1	Ian R. Feldman (State Bar No. 200308)		
2	ifeldman@clausen.com Tyler M. Costanzo (State Bar No. 322457)		FLECTRONICALLY
3	tcostanzo@clausen.com		FILED
4	CLAUSEN MILLER P.C. 27285 Las Ramblas, Suite 200		Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco
5	Mission Viejo, CA 92691 Telephone: (949) 260-3100 Facsimile: (949) 260-3100	60-3190	03/29/2024 Clerk of the Court BY: ANNIE PASCUAL
6	Telephone: (5 15) 200 5100 Taesimile: (5 15) 2	00 3170	Deputy Clerk
7	Attorneys for Defendants		
8	CTM APARTMENT SERVICES CORPORATION, TRILAR MANAGEMENT GROUP, LISA RICKS, and		
9	TONY DICORTI	AS, and	
10			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
13	YSABELLI CUSI and ANASTASIIA SAPON,	Case No. CGC-23	3-609986
14	Plaintiffs,	[Assigned to Hon. Anne-Christine Massullo, Dept. 610]	
15	VS.	DEFENDANTS	TRILAR
16	1163 ASSOCIATES, TRILAR		Γ GROUP, LISA
17	MANAGEMENT GROUP, CTM	ANSWER TO P	ONY DICORTI'S LAINTIFFS'
18	APARTMENT SERVICES CORPORATION, TONY DICORTI, LISA RICKS, and DOES 1	UNVERIFIED C	COMPLAINT
19	through 10,	Complaint Filed:	
20	Defendants.	Trial:	None Set
21			
22			
23			
24	Defendants TRILAR MANAGEMENT GROUP, LISA RICKS, and TONY		
25			
	DICCORTI's (collectively "Defendants") hereby answer the unverified Complaint		
26	("Complaint") filed by Plaintiffs YSABELLI CUSI and ANASTASIIA SAPON (collectively		



27 || "Plaintiffs"), as follows:

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1314

15

16

1718

19

20

22

21

2324

25

2627

28

GENERAL DENIAL

1. Defendants deny generally and specially each, every and all of the allegations of each and every cause of action pleaded in the Complaint pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §431.30(d).

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. Plaintiffs' action is barred by the applicable Statutes of Limitations, including but not limited to, Code of Civil Procedure §335.1 and/or Business and Professions Code §17208.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. Defendants have appropriately, completely and fully performed and discharged any and all obligations and legal duties arising out of the matters alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. Defendants allege that at all times the property as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint was fit and suitable for occupancy in whole or in substantial part for the purposes for which they were leased and, therefore, Defendants did not disturb Plaintiffs' possession and/or interfere with Plaintiffs' beneficial enjoyment of the premises.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. Defendants allege that the alleged conditions and/or purported defects in the property as alleged in the Complaint were not materially defective conditions that affected habitability.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. Plaintiffs did not conduct themselves and did not manage and/or use ordinary care in maintenance of the property at issue in the Complaint in a reasonable manner and,



therefore, failed to discover the alleged condition of habitability within a reasonable period of time and/or upon reasonable inspection.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. Without admitting any allegations of the Complaint, Defendants are informed and believe and thereupon allege that the Complaint, and each cause of action thereof, is barred by Plaintiffs' failure to give timely notice to these answering Defendants of the alleged defects, breaches and/or damages, if any, which any party may have sustained or within a reasonable time within which Plaintiffs should have discovered the purported defects, breaches and/or damages.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. These answering Defendants are informed and believe and on such information and belief allege that Plaintiffs failed to perform express contractual conditions precedent to Defendants' performance, and such failure excuses any non-performance by these answering Defendants.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. Plaintiffs failed to give Defendants a reasonable amount of time to correct the purported defects and/or conditions at the property as alleged in the Complaint.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. Should it be found that Defendants are liable in any manner for any damages claimed by Plaintiffs which was caused and/or contributed to by parties other than Defendants, whether served or not served in this case, and/or other persons or entities not presently parties to this action, the proportionate degree of negligence, fault, and or legal responsibility of each and every person or entity must be determined and prorated and any judgment which may be rendered against Defendants must be reduced not only by the degree of negligence, fault or legal responsibility attributable to Plaintiffs, but also by the total of that degree of negligence, fault and/or other legal responsibility found to exist as to other parties, persons and/or entities as well.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

12. Defendants allege that at all times relevant, no act or omission of Defendants were a legal or proximate cause of Plaintiffs' alleged injuries and damages, if any, as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint.

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. Plaintiffs assumed the risk of matters referred to in the Complaint, knew and appreciated the nature of the risk, and voluntarily accepted the risk.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. Plaintiffs are barred from recovery because Defendants lacked actual or constructive notice of any defect, condition and/or hazard at the property as alleged by Plaintiffs.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. Defendants exercised due diligence in the ownership and/or management of the property at issue in Plaintiffs' Complaint, relied in good faith on the representations of others, and was not aware of, nor had any way of becoming aware of, any alleged wrongdoing or omissions.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. Defendants allege that there was no duty to repair any alleged defects, conditions and/or hazards at the property as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint because Plaintiffs failed to keep the property in a clean and sanitary condition.

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. Defendants are informed and believe and thereon alleges that no public officer or employee responsible for enforcement of any housing law notified Defendants of any obligation to abate any nuisance and/or condition at the property as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint prior to collection of Plaintiffs' rent; therefore, Plaintiffs cannot maintain a cause of action for violation of Civil Code §1942.4.



4

5

7

8

9

11

12

1314

15

16

17

1819

20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. Defendants are informed and believe and thereon alleges that if Plaintiffs vacated the property as alleged in Plaintiffs' Complaint at any time, Plaintiffs did so through their own consent and, therefore, waived any cause of action for constructive eviction.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. Defendants allege that at all times Defendants did not engage in any unlawful acts or practices and did not cause or contribute to any alleged unfair methods of competition and/or illegal, unfair and/or deceptive acts or practices.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. Plaintiffs have waived any right to recover for the claims asserted in the Complaint.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. Any and all damages sustained by Plaintiffs, if any, are and were the direct and proximate result of Plaintiffs' failure to mitigate the alleged damages.

TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. Should it be found that Defendants are in any manner legally responsible for any damages sustained by Plaintiffs, which Defendants specifically deny, Defendants' liability for non-economic damages shall be several only and not joint, such that Defendants shall be liable only for the amount of non-economic damages allocated to Defendants in direct proportion to its percentage of fault.

TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

23. Defendants are entitled to an offset in an amount equal to the amount of monies which Plaintiffs' health care, disability or other insurers provided, or would have received had a claim been made, from any insurer(s) affording coverage for Plaintiffs, and for any monies Plaintiffs received from any Defendants, or on behalf of any Defendants.

TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

24. Plaintiffs acknowledged, ratified, consented to and acquiesced in the alleged acts or omissions, if any, of Defendants, thus barring Plaintiffs from any relief as prayed for herein.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

