Kidd Carr LLP 3260 Blume Drive, Suite 130 Richmond, CA 94806 Tel: (510) 268-8600 Fax: (510) 268-8682	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN AND FOR THE CO FELICIANO RUIZ, Plaintiff, v. SATURN CONSTRUCTION CO.; KING SCAFFOLDING, INC.; and DOES 1 TO 25, Defendants. KING SCAFFOLDING, INC.; Cross-Complainant, v. ROES 1 through 50, inclusive, Cross-Defendants.	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Duptor Court of California, County of San Francisco Od /02/2024 Cierk of the Court By JEFFREY FLORES Deputy Cierk OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA UNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO CASE NO. CGC-24-612582 (Assigned for Case Management Purposes to Dept. 610) KING SCAFFOLDING, INC.'S CROSS- COMPLAINT 1 IMPLIED INDEMNITY 2 EQUITABLE INDEMNITY 3 COMPARATIVE NEGLIGENCE AND CONTRIBUTION 4 DECLARATORY RELIEF	
	24 25 26 27 28	COMES NOW Defendant/Cross-Complainant KING SCAFFOLDING, INC. (hereinafter "Cross-Complainant") and for its Cross-Complaint alleges as follows:		

1	GENERAL ALLEGATIONS
2	1. Cross-Complainant KING SCAFFOLDING, INC. is, and during all times herein
3	mentioned, was a corporation existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal
4	place of business in South San Francisco, California.
5	2. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, business or otherwise of
6	the Cross-Defendants herein designated by the fictitious names ROES 1 through 50, inclusive are
7	unknown to Cross-Complainant, who therefore sues said Cross-Defendants by such fictitious names.
8	When the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Cross-Defendants have been
9	ascertained, Cross-Complainant will amend this pleading accordingly. Cross-Complainant is
10	informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the fictitiously named Cross-Defendants is in
11	some manner responsible for the acts, omissions and/or occurrences hereinafter alleged and actually
12	and proximately caused and/or contributed to the various injuries and damages set forth in the
13	Complaint and referred to herein.
14	3. On or about February 22, 2024 Plaintiff FELICIANO RUIZ (hereinafter "Plaintiff")
15	filed a Complaint for Damages (the "Complaint") in the instant action. Plaintiff allege damages due
16	to a worksite injury at a single family property located at 526 Connecticut St., San Francisco, CA
17	and as more fully described in Plaintiffs' Complaint, filed in San Francisco County Superior Court
18	under Case Number CGC-24-612582. Cross-Complainant denies any liability upon the Complaint
19	but incorporates that pleading herein by this reference. By and through this Cross-Complaint, Cross-
20	Complainant alleges that, to the extent any damage or claim be asserted by Plaintiff, all such
21	damages are caused, in part or in whole, by the negligence and conduct of others, including the
22	Cross-Defendants specified herein.
23	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
24	(For Implied Indemnity Against All Cross-Defendants)
25	4. Cross-Complainant realleges and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through
26	3 of this Cross-Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
27	5. In the event that Cross-Complainant is found in some manner legally liable to
28	Plaintiff and/or any other cross-complainant or anyone else as a result of the events and occurrences

