SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

MAPLEBEAR INC. d/b/a INSTACART, and DOES 1-10 Inclusive,

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

James Andrews, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated.

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. ¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Civic Center Courthouse

400 McAllister St.

San Francisco, CA 94102-4514

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is: (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es):

Todd M. Friedman, Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, PC,

21550 Oxnard St., Ste. 780, Woodland Hills, CA 91367, 323-306-4234

(Fecha) MAR 17

Clerk of the Court

(Secretario)

Clerk, by

CASE NUMBER:

; Deputy (Adjunto)

(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-010).) (Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Summons, (POS-010)). NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served

ANGELICA SUNGA

CGC-21-590201



 as an individual defendant. as the person sued under the fictitious name of (specify) 	:
3. on behalf of (specify):	
under: CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)
other (specify): 4. by personal delivery on (date):	Page 1



Todd M. Friedman (SBN 216752) 1 Adrian R. Bacon (SBN 280332) Meghan E. George (SBN 274525) 2 Thomas E. Wheeler (SBN 308789) LAW OFFICES OF TODD M. FRIEDMAN, P.C. 3 21550 Oxnard St. Suite 780, 4 Woodland Hills, CA 91367 Phone: 323-306-4234 5 Fax: 866-633-0228 tfriedman@toddflaw.com 6 abacon@toddflaw.com 7 mgeorge@toddflaw.com twheeler@toddflaw.com 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff, James Andrews 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 11 UNLIMITED JURISDICTION 12 13 James Andrews, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 14 Plaintiffs. 15 VS. (1) 16 MAPLEBEAR INC. d/b/a INSTACART, 17 and DOES 1-10 Inclusive,

Defendant.

SUPERIOR COURT COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

MAR 1 7 2021

CLERK OF THE COURT

 $_{\mathrm{Case\ No.}}$ CGC-21-590201

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT

- Violation of Unfair Competition Law (Cal. Business & Professions Code
- §§ 17200 et seq.) Violation of False Advertising Law (Cal. (2) Business & Professions Code §§ 17500 et seq.)
- (3) Violation of Consumer Legal Remedies Act (Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.)

Jury Trial Demanded



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Plaintiff James Andrews ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION & COMMON ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

- 1. Plaintiff brings this class action Complaint against Defendant MAPLEBEAR INC. d/b/a INSTACART (hereinafter "Defendant" or "Instacart") to stop Defendant's practice of overcharging its customers, such as Plaintiff, when it makes substitutions such as for lower weight produce or for cheaper substitute products ("the Substitute Goods"). Even though Defendant makes such substitutions and thus pays a lower price for the substituted goods, it still charges Plaintiff and other customers the full price of the unsubstituted products, thus pocketing the difference in price. Plaintiff seeks to obtain redress for a California class of consumers ("Class Members") who were overcharged for the Substitute Goods, within the applicable statute of limitations period by Defendant.
- 2. Defendant represented to Class Members the prices for certain products to be sold by Defendant through its app, the Instacart App.
- 3. Plaintiff and others similarly situated viewed and relied on these representations on Defendant's App.
- 4. These representations were misrepresentations in instances where Defendant would make substitutions for cheaper products but still charge Plaintiff and Class Members for the more expensive original goods which were not delivered.
- 5. Defendant's misrepresentations to Plaintiff and others similarly situated caused them to purchase goods from Defendant at a certain price and resulted in them overpaying Defendant when Defendant substitute the goods without adjusting the pricing.
- 6. Defendant took advantage of Plaintiff and similarly situated consumers unfairly and unlawfully.
- 7. Consumers enter into agreements based on the goods and services offered and features of those goods and services such as the price.
 - 8. Consumers rely on the representations of service providers in order to know



. 9

which goods and services to purchase.

- 9. Defendant is a seller that is engaged in the selling, marketing, and supplying of product delivery through its Instacart App.
- 10. Defendant profits from the sale of the Substitute Goods because it retains the difference in price between the original good and the cheaper Substitute Good. If Defendant had informed consumers of this policy, many of the consumers would not have agreed to purchase products which were not delivered and for which they were charged despite cheaper substitutes being provided instead.
- 11. Consumers are unable to ascertain that Defendant will engage in this Substitute Goods practice, based on the representations of Defendant.
- 12. The aforementioned written representations are objectively false and constitute a false advertisement under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17500 et. seq., and an unlawful, unfair, or deceptive business practices under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 et. seq.
- 13. Defendant's violations of the law include, but are not limited to, the false advertising, marketing, representations, and sale of the overpriced Substitute Goods to consumers statewide.
- 14. On behalf of the Class, Plaintiff seeks an injunction requiring Defendant to cease advertising and selling the Substitute Goods at unjustified premiums and an award of damages to the Class Members, together with costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 15. This Court has jurisdiction over the alleged violations of the California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., California Business and Professions Code §§ 17500 et. seq., and the California Consumer Legal Remedies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1750 et seq.
- 16. This case is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court pursuant to California Business and Professions Code, and the California Code of Civil Procedure. Defendant does business throughout the State of California. The unlawful acts alleged herein have a direct effect on Plaintiff and other consumers similarly situated within the State of California. Plaintiff and



the Class Members have suffered damages and will continue to suffer the same harm as the Plaintiff as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct unless the relief requested herein is granted.

17. This matter is properly venued in the County of San Francisco in that Defendant's headquarters is in San Francisco, California.

THE PARTIES

- 18. Plaintiff James Andrews is a citizen and resident of the State of California, County of Riverside.
- 19. Defendant MAPLEBEAR INC. d/b/a INSTACART is a Delaware Corporation with its headquarters in San Francisco, California that is licensed to do business in the State of California and that does business in California, including in the County of San Francisco.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each and all of the acts and omissions alleged herein were performed by, or is attributable to, Defendants and/or its employees, agents, and/or third parties acting on its behalf, each acting as the agent for the other, with legal authority to act on the other's behalf. The acts of any and all of Defendants' employees, agents, and/or third parties acting on its behalf, were in accordance with, and represent, the official policy of Defendants.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that said Defendants are in some manner intentionally, negligently, or otherwise responsible for the acts, omissions, occurrences, and transactions of each and all its employees, agents, and/or third parties acting on its behalf, in proximately causing the damages herein alleged.
- 22. At all relevant times, Defendants ratified each and every act or omission complained of herein. At all relevant times, Defendants aided and abetted the acts and omissions as alleged herein.

PLAINTIFF'S FACTS

23. On or about April 2020, Plaintiff made multiple purchases through Defendant for the purchase and delivery of items from his local Stater Bros.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

