

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

David R. Singer (Cal. Bar No. 204699)

dsinger@jenner.com

Kate T. Spelman (Cal. Bar No. 269109)

kspelman@jenner.com

515 S. Flower Street, Suite 3300

Los Angeles, CA 90071-2246

Telephone: (213) 239-5100

Facsimile: (213) 239-5199

Attorneys for Defendant

Apple Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

L.W., *minor child through her legal guardian Jane Doe, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,*

Plaintiff,

v.

SNAP INC.; APPLE INC.; and GOOGLE LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-cv-00619-LAB-MDD

The Hon. Larry Alan Burns

**DEFENDANT APPLE INC.’S
MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND
AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF ITS
MOTION TO DISMISS AMENDED
COMPLAINT**

Hearing date: November 15, 2022

Hearing time: 11:15 AM

Courtroom: 14A (Carter Courthouse)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1

2 **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES** iii

3 **I. INTRODUCTION** 1

4 **II. BACKGROUND** 2

5 **A. Apple Operates the App Store Where Users Can Download**

6 **Third-Party Apps Containing Third-Party Content.** 2

7 **B. Chitter and Snap, Inc. Operate Third-Party Messaging Apps.**..... 4

8 **C. Plaintiffs Seek To Hold Apple Liable for Making Snapchat and**

9 **Chitter Available on the App Store.** 5

10 **III. ARGUMENT**..... 5

11 **A. Plaintiffs’ Complaint Must Be Dismissed for Lack of Article III**

12 **Standing.**..... 5

13 **B. Section 230 Bars Plaintiffs’ Claims Because They Seek To Hold**

14 **Apple Liable as the Publisher of Third-Party Content on a Third-**

15 **Party App.** 7

16 **1. Apple Satisfies the Three-Prong Test for Section 230**

17 **Immunity.**..... 7

18 **2. Plaintiffs’ Products Liability and TVPA Claims Cannot**

19 **Evade Apple’s Section 230 Immunity.** 10

20 **a) Section 230 Bars Plaintiffs’ Products Liability**

21 **Claims Under *Lemmon*.**..... 10

22 **b) *Internet Brands* Supports Dismissal.**..... 11

23 **c) FOSTA’s Narrow Exemption Is Inapplicable to**

24 **Plaintiffs’ TVPA Claim.** 12

25 **C. Plaintiffs’ Complaint Should Be Dismissed Because It Fails To**

26 **State a Claim Upon Which Relief Can Be Granted.**..... 14

27 **1. Plaintiffs Fail To State a Civil Claim Under the TVPA.**..... 14

28 **2. Plaintiffs Fail To State a Products Liability Claim.** 17

1 **3. Plaintiffs Fail To State A Failure To Warn Claim. 18**

2 **4. Plaintiffs’ Misrepresentation Claims Fail Under Rule 9(b)..... 20**

3 **5. Plaintiffs Fail To Allege Essential Elements of Their**

4 **Consumer Protection Claims. 22**

5 **6. Plaintiffs’ Negligence Claims Fail for Lack of an**

6 **Affirmative Duty. 24**

7 **7. Plaintiffs’ Remaining Claims Fail as a Matter of Law..... 25**

8 **IV. CONCLUSION 25**

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

A.B. v. Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc., 484 F. Supp. 3d 921 (D. Or. 2020).....17

AF Holdings, LLC v. Doe, No. 12CV02048, 2012 WL 4747170 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 3, 2012)24

Altman v. HO Sports Co., No. 09-CV-1000, 2009 WL 4163512 (E.D. Cal. Nov. 23, 2009)19

Anthony v. Buena Vista Home Entertainment Inc., No. 215CV009593, 2016 WL 6836950 (C.D. Cal. Sept. 28, 2016)18

In Re: Apple Inc. App Store Simulated Casino-Style Games Litigation, No. 21-md-02985, 2022 WL 4009918 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2, 2022)9–10

