1
2
3
4
5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
7
8
9
10
11
12
12 13 14 15 16 17 18
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

ELEC.	TR	ON	ICAL	LY	FILED

Superior Court of California County of Alameda

09/22/2022

Chad Finke	, Executive Officer / Cler	k of the Cour
By:	V. Hutton	Deputy

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP 400 South Hope Street, 8th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.896.2400 Fax No.: 213.896.2450 Email: thomas.hill@hklaw.com christina.tellado@hklaw.com Sara A. Begley (application for admission pro *hac vice forthcoming*) sara.begley@hklaw.com **HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP** 2929 Arch Street, Suite 800 Philadelphia, PA 19104 Telephone: (215) 252-9600 Facsimile: (215) 867-6070 Attorneys for Defendant Tesla, Inc. (Additional counsel listed on next page)

Thomas E. Hill (SBN 100861) Christina T. Tellado (SBN 298597)

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING, an agency of the State of California,

Plaintiff,

v.

TESLA, INC., doing business in California as TESLA MOTORS, INC., and DOES ONE through FIFTY, inclusive,

Defendants.

No.: 22CV006830

Assigned To The Honorable Evelio Grillo

DEFENDANT TESLA, INC.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED CIVIL RIGHTS **COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND** MONETARY RELIEF AND DAMAGES



```
1 Additional Counsel for Defendant Tesla, Inc.:
   Jeremy M. Sternberg (application for admission pro hac vice forthcoming)
   jeremy.sternberg@hklaw.com
   HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP
   10 St. James Avenue, 11th Floor
   Boston, MA 02116
   Telephone: (617) 523-2700
 5
   Facsimile: (617) 523-6850
 6
   Raymond A. Cardozo (SBN 173263)
   rcardozo@reedsmith.com
   Brian A. Sutherland (SBN 248486)
 8 | bsutherland@reedsmith.com
   REED SMITH LLP
   101 Second Street, Suite 1800
    San Francisco, CA 94105-3659
10
   Telephone: (415) 543-8700
   Facsimile: (415) 391-8269
11
12 | Tyree P. Jones Jr. (SBN 127631)
   tpjones@reedsmith.com
13 REED SMITH LLP
    1301 K Street, N.W., Suite 1000
14 Washington, DC 20005-3317
    Telephone: (202) 414-9200
15
    Facsimile: (202) 414-9299
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```



4

5

1

8

9

12 13

15

16

17

20

21

22 23

24

Defendant Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla") hereby answers the First Amended Civil Rights Complaint for Injunctive and Monetary Relief and Damages ("FAC") of Plaintiff California Civil Rights Department ("CRD") (formerly known as the California "Department of Fair Employment and Housing") as follows:

- 1. Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 1 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 1-4 as alleged. To the extent that Paragraph 1 and its accompanying Footnotes 1-4 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- Tesla admits that its factory in Fremont is located in the San Francisco Bay Area, and that the Fremont factory is the original site of the final assembly of Tesla's electric vehicles. Tesla also admits that it has a manufacturing plant in Lathrop, California. To the extent that Paragraph 2 and its accompanying Footnote 5 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted, Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 2 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnote 5.
- 3. Tesla admits that the workforce at its Fremont factory is currently non-union, and that it purchased the Fremont factory in 2010 and thereafter remodeled it. To the extent that Paragraph 3 and its accompanying Footnotes 6–7 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted, Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 3 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 6-7.
- 4. Tesla admits that the words "Our mission: to accelerate the world's transition to sustainable energy" appear on the Fremont factory. Tesla also admits that its Chief Executive Officer Elon Musk has been widely recognized as a visionary. To the extent that Paragraph 4 and its accompanying Footnotes 8–10 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted, Tesla states that it lacks information sufficient to allow it to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 4 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 8-10, and on that ground 28 denies those allegations.



- 5. Tesla admits that the retail pricing of its electric vehicle models varies, but denies that it "markets its vehicles" to any one type of consumer. To the extent that Paragraph 5 and its accompanying Footnote 11 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- 6. Tesla admits that it provides good-paying jobs and career opportunities to its employees in California. Except as otherwise admitted, Tesla states that it lacks information sufficient to allow it to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6 of the FAC and on that ground denies those allegations.
- 7. Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 7 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 12-13. To the extent that Paragraph 7 and its accompanying Footnotes 12–13 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- 8. Tesla admits that more than 15,000 individuals currently work at the factory. To the extent that Paragraph 8 and its accompanying Footnotes 14–17 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves. Except as otherwise admitted, Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 8 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 14-17.
- 9. Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 9 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 18-19. To the extent that Paragraph 9 and its accompanying Footnotes 18-19 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- 10. Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 10 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnote 20. To the extent that Paragraph 10 and its accompanying Footnote 20 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- 11. Tesla denies the allegations in Paragraph 11 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnotes 21-22. To the extent that Paragraph 11 and its accompanying Footnotes 21-22 refer to

5

3

- 8
- 10 11

9

- 13

- 19
- 20
- 22

21

- 23 24
- 26

- various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.
- 12. To the extent the allegations of Paragraph 12 of the FAC consist of legal conclusions, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Tesla admits that it announced in 2021 its plan to move its corporate headquarters from California to Texas. To the extent that Paragraph 12 and its accompanying Footnote 23 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves. Except as otherwise stated or admitted, Tesla denies the allegations.
- 13. The statutes and regulations cited in Paragraph 13 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnote 24 speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent the allegations of Paragraph 13 and its accompanying Footnote 24 consist of legal conclusions, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Tesla denies the allegations.
- 14. The statutes cited in Paragraph 14 of the FAC and its accompanying Footnote 25 speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent the allegations of Paragraph 14 and its accompanying Footnote 25 consist of legal conclusions, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Tesla admits that CRD purports to bring this lawsuit pursuant to certain statutory authority and to describe the nature of the claims pled against Tesla, but Tesla denies that CRD has the statutory authority to bring this lawsuit or to assert the claims that CRD has pled against Tesla.
- 15. The statutes and cases cited in Paragraph 15 of the FAC speak for themselves and require no response. To the extent the allegations in Paragraph 15 consist of legal conclusions, no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Tesla admits that CRD purports to describe its general authority to bring lawsuits and pursue remedies pursuant to certain statutes and other authority, but Tesla denies that CRD has any legal authority to bring this lawsuit against Tesla or to obtain any relief from Tesla on behalf of any entity or person.
- 16. Tesla admits the allegations in Paragraph 16 of the FAC. To the extent that Paragraph 16 and its accompanying Footnote 26 refer to various articles and public records, Tesla 28 denies any characterization thereof, as they are writings which speak for themselves.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

