| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | Sophia M. Rios (SBN 305801) BERGER MONTAGUE PC 401 B Street, Suite 2000 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel: (619) 489-0300 Email: srios@bm.net [additional counsel listed on signature page] | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| 7 | Counsel for Plaintiff and the Proposed Class | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES D | ISTRICT COURT |
| 10 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 11 | SOUTHERNOISTRIC | |
| 12 | SHERRY BASON; LOIS WINN; GEORGES EMMANUEL NJONG | Case No. <u>'22CV1611 WQHMDD</u> |
| 13 14 | DIBOKI; JULIA SIMS; and SOPHIA WOODLAND, Individually and on | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT |
| 15 | Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, | |
| 16 | Plaintiffs, | JURY TRIAL DEMANDED |
| 17 | VS. | |
| 18 | REALPAGE, INC.; GREYSTAR REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC; LINCOLN | |
| 19 | PROPERTY CO.; FPI MANAGEMENT, INC.; MID- | |
| 20 | AMERICA APARTMENT COMMUNITIES, INC.; AVENUE5 | |
| 21 | RESIDENTIAL, LLC; EQUITY | |
| 22 | RESIDENTIAL; ESSEX PROPERTY TRUST, INC; THRIVE | |
| 23 | COMMUNITIES MANAGEMENT, LLC; and SECURITY PROPERTIES | |
| 24 | INC., Defendants. | |
| 25 | | 1 |
| 26 27 | | |



NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiffs Sherry Bason, Lois Winn, Georges Emmanuel Njong Diboki, Julia Sims, and Sophia Woodland challenge a cartel among lessors of multifamily residential real estate leases ("Lessors") to artificially inflate the prices of multifamily residential real estate in the United States above competitive levels.
- 2. Until approximately 2016, and potentially earlier, many of the nation's largest Lessors priced their leases based upon their own assessments of how to best compete against other Lessors. Lessors generally priced their units competitively to maximize occupancy (that is, maximizing output). Lessors had an incentive to lower their prices to attract lessees away from their competitors, until all available leases were sold. In this way, competition drove rent levels to reflect available supply of rental units and lessee demand. Lessors also independently determined when to put their leases on the market, resulting in unpredictable supply levels—a natural phenomenon in a competitive market. When supply exceeded demand, Lessors cut prices.
- 3. As a former industry executive explained, the market structure is "a classic prisoners' dilemma." Since residential real estate is a perishable resource (if a unit sits vacant for a month, a Lessor can never monetize that lost month of rent), Lessors favored a strategy of keeping "heads in the beds," a term for offering sufficiently attractive lease pricing to maximize physical occupancy levels in multifamily residential real estate properties. Thus, that industry executive opined, while all Lessors "would be better off limiting their rent reductions [discounts]," if any Lessor "lower[ed] their rents while the others don't, then that [Lessor] would outperform."
- 4. However, beginning in approximately 2016, and potentially earlier, Lessors replaced their independent pricing and supply decisions with collusion. Lessors agreed to use a common third party that collected real-time pricing and



recommendations. Lessors also agreed to follow these recommendations, on the expectation that competing Lessors would do the same.

- 5. That third party is RealPage, Inc. ("RealPage"). RealPage provides software and data analytics to Lessors. RealPage also serves as the mechanism by which Lessors collude and avoid competition, increasing lease prices to Plaintiffs and other members of the proposed Class. RealPage openly boasts that its services "balance supply and demand to maximize [Lessors'] revenue growth." And that is precisely what RealPage has done, facilitating an agreement among participating Lessors not to compete on price, and allowing Lessors to coordinate both pricing and supply through two mutually reinforcing mechanisms in furtherance of their agreed aim of suppressing price competition for multifamily residential real estate leases.
- 6. First, Lessors "outsource daily pricing and ongoing revenue oversight" to RealPage, replacing separate centers of independent decision-making with one. RealPage collects up-to-the-minute data on the historical and contemporaneous pricing from participating Lessors, data that, according to RealPage, is updated "every time [Lessors] make or change a [lease] renewal offer," spanning over "16 million units," which is a "very large chunk of the total inventory in the country." It standardizes this data to account for differences in the characteristics or "class" of the property in question, and then sets prices for participating Lessors using a common formula. RealPage touts that it sets pricing for Lessors' "properties as though we own them ourselves"—i.e., the participating Lessors' cartel replicates the market outcomes one would observe if they were a monopolist of residential leases, which is the goal of any cartel.
- 7. While Lessors are able reject the RealPage pricing through an onerous process, RealPage emphasizes the need for "discipline" among participating Lessors. To encourage adherence to its common scheme, RealPage explains that for its services to be most effective in increasing rents, Lessors must accept the pricing at



- 8. Second, RealPage allows participating Lessors to coordinate supply levels to avoid price competition. In a competitive market, there are periods where supply exceeds demand, and that in turn puts downward pressure on market prices as firms compete to attract lessees. To avoid the consequences of lawful competition, RealPage provides Lessors with information sufficient to "stagger" lease renewals to avoid oversupply. Lessors thus held vacant rental units unoccupied for periods of time (rejecting the historical adage to keep the "heads in the beds") to ensure that, collectively, there is not one period in which the market faces an oversupply of residential real estate properties for lease, keeping prices higher.
- 9. By staggering lease renewals to artificially smooth out natural imbalances of supply and demand, RealPage and participating Lessors also eliminate any incentive to undercut or cheat on the cartel (avoiding a race to the bottom, or "prisoner's dilemma"). This is a central mantra of RealPage, to sacrifice "physical" occupancy (i.e., to decrease output) in exchange for "economic" occupancy, a manufactured term RealPage uses to refer to increasing prices and decreasing occupancy (output) in the market.
- 10. RealPage's and participating Lessors' coordinated efforts have been effective at driving anticompetitive outcomes: higher prices and lower occupancy (output). RealPage brags that participating Lessors experience "[r]ental rate improvements, year over year, between 5% and 12% in every market." One Lessor said that the net effect of raising rents and "pushing people out" of the residential real estate leases they could no longer afford, was "10 million in income." As discussed below, RealPage and participating Lessors have accomplished this task even under

tuma and as the Carid 10 mandamis

- RealPage is proud of its role in the exploding increase in the prices of 12. residential leases. In a marketing video used to attract additional Lessors to the conspiracy, a RealPage Vice President discussed the recent and never-before seen price increases for residential real estate leases, as high as 14.5% in some markets. When another RealPage executive asks: "What role has the [RealPage] software played" in those increases, the RealPage Vice President responded: "I think it's driving it, quite honestly."
- The conspiracy Plaintiffs challenge is unlawful under Section 1 of the Sherman Act. Plaintiffs bring this action to recover their damages, trebled, as well as injunctive and other appropriate relief, detailed infra, on behalf of all others similarly situated.



1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