Ashcroft v. Iqbal, 556 U.S. 662 (2009).....21

Avakian v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 827 F. App’x 765 (9th Cir. 2020).....20

Estate of B.H. v. Netflix, Inc., No. 21-CV-06561, 2022 WL 551701 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 12, 2022)18

B.M. v. Wyndham Hotels & Resorts, Inc., No. 20-CV-00656, 2020 WL 4368214 (N.D. Cal. July 30, 2020).....15, 17

Barnes v. Yahoo!, Inc., 570 F.3d 1096 (9th Cir. 2009)7, 8

Beckman v. Match.com, No. 13-CV-97, 2013 WL 2355512 (D. Nev. May 29, 2013), *aff’d in relevant part*, 668 F. App’x 759 (9th Cir. 2016).....9

Blantz v. California Department of Corrections & Rehabilitation, 727 F.3d 917, 926–27 (9th Cir. 2013).....6–7

Boruta v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., No. 19-CV-03164, 2019 WL 4010367 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 26, 2019)7

Brown v. USA Taekwondo, 483 P.3d 159 (Cal. 2021).....24

Cagwin v. Centralized Showing Service Inc., No. 20-CV-03033, 2022 WL 952875 (D. Colo. Mar. 30, 2022)21, 23

1 *Calise v. Meta Platforms, Inc.*, No. 21-CV-06186, 2022 WL 1240860 (N.D.
 2 Cal. Apr. 27, 2022)8–9

3 *Cervantes v. Aurora Loan Servs. LLC*, No. 11-CV-725, 2011 WL 13101273
 4 (S.D. Cal. Aug. 10, 2011)22

5 *Christenson v. CitiMortgage, Inc.*, No. 12-CV-02600, 2015 WL 1757076 (D.
 6 Colo. Apr. 14, 2015)23

7 *City of Los Angeles v. Lyons*, 461 U.S. 95 (1983).....5–6

8 *City of San Diego v. Monsanto Co.*, 334 F. Supp. 3d 1072 (S.D. Cal. 2018)25

9 *Coffee v. Google, LLC*, No. 20-CV-03901, 2021 WL 493387 (N.D. Cal. Feb.
 10 10, 2021)8

11 *Conroy v. Ridge Tool Co.*, No. 20-CV-05882, 2022 WL 911138 (N.D. Cal.
 12 Feb. 3, 2022)20

13 *Corbis Corp. v. Amazon.com, Inc.*, 351 F. Supp. 2d 1090 (W.D. Wash. 2004).....9

14 *Dehen v. Does 1-100*, No. 17CV198, 2018 WL 4502336 (S.D. Cal. Sept. 19,
 15 2018)7

16 *Dent v. NFL*, 902 F.3d 1109 (9th Cir. 2018)24

17 *Diep v. Apple, Inc.*, No. 21-cv-10063, 2022 WL 4021776 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 2,
 18 2022)9

19 *Doe #1 v. MG Freesites, LTD*, No. 21-CV-00220, 2022 WL 407147 (N.D.
 20 Ala. Feb. 9, 2022)15, 16

21 *Doe 1 v. Red Roof Inns, Inc.*, No. 19-CV-03840, 2020 WL 1872335 (N.D.
 22 Ga. Apr. 13, 2020)17

23 *Doe 3 v. Red Roof Inns, Inc.*, No. 19-CV-03843, 2020 WL 1872333 (N.D.
 24 Ga. Apr. 13, 2020), *aff'd sub nom. Doe #1 v. Red Roof Inns, Inc.*, 21 F.4th
 714 (11th Cir. 2021).....14

25 *Doe No. 1 v. Uber Tech’s, Inc.*, 294 Cal. Rptr. 3d 664 (Cal. Ct. App. 2022)24

26 *Doe v. Epic Games, Inc.*, 435 F. Supp. 3d 1024 (N.D. Cal. 2020).....21

27 *Doe v. Internet Brands, Inc.*, 824 F.3d 846 (9th Cir. 2016)8, 10, 11, 12

28

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.