendant Special Agent Fuez is sued in his both his  
2 individual and official capacities.

3 11. Defendant Frank Haskell is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
4 Task Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
5 belief, Frank Haskell is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County  
6 of San Diego. Defendant Haskell is sued in his both his individual and official  
7 capacities.

8 12. Defendant Andrew Aguilar is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
9 Task Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
10 belief, Andrew Aguilar is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the  
11 County of San Diego. Defendant Aguilar is sued in his both his individual and official  
12 capacities.

13 13. Defendant Jason Stein is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a Task  
14 Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and belief,  
15 Jason Stein is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San  
16 Diego. Defendant Stein is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

17 14. Defendant Timothy Smith is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
18 Task Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
19 belief, Mr. Smith is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of  
20 San Diego. Defendant Smith is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

21 15. Defendant Michael Astorga is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
22 Task Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
23 belief, Mr. Astorga is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County  
24 of San Diego. Defendant Astorga is sued in his both his individual and official  
25 capacities.

26 16. Defendant Christopher Morris is now, and at all times herein alleged was,  
27 a Task Force Officer of the Drug Enforcement Administration. On information and  
28

1 belief, Mr. Morris is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of  
2 San Diego. Defendant Morris is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

3 17. Defendant Steve Bodine is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
4 Sergeant of the San Diego Sheriff's Department. On information and belief, Mr.  
5 Bodine is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San Diego.  
6 Defendant Bodine is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

7 18. Defendant Justin Moore is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
8 Detective of the San Diego Sheriff's Department. On information and belief, Mr.  
9 Moore is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San Diego.  
10 Defendant Moore is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

11 19. Defendant Dwayne Prickett is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
12 Detective of the San Diego Sheriff's Department. On information and belief, Mr.  
13 Prickett is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San Diego.  
14 Defendant Prickett is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

15 20. Defendant Christopher Perez is now, and at all times herein alleged was,  
16 a Detective of the San Diego Sheriff's Department. On information and belief, Mr.  
17 Perez is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San Diego.  
18 Defendant Perez is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

19 21. Defendant Ricardo Andrade is now, and at all times herein alleged was, a  
20 Detective of the San Diego Sheriff's Department. On information and belief, Mr.  
21 Andrade is a citizen of the State of California and a resident of the County of San  
22 Diego. Defendant Andrade is sued in his both his individual and official capacities.

23 22. DOES 1 through 50 are unknown to Plaintiff and include, without  
24 limitation, additional officers, supervisors, agencies, divisions or entities who were  
25 involved in, participated in, approved, ratified or knowingly failed to stop the wrongful  
26 conduct alleged herein. Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to identify them when their  
27 identities are ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each of DOES 1  
28 through 50 was the principal, parent, subsidiary, affiliate, agent, servant, employee,

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.