

1 THOMAS E. MONTGOMERY, County Counsel
County of San Diego
2 By MELISSA M. HOLMES, Senior Deputy (SBN 220961)
STEVEN P. INMAN, II, Senior Deputy (SBN 227748)
3 1600 Pacific Highway, Room 355
San Diego, California 92101-2469
4 Telephone: (619) 531-5836
Exempt From Filing Fees Per Gov't Code §6103

5
6 Attorneys for Defendants County of San Diego, Ricardo Andrade, Steve Bodine, Justin
Moore, Christopher Perez and Dwayne Prickett

7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10
11 AGRO DYNAMICS, LLC a Wyoming
Limited Liability Company,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DRUG ENFORCEMENT
15 ADMINISTRATION, SAN DIEGO
COUNTY, and SPECIAL AGENTS PAUL
16 GELLES, ERIC BALL, KIERAN
GARCIA, MARSHA DAWE, ROSS VAN
17 NOSTRAND, AND JEREMY FEUZ;
FRANK HASKELL, ANDREW
18 AGUILAR, JASON STEIN, TIMOTHY
SMITH, MICHAEL ASTORGA,
19 CHRISTOPHER MORRIS, SGT. STEVE
BODINE, DET. JUSTIN MOORE, DET.
20 DWAYNE PRICKETT, DET.
CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, AND DET.
21 RICARDO ANDRADE; and DOES 1 to 50
inclusive,

22 Defendants.
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 20-cv-2082-JAH (KSC)

**MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS COUNTY OF SAN
DIEGO, STEVE BODINE, JUSTIN
MOORE, DWAYNE PRICKETT,
CHRISTOPHER PEREZ, AND
RICARDO ANDRADE'S MOTION TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S FIRST
AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Date: January 27, 2021
Time: 10:30 a.m.
Judge: Hon. John A. Houston
Department: 13B

**NO ORAL ARGUMENT UNLESS
REQUESTED BY COURT**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 I. INTRODUCTION1

3 II. ALLEGATIONS IN FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT2

4 III. STANDARD FOR MOTION TO DISMISS.....2

5 IV. ARGUMENT.....4

6 A. Plaintiff’s Section 1983 Claim Against the County Fails Because Plaintiff

7 Fails to Allege a Policy, Custom or Practice4

8 B. Qualified Immunity Shields the Deputies from Liability Under Section

9 1983 in this Matter.....5

10 C. Plaintiff’s Claims for Violation of U.S. Constitution Fails Because No

11 Direct Claim Exists for Violation of the U.S. Constitution.....6

12 D. The County Defendants are Immune from Liability for Plaintiff’s State

13 Law Claims.....6

14 E. Plaintiff’s Bane Act Claim also Fails Because Plaintiff Has Not Plead

15 Facts to Show Intentional Interference, Threats, Intimidation or Coercion.....9

16 F. Plaintiff’s Violation of California Constitution Claim Separately Fails

17 Because Property Damaged During Execution of a Warrant is Not a Taking

18 and No Private Right of Action Exists under Article I, Sections 7 & 13.....10

19 V. CONCLUSION12

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
<i>Act Up!/ Portland v. Bagley</i> , 988 F.2d 868 (9th Cir.1993)	5
<i>Allen v. City of Sacramento</i> , 234 Cal. App. 4th 41 (2015)	9
<i>Armstrong v. Sexson</i> , 2007 WL 2288297 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2007)	10, 11
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 556 U.S. 662 (2009)	3, 10
<i>Azul-Pacifico, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles</i> , 973 F.2d 704 (9th Cir. 1992)	6
<i>Baughman v. State of California</i> , 38 Cal.App.4th 182, (1995)	6, 8
<i>Bd. of Cty. Comm'rs of Bryan Cty., v. Brown</i> 520 U.S. 397 (1997)	4, 6
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007)	3
<i>Bradley v. Med. Bd.</i> , 56 Cal. App. 4th 445 (1997)	11
<i>Buzayan v. City of Davis Police Dep't</i> , 2007 WL 2288334 (E.D. Cal. Aug. 8, 2007)	11
<i>Cholla Ready-Mix Inc. v. Civish</i> , 382 F.3d 969 (9th Cir. 2004)	3
<i>Cty. of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> , 181 Cal. App. 4th 218 (2009)	7
<i>Customer Co. v. City of Sacramento</i> , 10 Cal.4th 368 (1995)	10
<i>Fed'n of African Am. Contractors v. City of Oakland</i> , 96 F.3d 1204 (9th Cir. 1996)	4
<i>Gillan v. City of San Marino</i> , 147 Cal. App. 4th 1033 (2007)	7

1 *Gompper v. Visx, Inc.*,
 298 F.3d 893 (9th Cir. 2002) 3

2

3 *Ileto v. Glock, Inc.*,
 349 F.3d 1191 (9th Cir. 2003) 2

4

5 *Ivey v. Bd. of Regents of Univ. of Alaska*,
 673 F.2d 266 (9th Cir. 1982) 3

6

7 *Liston v. Cty. of Riverside*,
 120 F.3d 965 (9th Cir. 1997) 5

8

9 *Monell v. Department of Social Services*,
 436 U.S. 658 (1978) 4

10

11 *Navarro v. Block*,
 250 F.3d 729 (9th Cir. 2001) 3

12

13 *Neely v. Feinstein*,
 50 F.3d 1502 (9th Cir.1995) 5, 6

14

15 *Ogborn v. City of Lancaster*,
 101 Cal. App. 4th 448, 458 at n.5 (2002) 11

16

17 *Pembaur v. Cincinnati*,
 475 U.S. 469 (1986) 4

18

19 *Reese v. Cty. of Sacramento*,
 888 F.3d 1030 (9th Cir. 2018) 9, 10

20

21 *San Jose Charter of The Hell’s Angels Motorcycle Club v. City of San Jose*,
 1999 WL 1211672 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 6, 1999) 8

22

23 *Shoyoye v. Cty. of Los Angeles*,
 203 Cal. App. 4th 947 (2012) 9

24

25 *Sprewell v. Golden State Warriors*,
 266 F.3d 969 (9th Cir. 2001) 3

26

27 *Van Ort v. Estate of Stanewich*,
 92 F.3d 831 (9th Cir. 1996) 4, 6

28

29 *Varlitskiy v. Cty. of Riverside*,
 2020 WL 4187769 (C.D. Cal. Apr. 23) 6

RULES/STATUES

California Civil Code

Section 52.1 1, 6, 9

California Government Code

Section 815.2 1, 8, 9

Section 821.6 *passim*

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

Rule 12(b)(6) 2

Article I of the California Constitution

Section 7 1, 10, 11

Section 13 1, 10, 11

Section 19 11

Title 42 Unites States Code

Section 1983 1, 4, 5, 6

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.