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

1	described in Plaintiff's Complaint and/or any cross-complaints which may be filed herein, Cross-	
2	Complainant's liability is solely based upon a derivative, vicarious or imputed form of liability, not	
3	resulting from its own conduct, but instead based upon an obligation imposed upon it by law.	
4	Therefore, in the event that Cross-Complainant is found in any manner legally liable, such liability	
5	was proximately caused by the acts and/or omissions of any or all of Cross-Defendants, and Cross-	
6	Complainant is entitled to recover indemnity, whether total or partial, equitable, implied and/or	
7	expressed, from said Cross-Defendants.	
8	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
9	(For Equitable Indemnity Against All Cross-Defendants)	
10	6. Cross-Complainant realleges and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through	
11	5 and each and every one of the preceding allegations of its First Cause of action as though fully set	
12	forth herein.	
13	7. In equity and good conscience, if Plaintiff, any cross-complainants or third	
14	parties recover against Cross-Complainant, then Cross-Complainant is entitled to equitable	
15	indemnity, apportionment of liability and contribution among and from Cross-Defendants, and each	
16	of them, according to their respective liability or fault, for the injuries and damages allegedly	
17	sustained by Plaintiff, any cross-complainant or third party, if any by way of any and all sums paid	
18	through settlement, or in the alternative, judgment rendered against Cross-Complainant in the	
19	underlying action.	
20	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
21	(For Comparative Negligence and Contribution Against All Cross-Defendants)	
22	8. Cross-Complainant realleges and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through	
23	7 and each and every one of the preceding allegations of its First and Second Causes of action as	
24	though fully set forth herein	
25	9. In event Plaintiff should establish liability on the part of Cross-Complainant, which	
26	liability is expressly denied, Cross-Complainant is informed and believes and thereon alleges that it	
27	may be obligated to pay and will be damaged to the extent that it must satisfy more than its share of	
28	Plaintiff's claims and pay sums representing a percentage of liability not its own. Therefore, Cross-	
DOCKET		
ALA	<b>R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .	

1	Complainant requests an adjudication and determination of the respective degrees or proportion of	
2	liability or fault, if any, on its part and on the part of the Cross-Defendants, and each of them. If	
3	Cross-Complainant is found liable to Plaintiff, an adjudication and determination requiring a	
4	proportionate contribution from all Cross-Defendants, and each of them is requested.	
5	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION	
6	(For Declaratory Relief Against All Cross-Defendants)	
7	10. Cross-Complainant realleges and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through	
8	9 and each and every one of the preceding allegations of its First, Second, and Third Causes of action	
9	as though fully set forth herein	
10	11. A dispute has arisen and an actual controversy exists as between Cross-Complainant	
11	and Cross-Defendants in relation to the following:	
12	a. The respective liability for Plaintiff's or other cross-complainant's damages, if any;	
13	b. Whether Cross-Defendants must indemnify Cross-Complainant for damages which it	
14	may be obligated to pay Plaintiff and/or any other cross-complainant;	
15	c. A declaration of the respective liability and rights to indemnity is necessary as Cross-	
16	Complainant has no other adequate remedy at law; such declaration will avoid circuity and	
17	multiplicity of actions that will otherwise be required if Cross-Complainant must defend this action	
18	and then bring a separate action against Cross-Defendants; and	
19	d. Cross-Complainant desires a judicial declaration of rights in accordance with their	
20	contentions.	
21	WHEREFORE, Cross-Complainant prays for judgment as follows:	
22	1. That Cross-Complainant be entitled to indemnity, whether total or partial,	
23	equitable, implied and/or express, from the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, in the event	
24	a settlement is entered into or a judgment and/or verdict is rendered in favor of Plaintiff and/or any	
25	other cross-complainant as against Cross-Complainant;	
26	2. For a judicial determination of the rights of Cross-Complainant and the respective	
27	liabilities and duties of the Cross-Defendants, and each of them, relating to Cross-Complainant's	
28	claim of implied indemnity and equitable indemnity and contribution as against the Cross-	
<b>DOCKET</b> A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .		

1	Defendants, and each of them; and for a judicial determination that Cross-Complainant has no	
2	obligation to Cross-Defendants, and each of them;	
3	3. For attorneys' fees as permitted by law and also costs of suit incurred herein; and	
4	4. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.	
5		
6	Dated: <u>3/29/24</u> KIDD • CARR LLP	
7		
8	By <u>Abigail Lighthart</u> John N. Carr	
9	Abigail E. Lighthart	
10	Attorneys for Defendant KING SCAFFOLDING, INC.	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DOCKET		
	<b>R M</b> Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u> .	

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.