| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8 | QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAL James R. Asperger (Bar No. 83188) jimasperger@quinnemanuel.com Stephen A. Broome (Bar No. 314605) stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com Lauren B. Lindsay (Bar No. 280516) laurenlindsay@quinnemanuel.com Josef Ansorge (pro hac application pending) josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com William R. Sears (Bar No. 330888) willsears@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 | FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 08/14/2020 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court By: A. Williams Deputy |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|
| 9<br>10                              | Attorneys for Defendants TWC PRODUCT AND TECHNOLOGY, LLC and INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION   |   |
| 11                                   | SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  |   |
| 12                                   | COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES  |   |
| 13                                   | THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,   | Case No.: 19STCV00605   |
| 14                                   | Plaintiff,   | JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  |
| 15                                   | vs.  | ORDER REGARDING SETTLEMENT  |
| 16                                   | TWC PRODUCT AND TECHNOLOGY, LLC, a Delaware corporation; INTERNATIONAL   | AND DISMISSAL OF THE CASE WITH PREJUDICE  |
| 17                                   | BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION, a New York corporation; and DOES 2-50,  | Assigned for All Purposes to  |
| 18                                   | inclusive,   | The Hon. Mark V. Mooney<br>Dept. 68   |
| 19                                   | Defendants.  | Action Filed: January 3, 2019   |
| 20                                   |  | Trial Date: May 17, 2021  |
| 21                                   |  |   |
| 22                                   |  |   |
| 23                                   |  |   |
| 24                                   |  |   |
| 25                                   |  |   |
| 26                                   |  |   |
| 27                                   |  |   |
| 20                                   |  |   |



## 

## JOINT STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND DISMISSAL

Plaintiff, The People of the State of California (the "People"), represented by the Los Angeles City Attorney ("City Attorney"), and Defendants TWC Product and Technology, LLC ("TWC") and International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM") (collectively, "Defendants"), respectfully submit this Joint Stipulation and [Proposed] Order regarding settlement and dismissal of the case with prejudice (the "Stipulation"):

WHEREAS, on January 3, 2019, the People filed a Complaint against TWC alleging, *inter alia*, that, TWC violated the Unfair Competition Law (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 *et seq.*) ("UCL") by employing deceptive, misleading, and unfair statements and omissions through The Weather Channel App (the "App"), including in the App's location-access permission prompt, regarding Defendants' advertising and commercial uses of location data collected through the App and the sharing of such data with third-parties;

WHEREAS, on February 26, 2019, TWC filed an Answer to the Complaint asserting, *inter alia*, that the information that the People claim TWC allegedly omitted from the App's location-access permission prompt was in fact disclosed in the App's online privacy policy, in compliance with the California Online Privacy Protection Act (Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 22575, *et seq.*) ("CalOPPA"), and also in the App's in-app Privacy Settings page;

WHEREAS, on June 12, 2019, the People amended their Complaint to add TWC's parent company, IBM, as a defendant, and on July 17, 2019, IBM answered the Complaint;

WHEREAS, on April 10, 2020, Defendants amended their Answers to add the defense of equitable abstention;

WHEREAS, since January 23, 2019, the parties have engaged in substantial discovery;

WHEREAS, on June 11, 2020, Defendants filed two motions for summary judgment, one seeking summary judgment on the People's UCL cause of action, and the other seeking summary judgment on Defendants' equitable abstention defense;

WHEREAS, the parties have reached agreement on terms for the settlement and dismissal of the case with prejudice;

WHEREAS, Defendants maintain that, at all relevant times, their disclosures to App users were transparent and fully complied with all applicable laws, including CalOPPA, the California Consumer Privacy Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1798, *et seq.*) ("CCPA"), and, to the extent it could be argued to apply, the UCL, and, accordingly, Defendants deny liability or fault of any kind;

WHEREAS, Defendants maintain that they routinely evaluate their disclosures to App users in light of evolving technologies, practices, laws, and regulations;

WHEREAS, the People have requested that Defendants make certain changes to the App's existing disclosures contained in the App's "just-in-time" notices to highlight and clarify certain of Defendants' practices relating to location data collected through the App, including certain practices that Defendants maintain previously were, and currently are, disclosed in the App's online privacy policy;

WHEREAS, Defendants maintain that, although the requested changes are not required under existing law, the requested changes are not inconsistent with existing law;

## ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED THAT:

- 1. The People release any and all claims against Defendants relating to the conduct alleged in the Complaint, including any claims that Defendants' disclosures to App users regarding Defendants' alleged use and sharing of location data collected through the App failed to comply with the UCL or any other applicable laws.
- 2. Defendants release any and all claims against the People related to the above-captioned action.
  - 3. This case shall be dismissed with prejudice upon entry of this Stipulation.
- 4. Defendants will revise the App's existing "Blue Screen" disclosure to reflect the language in Exhibit A.<sup>1</sup>
- 5. Defendants will revise the App's existing "Learn More" page to reflect the language in Exhibit B (collectively with the revisions reflected in Exhibit A, the "Revisions").<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Learn More page is a page displayed to a user of the App when they click the "Learn More" hyperlink in the



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Blue Screen is a prompt screen shown to users after they download the App, or when they update to the latest version of the App.

- 6. Defendants will make good faith efforts to implement the Revisions as soon as feasible, and in no event later than October 15, 2020.
- 7. The parties agree that, as of the date of this Stipulation, the Blue Screens and Learn More page are subject to the CCPA.
- 8. The parties agree that, as of the date of this Stipulation, the Blue Screens and Learn More page, as modified by the Revisions, comply with the CCPA.
- 9. The parties recognize that Defendants may, in the near future, need to change their disclosures—including the Revisions—in light of, *inter alia*, changes to: (a) applicable disclosure laws (*i.e.*, CCPA) and regulations; (b) changes to the operating systems' (i.e., Android's and Apple's) terms of service, functions, and disclosures; and/or (c) Defendants' practices.
- 10. Defendants agree to give reasonable notice to the People (through the City Attorney) in advance of any future changes to the Revisions. In the event the People determine, in good faith, that Defendants' proposed change violates the UCL, and Defendants are not willing to modify the proposed change in a manner that is mutually acceptable to all parties, the People may move this Court for appropriate relief. Nothing in this Stipulation shall prevent Defendants from making changes to the Revisions notwithstanding the People's stated objection to such changes, or to the People's filing of a motion regarding the changes.
- 11. None of the terms of this Stipulation shall prevent Defendants from making changes to their disclosures to App users that do not materially change or omit the Revisions.
- 12. Any obligations under this agreement will expire two (2) years from the date of this Stipulation (the "Settlement Period"). The parties recognize that future changes to: (a) applicable disclosure laws (*i.e.*, CCPA) and regulations; (b) changes to the operating systems' (i.e., Android's and Apple's) terms of service, functions, and disclosures; and/or (c) Defendants' practices, may render certain terms herein impractical or unnecessary before the Settlement Period expires. Accordingly, the parties (either jointly or individually) may seek relief from the Court to shorten the Settlement Period in light of any such changes.

13. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the parties during the Settlement Period pursuant to Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 664.6 solely for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Stipulation. 

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

